```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
                   - - - - X
 3
       STEVEN B. BARGER,
                                        17-CV-4869(FB)
 4
              Plaintiff,
                                        U.S. Courthouse
                                        Brooklyn, New York
5
6
                                        TRANSCRIPT OF
             -against-
                                        JURY TRIAL
7
8
                                        September 16, 2019
                                        2:30 p.m.
9
       FIRST DATA CORPORATION,
       ET AL
10
               Defendants.
11
12
13
    BEFORE:
                    HONORABLE FREDERIC BLOCK, U.S.D.J.,
                    and a Jury
14
15
16
    APPEARANCES:
17
    For the Plaintiff:
                              THE LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN SHEARER, P.C.
                              3839 McKinney Avenue
18
                              #155-254
                              Dallas, TX 75204
                              BY: SHAWN SHEARER, ESQ.
19
20
21
                              Zeitlin & Zeitlin, P.C.
                              50 Court Street, Suite 506
22
                              Brooklyn, NY 11201
                              BY: DAVID A. ZEITLIN, ESQ.
23
24
25
```

		2
1	For the Defendants CAUL FUING ADMOTEIN 9 LEUD LLD	
2	For the Defendants: SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP 500 E Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21202	
3	BATCHHOTE, ND 21202 BY: GARY B. EIDELMAN, ESQ. GILLIAN A. COOPER, ESQ.	
4	MICHAEL CIANFICHI, ESQ.	
5	BOND SCHOENECK & KING PLLC	
6	330 Madison Avenue 39th Floor	
7	New York, NY 10017 BY: LOUIS P. DILORENZO, ESQ.	
8		
9		
10	Court Reporter: Holly Driscoll, CSR, FCRR Chief Court Reporter	
11	225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201	
12 13	(718) 613-2274 hdrisc@gmail.com	
14		
15	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.	
16	produced by compared the annual specific	
17	* * *	
18		
19	(The following takes place out of the presence of	
20	the jury.)	
21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Civil cause for trial, Steve	n
22	Barger versus First Data Corp.	
23	I ask the parties to state your appearances please.	
24	MR. SHEARER: Shawn Shearer and with me is David	
25	Zeitlin for the plaintiff.	

3 MR. ZEITLIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 3 And this distinguished gentleman here is? 4 MR. BARGER: My name is Steve Barger, Your Honor. THE COURT: You're Mr. Barger. That's fine, you're 5 welcome to sit there. Lawyers can sit over there, that's 6 fine. 7 8 And let's hear from Mr. Eidelman. 9 MR. EIDELMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Gary 10 Eidelman, Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, along with our colleagues, Gillian Cooper and Michael Cianfichi. 11 12 Next to me is Louis DiLorenzo. 13 MR. DiLORENZO: Yes, Your Honor, from Bond, 14 Schoeneck & King. 15 MR. EIDELMAN: Your Honor, if I can address the rest at counsel table; sitting next to Mr. DiLorenzo is Jill Poole, 16 she's the corporate representative, she is a lawyer and the 17 18 corporate representative from First Data; sitting next to Ms. Pool is Frank Bisignano, one of the defendants; Dan 19 20 Charron, the next defendant; Tony Marino, the next defendant; 21 and the last defendant is Rhonda Johnson. 22 Now, did you introduce everybody this THE COURT: 23 morning when the jury was selected? 24 MR. EIDELMAN: Yes. 25 THE COURT: I won't do that again, I'll leave that

4 to you who you want at your table and the defendants, of 1 2 course, are welcome to stay here, they are entitled to do that and I leave that to you as a matter of trial strategy whether 3 4 you want to overwhelm the jurors with so many people sitting at your defense table, that's a matter for lawyer judgment. 5 6 MR. EIDELMAN: Thank you, Judge. 7 THE COURT: So, we had the jury selected this morning so the first order of business is whether everybody is 8 9 satisfied with the selection of the jury and whether I should 10 fire my law clerk or not. 11 What do you say, Mr. Shearer? MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, the plaintiff is fine with 12 13 the jury. We're ready to proceed. 14 THE COURT: And it worked that well, I shouldn't fire my law clerk? 15 16 MR. SHEARER: No, I think he did a pretty good job. 17 THE COURT: Good. 18 MR. EIDELMAN: He's no longer a rookie. We're 19 satisfied with the jury, Your Honor. 20 I say that facetiously, I'm sure he did THE COURT: 21 a good job. I'm sure he's a little bit nervous which is the 22 way he is supposed to be and I hear that he did splendidly. 23 I'm happy to see that. 24 So, you are satisfied with the jury. I figure at 25 this time we'll call the jurors in. What I'd like to do today

5 to get started is talk to the jurors 15 minutes or so just to 1 2 give them a little bit of a sense of how the trial is going to 3 I don't know how many of them have been jurors 4 before or not but I go through a little bit of the protocol of what's going to happen and then we'll be finished by 3:00 with 5 that. Then I think we can have the opening statements today. 6 7 I don't see any reason why not. 8 Mr. Shearer, how long do you estimate yours will be? 9 MR. SHEARER: I would guess under a half an hour. 10 THE COURT: I just want to get a sense whether we 11 can get it done this afternoon. 12 MR. SHEARER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: You're going to speak, Mr. Eidelman? 14 MR. EIDELMAN: I am, Your Honor. We should absolutely be able to get done this afternoon. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay, so we'll try to go in that 17 direction. We'll have our first witness ready to go tomorrow 18 at 10:00. 19 MR. EIDELMAN: Judge, if I may, I can mention it in 20 my opening if you like but we did say it is possible that some 21 of the individual defendants may have to be in and out of the 22 courtroom a little bit. 23 THE COURT: I'm going to mention it to them, I'll 24 talk about that and things will work out just fine. I'm going 25 to also compliment you on the fact that you worked very hard

by meeting with me before the trial starts to work out the exhibit list. So, the exhibits will be introduced into evidence as you use them. My guess is that you're not going to use them all because as good lawyers you're not going to overwhelm the jurors with unnecessary paperwork, I'm sure of that. So, as you use them, they'll be deemed in evidence and we have one or two little things which we will be able to talk about during the course of the trial.

So, let's bring the jurors in at this time.

(Jury enters courtroom.)

THE COURT: So, Mr. Carr, you happen to be sitting in the first seat not because you're the best looking one or you're the smartest one, though you may be both, it's just that your name came up first, it is strictly by rote. You're the person who may or may not be the foreperson at the end of the trial. I will explain that to you a little bit more as we go. Just relax.

In the meantime, all of you relax because my whole purpose in talking to you now is to try to ease you into the trial by telling you about what you can expect, some common sense ABC things and a little bit about how the trial is going to progress, how long it's likely to take, things that your curiosity would want to know about, right.

But the first thing I'm curious about is how many of you folks have sat as jurors before? I wasn't here during the

Preliminary Remarks of the Court 7 jury selection, it was supervised by my wonderful law clerk. 1 2 Give me an idea, show of hands. 3 (Pause.) 4 THE COURT: So, we have three -- four out of eight. Were they criminal or civil cases? Let's see 5 criminal. 6 7 A JUROR: Criminal. 8 A JUROR: Criminal. 9 THE COURT: All criminal? 10 A JUROR: No. THE COURT: How many were not criminal? 11 12 Two civil, two criminal, so we have a mixed group 13 here and you know folks are selected for jury service strictly 14 on a random basis and sometimes we get prospective jurors who have been here many times but sometimes not at all, I mean 15 it's just strictly the luck of the draw I guess but I'm very 16 17 proud to have the privilege of presiding over this trial with 18 you as my jurors. 19 Why do I say that. By the way, I guess I should 20 introduce myself. You might know that I'm the judge right now 21 because I'm wearing the black robe and my last name is Block, 22 B-L-0-C-K. 23 At the end of the trial you'll remember this case, 24 it is going to be an interesting trial, we have very good 25 lawyers but hopefully you'll forget the judge because the

focus should be on the trial and not the judge. If you happen to remember me, okay, but the point is to get you to think about the case and about what happens here during the course of the trial but I have to administer the trial, it's my responsibility and we have now eight jurors in this civil case. This is not a criminal case and it may or may not come as a surprise to you that as far as I know, and I've had my good law clerks research this many times, we are the only country in the world that has jury trials for civil cases. It's incredible. The last country that had it abandoned it a few years ago, it was England, the UK, which is where we get much of our common law from, traditions from. They have no civil trials, just the judge decides the case except for one situation, the Queen can sue you for defamation, you can't defame the Queen, you can have a jury trial there.

Essentially we're it and you know you can debate whether or not we should impose upon fellow citizens to give up their time, their social life, their economic earnings in many cases to sit as jurors and pass judgment on a fellow citizen or fellow -- it doesn't have to necessarily be a citizen but a person who comes to court, wishes to get his or her rights resolved by his or her peers.

At the end of the trial I make it my practice to come and make myself available to you after you've decided the case to answer whatever curiosities you may have about

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything that cropped up during the course of the trial that you may be speculating about. You're not allowed to speculate when you come to your decision but you may want to ask me some questions afterwards, I'll make myself available, we can talk a bit more, I can give you another civic lesson about how we go about our business, what the federal courts are all about, how you become a federal judge. The public, by and large, doesn't have a lot of knowledge about that. I personally don't think we do a good job raising our kids and educating them properly about the justice system in our country, that's my personal opinion. But I find most people, even those with Ph.D. degrees don't even know how you become a federal court judge, what's the difference between the state and federal jurisdiction, why do we have 50 states with separate courts and separate laws, why do we have a federal law, what's that all about. So, it can be confusing so I try to at least give some light while I'm here and I've got you as captive to get you acclimated to the federal judicial system.

This is our federal courthouse. As you can see, it is a beautiful courthouse and it's the people's courthouse so anybody can come in here and open those doors to join us and listen to the trial. It's open to everybody.

And so, why do we have juries and why do we have a judge. So, I like to explain basically we're a team, one without the other we cannot have a trial. We need both. We

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

need the judge because he went to school for a long time, he's been doing this for a while, he should know a little bit about the law and we need somebody to be in charge of the law department, to explain the law to the jury, to rule on objections, evidentiary issues, things that we're trained to do that you're not trained to do.

We need the fact finders, we need the jury. So, the jury is in charge of the fact department, I'm in charge of the law department. What does that mean. That means that the jurors are going to decide where the truth lies. The jury is going to listen to me explain the law to you at the end of the trial when you hear all the evidence, all the witnesses have testified, all the documents have been offered into evidence that I'll allow into evidence, that's the evidence in the case, and you're going to decide the facts.

Obviously there are different versions of facts here, otherwise we would not need a fact finder, then it would be up to the judge just to decide the legal issues in the case. So, you are in charge of the fact department. At the end of the trial when I explain the law to you as the person in charge of the law department and give you your marching orders to start your deliberations, I'm not going to be in there with you, I have no place in that jury room with you. Only you eight folks are going to decide the facts and apply them to the law that I give you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, you may see how this breaks down during the course of the trial; for example, somebody is testifying here and a question is being asked and then the lawyer gets up and savs: Objection, Your Honor, and everyone gets startled by What the lawyer is telling me is that he doesn't think that. or she doesn't think that that's a proper question to ask the juror and I should not allow the juror to answer that When was the last time you murdered your wife? Well, it has nothing to do with the case, right. Objection. sustained. So, I say sustained, it means don't answer the question, okay. Objection overruled, that means I made a legal decision based upon my knowledge of the law, it's a proper question and the witness can answer it. So, you'll hear that type of thing happening probably throughout the course of the trial.

Now, lawyers should object when they feel inclined to do so because they're not neutrals, they have an ethical responsibility to do the best they can to represent their clients. The plaintiff is representing Mr. Barger and the defendants are representing First Data Corporation. You know a little bit about that from what Mr. Morales told you during the openings about the nature of this case a little bit.

So, they're not neutrals. The neutrals are the nine of us, all right. The judge is a neutral, you folks are neutral, we have no bias, we have no ax to grind. We're going

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

to call the shots as we see them based solely on the evidence and the testimony that you will hear during the course of the trial. So, that's the ABC-s of how important we are together to work as a team.

I like to also mention historically that we just had another 9/11 holiday or anniversary, not a holiday, this past week and I remember, because I've been here for a while now, that before 9/11 when we went through the jury selection process, you can pick out a bad apple once in a while, unfortunately they were the younger people, not the older people. You know, they may have said when they came to the court, watch me get out of jury duty, I'll tell the judge I have epilepsy or something like that, who knows why. We can spot those, we used to get some of that. After 9/11 I rarely see that anymore. It's almost as if there's a whole change in attitude about taking our responsibilities as citizens seriously.

You cannot be a juror unless you're a citizen. The founding fathers, and the mothers I'm sure were there whispering in their ear, thought it was so important that we have juries in the United States on civil as well as criminal cases that the one requirement they made is that you have to be a citizen of the United States and the reason why they did that is the same reason why they decided judges should be appointed for life, because they came from a bad place, the UK

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

or Great Britain and England in those days was not a happy place and we all ran from that place to escape persecution, the King was hanging people right and left, right. And they wanted to make sure that the system of law that they established in the USA was going to be totally independent of politics and that judges can't be removed or hung if the King doesn't like the way the judge handles the case and the citizens know that they're going to get a fair shake when they come to court, there's not going to be any politics, there's not going to be anything at all other than the independence of the federal judiciary, citizens of the United States discharging their responsibility. So, they thought, the founding fathers, that jury service was so important they put it in the Constitution and that's one of the reasons why because they didn't want the King to decide people's rights, they wanted fellow jurors to decide it.

So, I like to give this little civic lesson, make you people feel really important. You can't serve, I don't think maybe one of you, two of you, you can't go over to Afghanistan and fight for the country but you can give service here as jurors, it's your opportunity to serve.

I think you're going to find it to be something that's very special and you're going to remember this for a long time and feel very privileged that you were selected to be jurors in this case.

At the end of the trial when I talk to you, I'll ask you do you want me to go to Washington and lobby that we should eliminate jurors in civil cases, what do you think. I have been asking that question for a long time. What do you think the answers are. People say, no, we like it the way it is. So, we'll see what your answer is at the end of the trial.

So, how is this case going to unfold. So, the plaintiff has brought this lawsuit, you know what it's about, it's a disabilities case claiming he was not reasonably accommodated in his job and you'll hear all about that from the lawyers and the witnesses. I'm not really a fact witness so I'm not going to tell you anything about the case. It's not my job, right. That's what the trial is all about.

But the plaintiff, since the plaintiff brought the case, the plaintiff has the burden of proof. Now, you probably know what the burden of proof is in criminal cases, you all watched the big famous O.J. Simpson trial, right. In a criminal case it's proof beyond a reasonable doubt, right. But in civil cases it's a lesser burden, it's just a preponderance of the evidence. Visualize those scales of justice, if they tip ever so much in this direction, then the plaintiff has sustained its burden of proof and I'll tell you more about that at the end of the case when I go through all the law with you that you should be aware of but right now I

want to let you know the difference between a civil and a criminal trial.

Also, you know in criminal cases, I've told you this, the statutes of Congress that have been enacted to govern our decisions require 12 jurors in a criminal case. In a civil case we don't need 12 anymore, it used to be 12, and in a criminal case we have alternates and only 12 -- you know 12 Angry Men, 12 angry women deliberate, the alternates listen and then they're discharged afterwards if they're not needed.

A civil case I like better because everybody picked will deliberate, no alternates. I like that you're hearing the case and everyone is going to be deliberating and your decisions have to be unanimous, interesting, right. You'll hear all about that at the end of the day when I tell you my final instructions. So, why do we have eight, because we must have by statute six so we want to make sure we have a little bit of latitude God forbid if someone gets sick.

The case is going to last several days, it's not going to be three months, it's not going to be three weeks, it will be here for a few days, certainly this week and probably lapping over to next week. We don't know exactly when it's going to end because it is not a scientific laboratory, we don't know how long the cross-examination will be and whatever unfolds but we have a general idea of the nature of the case and the number of witnesses that I'm told will be testifying,

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

we're talking about several days, not several months. You're going to be here for a little bit of time, it's not going to be too much of an imposition on your time but it is very important that you give it your full attention.

So, since the plaintiff has the burden of proof, and when I finish talking, which will be in about ten minutes maybe, then the plaintiff's lawyer will stand up and deliver what we call opening statements. Then defendants' lawyer who will be speaking on behalf of the defendants will get up and speak to you also. Those are the opening statements. We're going to probably get that done this afternoon, should be finished about 4:30. You'll go home, come back tomorrow morning at 10:00 and we'll start with the first witness.

Now, when a lawyer speaks, remember the lawyer is an advocate, the lawyer is not a fact witness so what the lawyer says in his or her opening statements, closing statements or whatever, it's not evidence, it is lawyer argument and the lawyer should make his best efforts to argue on behalf of his client, no question about it. So, because a lawyer says something, it's not necessarily something that is factually correct. It's for you to decide what the facts are.

So, when the lawyers get up and talk to you, the purpose of opening is to give you a little bit of a road map on what they expect to establish during the course of the trial so you get oriented a little bit. You see, before the

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

first witness is called you'll have an idea of what the case is all about through the lawyers' perceptions. They're not testifying as witnesses, they're just advocating and giving you a little bit of a road map. They're not going to agree with each other. If they agreed, we wouldn't need you. We need you because they don't agree with each other. We're going to find out where the truth lies and who you believe and who you don't believe.

And while we talk about the evidence, one of the important functions you have as fact finders is to size up the credibility of a witness, do you believe the witness partially, wholly, not at all. So, when the witness testifies you know you're going to check the witness out, the verbal as well as nonverbal communication and you be the fact finder on the issue of credibility of the witness, okay, that's part of your fact finding responsibilities.

Now, because the case is going to last several days it's okay if any of you want to take notes. I don't see any of you having paper and pencil with you now but you'll have that available if you want to bring it into the courtroom. You don't have to take notes, you can. Some people seem to pay better attention when they write things down. Some people seem to pay better attention to people when they testify when you're looking at them instead of being distracted by writing things down. Whatever your comfort level is, it's fine with

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

me. This is not trial by who takes the best notes. If you take notes, you keep them behind here, they're just for your own personal use. You don't have to worry if anybody forgets what a witness is testifying about, it's going to be several days later, you don't have to guess because we're blessed in our courthouse with wonderful court reporters and you can see Holly is taking down everything that's being spoken by the judge right now. So, if during your deliberations you don't remember what witness X or witness Y said, you think it is relevant, you want to hear it again, it's available for you, we have it in black and white for you to have it read back to you, okay, so you have that comfort level.

So, if you want to take notes, it's okay. You don't have to. Generally in a long trial people like to take notes just to keep track of events. In a short trial it's not as important. Whatever your comfort level is, that's fine but it's personal to you.

Now, you're not going to be sitting here 24 hours a day seven days a week so you're going to be able to walk around and you see folks here, you know who they are, they have been introduced to you this morning, the lawyers are there, the lawyers are professionals, they know that if they pass you in the hall or in a restaurant, you never can tell who's going to be sitting next to you, right, they're not going to be talking to you not because they're snobs but

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

because that's the right thing ethically not to do, you don't want to have communication between the witnesses, the lawyers, parties or anybody who's associated with the case. So, if you see the folks, they'll just nod, they may say hello, that's it, and even if somebody is 50 feet away and you don't know what that person is talking about, we avoid the appearance of impropriety. So, if you're talking to somebody and somebody sees from 50 feet away that you're talking, they don't know what you're talking about, so we avoid even the appearance of impropriety. If you exchange common courtesies, good morning, good afternoon, that's it, all right.

You're going to be free for lunch, about an hour, an hour and a half, whatever. We'll probably break about 12:30, it depends upon what's happening in the court. I'm in charge of the administration of the court. If a witness has just finished testifying, we'll take a break, otherwise we'll see how it goes. It probably will be between 12:30 and 1:00 usually and then you're going to be going home at night. We try to run from 10 to 5, all right. Some of my colleagues start at nine, I'm not such an early bird, but I also find 10:00 works fine in New York because you avoid the rush hour. Hopefully none of you are going to drive, I don't know where all of you come from. I drive and I usually get here okay. Once in a while I'm embarrassed to say I get stuck and I have to apologize but I don't live so far away and I can go right

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into the courthouse garage. You may not have that same It is better if you take public transportation. opportunity. If you drive you really, really have to discount for the fact that you can hit traffic. We want to start promptly at 10:00. We want to all be here. Obviously common sense, if one of us is not here, we can't start. One person may hold up everybody and you don't want to do that. We all know emergencies You call Mr. Innelli, you'll have his contact information, if there's an emergency just call and let us know but otherwise we're all trying to be on time and start at 10:00. I'm telling you the person who has the hardest time doing that is the judge. If I have to rate myself for the quality of being a judge, I'll give myself ten on a scale of one to ten in every category except one, when it comes to tardiness I give myself a four and a half. For some reason I have a hard time getting up on time but trust me, when it comes to a trial when other people are waiting on me, I bust my gut so I'm here at 10:00 also and if I'm not, boy, am I going to have egg on my face. So, I think I can do a good job of getting here at ten and so can you folks and you know why it's important, so we can start at 10:00 promptly and not hold the action up. If I'm not here by ten, I'll apologize profusely, I may even resign as a judge for all I know. So. we know how important it is.

> HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

When you get home for sure your loved ones, your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

significant others, your kids, whoever, are going to pepper you about what kind of case it is and they're going to want all sorts of information from you. It's natural that people are curious, right, especially people you live with, right, your best friends, and you'll be tempted to talk about the case. You've got to resist that temptation. You have to tell these good folks please, at the end of the trial I'll talk to you from here to kingdom come and tell you whatever you want to know. During the trial don't talk about the case. Because the only thing that counts is what's happening in the courtroom, what the testimony is. You don't check the internet out, you don't look at the newspapers, you don't listen to television. If you hear anything inadvertently, you tell Mr. Innelli about it so we can make sure that nothing happened that would prejudice you to sit objectively as a juror.

So, you can only consider what goes on in the courtroom. So, if your loved one at home says, oh, that's what kind of a case it is, let me tell you about this case. Now you've gotten some information in your head, even if it is going to be subconscious, that could play a devilish role in terms of your ability to sit fairly as a juror based only on what you hear in the courtroom. So, I think you understand that.

So, I use humor to get this point across by telling

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

you the only thing you are allowed to tell the folks back home when they ask you about the case is that Judge Block is a handsome guy, he's 6 feet 4, he's much better looking than Brad Pitt, he's only 34 years old, what a judge we have, okay. Otherwise they'll understand that they have a responsibility as fellow citizens not to try to get into your head.

The internet is a dangerous thing because people love to look at the internet, our curiosity, what's this, what's that, okay. You've got to avoid that. If you see anything in the paper -- I don't think this is a newspaper case, it's not like the Peter Gotti trial I presided over years ago when it was in the paper every day, but if something comes into the newspaper, because it is a human interest case, try not to read it, try not to do that. If you see anything, tell Mr. Innelli about it because we can, if necessary, go with seven jurors or even six. So, if somebody gets sick, we hope that doesn't happen, then we can go with seven or if something happens where one juror is prejudiced, then we'll have to go with that many jurors. So, you have to really take your responsibility seriously in discharging your obligations fairly and faithfully.

So, I've talked a lot and it's about 3:00 now, I think I've covered most of what's going to happen. We are going to hear all arguments today, then the first witness will come tomorrow and when the lawyer questions that witness, we

call that direct examination. Then after that witness testifies, the other side can question the witness, we call that cross-examination. There can be redirect, recross and eventually the testimony of that witness will be completed. Then we go on to the next witness. The plaintiff presents all of its evidence first and the defendant goes after that and after that I'll come down and talk to you. The lawyers will give their concluding remarks first before that happens in all probability and they'll tell you why you should find in their favor and then I'll explain the law to you and you will be off with your deliberations.

I may interrupt from time to time by asking some questions of a witness. If I do that, it's not because I have an opinion about the case. Don't think that anything I do or say or whatever is to give you a clue as to what I think of that witness or about the case because that's not what I try to do, but if I feel that the witness is answering a question and it's confusing to me, since you can't ask questions, I would love to have you ask questions but the higher ups say you can't, right, then I'm going to be your surrogate and I'd say if I'm confused, they may be confused and I'll try to ask a question to clarify things. If the witness is rambling, I may try to get the witness focused. If they're going too long and I think it is confusing, I'll try to bud in at that particular time to move the trial along in an expeditious

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

proper way and you'll see some of that happening but if that happens, don't think that I'm telling you how the case should be decided, okay.

Now, I may have forgotten some things. I think I've got it down pretty well to my satisfaction. If I want to say anything else to you, I'm not bashful.

One other thing though, we're going to take a mid-morning break and mid-afternoon break. We're starting today a little bit later so we'll see whether we need a break today but if anybody needs to use the facilities, anybody in the courtroom including the judge, including the court reporter, including Mr. Innelli, my clerk, just don't be bashful and we can take a little break because I want everyone to be comfortable here, all right. And I think when we go for an hour and a half and take a break, usually that works okay. I may take a shorter break. We're human, don't be bashful about it. Pretend we're in kindergarten class, raise your hand, teacher, I have to go to the bathroom.

I think Mr. Shearer is going to make the opening statement.

Before we do that, Mr. Innelli always reminds me, we have to give you another oath. You may recall you took an oath, everybody was out there, and that was to guide your answers in terms of what we call the voir dire process, the selection of the jury, can you answer these questions

```
Opening - Shearer
                                                                  25
    truthfully and honestly, etc.
1
 2
              Now that you've been selected as jurors, we give you
 3
    a different oath and it goes by like a bullet but it is so
 4
    important we start the trial that way, we end it that way.
    Listen to it carefully. Mr. Innelli at this time will
5
6
    administer it.
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good afternoon.
7
                                                       If you could
8
    all please stand and raise your right hands.
9
               (Jury sworn by the courtroom deputy.)
10
              THE COURT: Can you hear it all?
11
              Mike, do you want to say it again. It's so
12
    important. Slow it, say it again.
13
               (Whereupon, the courtroom deputy repeats the oath.)
14
              THE COURT: Without fear, without favor. We don't
    care whether it is a corporation, what color the parties are,
15
16
    whether they blue, purple, whatever, their national origin,
    anything about that; without fear or favor, just based upon
17
18
    the evidence, a true verdict based upon the evidence which is
19
    going to unfold starting tomorrow morning when the plaintiff
20
    calls the first witness but first Mr. Shearer is going to give
21
    you his opening statements.
22
              Go ahead.
23
              MR. SHEARER:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
24
              Good afternoon. We're here today because First Data
25
    Corporation and the four individual defendants over here,
```

Mr. Bisignano, Mr. Charron, Mr. Marino and Ms. Johnson, violated plaintiff's rights under the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act.

They did this in two ways, they took two actions; first, the plaintiff has recovered from cancer surgery, he had his larynx removed. He was at home working from home remotely using computers, video-conferencing, texting and working on his company business while he was recovering at home.

First Data, for reasons that you'll have to decide, came and visited Mr. Barger, saw his condition, decided that he should be on leave of absence and forced him to quit working, to go on unpaid leave of absence against his will. He asked to stay working but they told him for his own health he needed to stop working. That violates the Americans with Disabilities Act. That is making a decision based solely upon the plaintiff's physical condition and illness to take an action against him by making him stop work and go on unpaid leave, stopped his salary and told him to stop working.

The second way they violated the law was under the Family and Medical Leave Act. At the end of this forced leave Mr. Barger was required to present to First Data a physician's note that said that he could return to work and that the doctor had okayed his return. Mr. Barger delivered that note and he delivered it timely within the twelve weeks. First Data accepted the doctor's note. Three days later they called

him up and told him that he was fired and that he didn't need to come into work as scheduled the following business day after the weekend. That violated the Family and Medical Leave Act because you're entitled to take twelve weeks with pay and the statute says you're entitled to be restored to your position or an equivalent position at the end of your leave. First Data violated it by not bringing him back after he had satisfied every condition which was to have his doctor certify his right to return and his ability to return at that time.

Now, when I first heard Mr. Barger's story I couldn't believe it because First Data is a large corporation, they have 22,000 employees worldwide, they have 6,000 independent contractors and they have a management team that is excellent, a very experienced CEO, an Executive Vice President of Human Resources that's been around for decades and it's a well managed company and they've got a special leave management team.

Somebody screwed up and ever since then First Data has been making excuses and you're going to hear their excuses and I hope that the presentation of evidence that I will have for you will show you for what they are, they are after the fact, lawyer manufactured excuses for what was otherwise illegal conduct, forcing leave against Mr. Barger's will and failing to reinstate him when he satisfied the condition of providing a doctor's note.

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the purpose of this opening is to kind of give you not only that background but background as to the facts you're going to hear and what I think I can prove and I'm going to anticipate what I think the defendants are going to say, I've been -- this case has been going on for two and a half years, almost, if you add all of it together, the prefiling of the suit, almost three years at this point. So, I've heard their arguments so I'm going to anticipate those for you a little bit.

Now, this is going to be an interesting trial, you're going to hear from Wall Street CEO-s, you are going to hear from physicians about the surgery that occurred, the recovery, how long it takes. You're going to hear about First Data's business, what they do, how they process payments, the type of technology that they use because Mr. Barger's job at First Data, he was the Senior Vice President of Sales Transformation and Training. He designed the training programs around lots of things, the corporate -- the transformation of First Data from a corporation that merely provided PIN pads and credit card swipes to a merchant and then processed those transactions to a company that's now transforming with new modern technology into the ability to collect information, provide their customers additional information about the market, about the economy, about their specific business. So, they're moving to more of an

> HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

information type company and Mr. Barger was hired to help.

Now, I'll get into the details of all of that but I want to echo what the judge just said about what the lawyers are talking about, what the lawyers say is not evidence. So, remember that. Mr. Eidelman and Mr. DiLorenzo are very experienced attorneys and can tell a good story and just remember what they say is not the evidence. You're going to need to listen to the witnesses, you need to listen to -- look at the documents and make your decision based upon that.

I'd like to introduce Mr. Barger right here. Wish him happy birthday, he turned 75 just Saturday. This is my co-counsel, Mr. David Zeitlin, who's from here in Brooklyn and is helping me out with this case.

Now, this case is not like most ADA and FMLA cases. In this case in every instance you'll hear, you'll hear Mr. Barger through emails and through testimony saying he wants to work, work is the man's life, he loves it, he's been working since he was 15, 16 years old every single day. Taking the work away from him is what really harmed him. That's what he did, he worked and he wanted to continue working.

You will hear that the day after his larynx was removed he was up the next morning checking on his team that was at work, asking them how they were doing, following emails while he was laying in bed 24 hours after surgery. The man

wanted to work, and a lot of cases that you'll hear under the FMLA or ADA is people wanting to leave, fighting over whether or not they stayed on leave too long, whether they've taken leave too much but that's not the case here, Mr. Barger didn't want leave and so it's a little bit different in that way from what you may have read about in terms of leave cases.

Now, like I said, the plaintiff is making claims under both the ADA, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the FMLA, the Family and Medical Leave Act. We're not trying to get double count, we're not trying to recover twice. The law requires that when you bring a case, you need to bring all of the claims that you may have that arise out of the same set of facts and out of the same set of circumstances at the same time. So, these all arise out of the same set of facts, they're brought together, we're not double counting, we'll only get the damages that you can get under both statutes, you can get them once, so don't take it like this is a pile on.

(Continued on next page.)

OPENING STATEMENT - PLAINTIFF

MR. SHEARER: The case is simple, like I said.

Two things to remember. They forced him on leave when he didn't want it because he was ill, because of their determination that he was ill. And, second, when he satisfied the criterion, brought his doctor's note back, they wouldn't let him come back to work, and violated the Family Medical Leave Act.

Now, Mr. Barger, I want to tell you a little bit about Mr. Barger so you can kind of understand where this work ethic came from.

Mr. Barger was born in Boone, Iowa. It is a farming community kind of north of Des Moines, and then he lived in Hammond, Illinois, he lived in LaSalle, Illinois -- Hammond, Indiana, I'm sorry. But he did most of his growing up in Dixon, Illinois. So Mr. Barger is from small Midwestern, small towns, Iowa, Illinois, farm areas. And, you know, from the time he was little, he was mowing, raking leaves, baling hay, doing all the things that kids in the small town Midwest do.

He then graduated from Dixon high school and went to Iowa State University for a year, played freshman basketball, but then decided college wasn't really his thing and dropped out to go get a job. Then he decided, well, maybe I should go back to school. And so he ended up at what's now Truman State. It was Northeast Missouri state at the time. And he

OPENING STATEMENT - PLAINTIFF

graduated with a BS in education.

And he got a job coaching basketball and teaching history in another small town in Gilbert, Iowa. So he did not -- you hear this case, we are going to be talking a lot of Wall Street. Mr. Barger is from the Midwest and was a high school teacher and a high school coach. Painted houses in the summer, and every few years he decided that he was making more money painting houses than what he was teaching school, and so he stopped teaching and painted houses full-time.

And he moved to another small town in Iowa, Chariton, Iowa. And there he decided to sell some real estate and painted some houses, and he owned a bowling alley. Chariton, Iowa is, I believe, about 4,000 people, and Mr. Barger was elected to the city council. But while he was there, he met a guy that ran a bank, and he became -- he sold off his businesses and started his career with a bank. He did fabulous, and after a few years, the bank moved into their main office in Des Moines, Iowa, and he worked there for quite a while and developed some innovative products.

Up until that point banks only could be banks, they couldn't sell securities, they couldn't have insurance, and now you have these mega banks, Citibank, you know, Chase, where they can sell everything.

What Mr. Barger developed, as that was changing, he developed the first investor center, he served on the American

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

Bankers Association advisory board, and he created some new marketing ideas, and he was recruited to Wall Street because of it, and he was hired at Shearson Lehman, and he moved to New York. Had to have been a brave move, from those 4,000-people towns to the area. His first office was on the 106th floor of Tower 2. That's quite a move from small town Iowa.

And he worked, he was a director of sales and training there. He created several new programs for training the employees at Shearson, and worked very closely with the man you will hear from tomorrow, Mr. Joseph Plumeri, who is one of the stars of -- been a star of Wall Street for a long time. And he then left, started consulting, and then he got recruited back by Mr. Plumeri again, and he was senior executive training and business development at Smith Barney. And, again, he just continued developing his training classes, and the training classes focused on the sales force, on how you get people to buy. And it is not marketing, he has some really interesting ideas on how you train.

And you will get to hear from him probably near the end of our case, because we have to get some witnesses through that need to travel in, fly in, and so Mr. Barger will probably be near the end. And you will hear from him. And he's great, and his ideas are great. And he's a teacher.

Then after he kind of retired again, came back to

OPENING STATEMENT - PLAINTIFF

1 Primerica, he was at Citigroup, and he kind of retired again.

2 | I wouldn't call it really retirement. He calls it retirement,

3 but he continued working. It more or less means he's not on

4 | Wall Street anymore.

Then he got recruited. He was working his consulting business, and this is where the story for this case really starts. The end of 2013, the beginning of 2014, Mr. Barger was working his consulting business, and Mr. Plumeri calls him up again, and said, you know, I'm now vice chairman of First Data Corporation. They're doing this transformation from starting to sell technology and information, and your programs that you use for the brokers at the banks on how they have the information advantage and how they use that to sell securities or other financial products, would be a good fit at First Data.

Now, I don't know if you know who First Data is.

Big company. You probably interacted with First Data every day, or at least once a week and don't even know it. Their business involves almost every aspect of the electronic payments transactions. They have agreements with the banks to print and issue credit cards. They have agreements with your local pizza shop where they will process the credit cards for the pizza shop. They run an ATM network, and they process all of this information and make sure the cash moves from -- your debit transaction moves from your bank to the pizza shop's

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

bank, and it all runs through their system. They estimate that 40 percent of all electronic payments in the United States pass through their systems at some point during that whole process, whether it is from your bank to their bank or it is between you and the merchant, whether it is the card that's been issued, and they are a part of the American economy. They process 3,000 transactions a second. And some people probably haven't even heard of them, but they are a critical component to how payments work inside the United States and in the world.

Like I said, up until the last five to ten years, what they probably did with merchants was give them PIN pads and swipers. That's changing. And Mr. Barger was coming in.

Like I said, he spent 30-plus years on Wall Street, and he -- Mr. Plumeri, his friend, for all those 30 years, a man he worked with at all those companies, Shearson, Primerica, Citigroup, recruited him.

Mr. Barger began working with First Data in January of 2014 simply as a consultant. He was working when he could, but he came in, started to evaluate the company, whether he thought that his trading programs would work there, whether they could be modified to work in a different industry other than where they had been used before, and he found First Data interesting and thought that his program would work. And so he continued to consult, but in April of 2014, Mr. Plumeri

came to him and said that he wanted him to shut down his consulting with other clients. He was consulting with other banks, he was consulting with other brokerage houses, and Mr. Plumeri wanted him to only work for First Data. So he gave him extra money a month and said come to First Data as an employee, and that's what he did. Mr. Barger began as an employee of First Data on June 30, 2014.

Now, the first few years he reported to Mr. Plumeri. Mr. Plumeri then decided to just be a member of the board and not be involved in the day-to-day operations of First Data anymore. And Mr. Barger began reporting to a man named Jeff Hack, who is not here, and I don't believe you are going to see him, but you will be hearing his name a lot. And Mr. Hack was an executive vice president of sales and training at First Data. And Mr. Hack reported to defendant Charron that -- and in what's called the global business solutions group within First Data. And so Mr. Barger became within defendant Charron's group. Defendant Charron reports to defendant CEO Frank Bisignano. And so that structure was there for a while.

Now, Mr. Barger continued to work on the sales training programs, he developed programs called the First Data Way, which was a traveling road show that First Data did to their employees, to teach them about the new mentality about the company on how you begin to think, how you become a business consultant for the merchant, tell them what

information First Data could supply them about their competitors, about their market, about their customers, about the economy. And it collects some data. It collected from public sources, from transactions, it puts together a package and First Data sells it. Mr. Barger was trying to teach the company that we are different now, we are selling information, not just PIN pads.

In February 2016, Mr. Barger was diagnosed with spindle cell carcinoma of the larynx, in other words, throat cancer. From March until May of 2016, Mr. Barger underwent 30 radiation treatments, and that's a lot, inside of two months, from the end of March to May, the beginning of May.

But the whole time, he did not miss work. He went into work every single week, every single day. He was in work, he had his radiation treatments over lunch, he would have his -- whenever he had them, he would be back in the office right afterwards, and he was there every day. He never called in sick, didn't take vacation, didn't take leave, just continued to keep working, traveling, and continued speaking on behalf of First Data at these gatherings.

In August of 2016, he went back into the doctor and got the bad news that the radiation hadn't worked and that they were going to have to do total laryngectomy, remove his voice box. He went and got a second opinion, and they concurred. And on September 6, Mr. Barger traveled to Tampa,

Florida, where one of the very great otolaryngologists and throat cancer doctors works, and who was recommended to him by CEO Bisignano, he was a friend of Mr. Bisignano, and suggested that Mr. Barger go see him. So Mr. Barger had his larynx removed in Tampa, Florida, September 6, 2016.

Like I said, the next morning he was up working again on the e-mails, on the text messages. Couldn't speak, but the time we're on there, they figured out ways to have video conferencing where he could text his questions onto the screen so that the team he was working with was able to see what his thoughts were and he could submit questions to his team. He had e-mail, he could text people in the meetings, so he could continue to communicate while his throat was healing and he was unable to speak.

He had some complications, and you will hear about that, and ended up staying in the hospital for three weeks longer than what he suspected, but like I said, he was continuing to work the whole time.

Middle of October, so about six weeks after his surgery, he went back home, and his home was in Atlanta. So he went back home to Atlanta, and he stayed there. He was back on his home computer in his home office, had -- First Data was right down -- their office in Atlanta was right down the road. It came and set up all the electronics he needed, video conferencing, gave him his laptop so he would be more

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

efficient, and set up an Adobe meeting system. And I will have somebody explain that to you about how -- it was real They had -- you know, they're in a meeting room, in an office somewhere they had cameras up, and Mr. Barger could see them, Mr. Barger could communicate with them, he could type. But his throat was still healing. Couldn't speak yet, and he can now, it's great. He's getting -- it is amazing technology that they now have. It is not this little electronic thing that's on your throat. It is actually a device that connects your trachea to your esophagus and then pushes on this button, the air comes up, out -- no, it comes up out of our mouths, that doesn't work anymore. His air comes up and comes out this hole. When he pushes that button, it forces the air to go into his esophagus and vibrates the back of his mouth. And so it pushes the button and vibrates the back of his mouth, and he can speak, just like when we talk, the air comes up and out, but instead it comes and vibrates our vocal cords, and now it is just vibrating the back of his throat.

So on November 3 -- so he came home mid October. On November 3 of 2016, Mr. Plumeri and defendant Marino flew from New York and -- New York on private jet down to Atlanta to see Mr. Barger. Mr. Barger was not in good shape. There was still some complications, not only with the healing, but, you know, there was drainage issues that gave him pneumonia for a night --

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

THE COURT: Sorry.

(WHEREUPON, there was a short interruption.)

MR. SHEARER: So Mr. Marino and Mr. Plumeri came
November 3. And Mr. Barger was still raw, recovering, had
just come out of the hospital for an overnight stay because of
pneumonia, and Mr. Marino observed him. He was there for
about two hours, and then he left.

Well, about two weeks later, Mr. Marino, who's good friends with Mr. Barger, they worked together a lot, they traveled together, sent Mr. Barger a text message, that November 19th. It was a Saturday. Sent him a text message and told him that First Data was requiring him to take leave and apply for short-term disability because Mr. Barger had been being paid on regular payroll all the way through, from the day of surgery through November 19.

Short-term disability is 66 and two-thirds, so two-thirds of salary, you get that for 90 days. Then you would move on to long-term disability, which is 50 percent of your pay. The distinction between short-term disability and long-term disability is going to be important. The parties have agreed that the way that the short-term disability works is First Data pays for the short -- makes short-term disability payments, and it comes out of First Data's bank accounts, the 66 and two-thirds percent of his salary. If you get to long-term disability, they bought an insurance policy

through MetLife, and once you hit long-term disability, First Data doesn't make those 50 percent payments, MetLife does as part of the insurance policy. It is an insured product. So when he's on short-term disability, it is First Data's expense, when he's on long-term disability, First Data's expense is zero.

So Mr. Barger responds to Mr. Marino's text, and he says, "What? I'm fired? You are removing me from my job?"

And Mr. Marino texts back, "No, no, not fired, just like anybody on short-term disability or leave, your salary will be restored when you are well, and you need to take time to get well and focus on your health."

And that's where the ADA problem is. Mr. Marino saw him on November 3, evaluated the medical condition, and told him that he had to go on leave without -- against Mr. Barger's wishes. And that would be short-term disability for 90 days, and then long-term disability simply because that's a benefit. Under the FMLA, it can be unpaid leave completely.

So Mr. Barger continued -- well, one other thing.

When they forced him onto leave, they also cut his access to the First Data systems. I mean, he could no longer get e-mail, he could no longer do his video conferences. He could not access the First Data. They had a web site for employees where they can get forms and get information about their HR benefits. He could no longer access any of that. He couldn't

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

use a cell phone to e-mail from his First Data e-mail address. So he basically was forced to completely cut communication with First Data.

Now, that's the other violation of the ADA. Up until that point, a company has an obligation to provide a reasonable accomodation to someone who has disability, if they can perform the essential functions of their job, which is exactly what there was. First Data had given him all the technology to perform his job from his home while he was in recovery, and then First Data, on their own, took it away from him and told him, "We are not paying you on payroll anymore, and you can't work on work anymore."

Mr. Barger then received -- took all the way until December 15. So November 19, he was told he was going to leave. There was a mess involving how the forms got sent. But Mr. Barger filled out his forms on the 21st and gave them to the HR department, but it took until December 15 for him finally to get a letter that declared his leave to be from October 24 to January 16, '17. So 10-24 of 16 to 1-16 of 17.

Now, I could have an argument about the start date because he wasn't -- how his leave could have started before he even got the forms, I am not quite sure, but for purposes of this case it doesn't really matter because on -- because he delivered his physicians note before his leave expired. But he was told when he -- even though they declared his leave,

October 24, January 16, he was told he couldn't come back until he had a physician's letter. And if he didn't get the physician's letter, when leave expired, at that point he was no longer on protected leave and his job could be filled, he could be terminated, so he needed to get back by that date or ask for additional leave, but not under the FMLA.

Just a few weeks after he got that, at the end of December, he went into the office for the holiday party. On December 22, he went into the office, you know, Merry Christmas, happy holidays to his team, and at that point he told members of First Data's management his plan was to return in January. That was the first time he told them he was coming back.

On December 28, he told Jeff Hack that he was coming back on January 16. Jeff Hack then told Mr. Marino that Mr. Barger was coming back on January 16. He then on January 5, he informed lead management that he was coming back on January 16, and he told them that he would get his doctor's note on January 10.

Now, remember, he was forced on leave November 19, and here we are just January, six weeks later, and he's already coming back.

So Mr. Barger did exactly as he said. Went to his doctor on January 10. Doctor says, you're good to go. He immediately drove from the doctors to the First Data office,

and handed his return to work authorization, signed by his doctor to defendant Johnson, and they scheduled him to come back a week later.

A week sounds like a lot, but it's really not.

January 10 was a Tuesday. And January 17 then is a Tuesday,
but the 16th was Martin Luther King holiday. So it was really
only three business days for him to get ready to come back,
for the company to get ready for him to come back.

Then he got told on January 13. So the business day before he was supposed to go back to work, 6:00 p.m., Mr. Johnson called him up and told him that he was terminated and that there was no need for him to come into the office the following day, following business day.

That violates the FMLA. It says you need to restore someone to their position or to an equivalent position. You are going to hear some stories of a company-wide restructuring, you're going to hear some stories about how we should recount dates. You are going to hear some stories about how Mr. Barger may have not -- may have tricked his friend of 30 years. That's all lawyer speak. That's not what happened. What happened was Mr. Barger turned in his note on January 10, said his return to work date, and was fired and wasn't restored.

Now, I also think I'm going to be able to prove to you that Mr. Barger was fired only because he decided to come

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because when he's on long-term disability, he's zero back. cost to First data. That's being paid by the insurance But when he decides to come back to work, First Data has to start paying his salary again. And First Data would have been just fine letting him continue on long-term disability for as long as he could, and they would just go on their merry way, taking his responsibilities, giving them to someone else, hiring someone else, restructuring it. that's what they thought he was going to do. They thought he was going to go out to pasture. And, instead, consistent with what everyone will testify to, he decided he wanted to go back to work. And it was him wanting to go back to work, I think the evidence will show, for economic reasons, that First Data terminated him because they didn't want to take his salary back.

Well, that's exactly what the FMLA was designed for. Before the FMLA, there were no protections for leave. If you were ill in such a situation, you had to rely upon the kindness of your employer to keep your job, not fire you for being absent. Once you were down on sick days and you were out of vacation days, that was it. You didn't have any job protection at all. And the argument made by the employers on why they didn't want the FMLA was we can't -- we have a business to run here. We can't have employees out. And so there were negotiations for eight years in Congress, trying to

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

figure out how to balance what the employers need and that the employees need to have a protected job. You need cancer surgery. And the balance is, what was struck, was 12 weeks of unpaid leave. But on the other side of it, there's unpaid, but the employee's job was protected.

So you could leave, not get paid, but your job is still there. The First Data forgot the second part. We got the leave, Mr. Barger got the leave, he got forced on short-term disability, he got long-term disability, but the second half that comes with that wasn't there.

The Americans With Disabilities Act, I have alluded to that, it was -- that statute was adopted back in 1991, based on the idea that physical disabilities don't diminish a person's right to participate in society and that because society had historically shunned or segregated disabled individuals, that a law was needed to remedy that situation to make companies be careful in the way that they're thinking. Make sure they don't discriminate against the disabled in their hiring decision and in their pay decision and in their termination decisions.

Both -- all the defendants and the plaintiffs, that we all agree, that cancer is a disability under the terms of the Americans With Disabilities Act. In addition, once Mr. Barger's voice box was removed and he's using a prosthetic device to speak, that change in his speaking is also a

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

disability for purposes of the ADA, and there's no dispute about that between the parties.

against the plaintiff on the basis of his disability in regards to his discharge or any other terms and conditions of employment, and First Data violates that provision, and I think it is not necessarily going to be obvious, they forced Mr. Barger onto leave because they told him that he needed to work on his health. And what his health problem -- was the cancer and recovery from the removal of his voice box, both of which are disabilities. So the decision to put him onto leave was solely motivated by First Data's observation of his health condition, and in telling him to go on leave and take of himself.

It may sound like a noble act for First Data to be concerned about Mr. Barger's health and Mr. Barger's condition. But Mr. Barger didn't want that. He wanted to continue to work. And you can't force someone who's disabled to take an accommodation if they don't want it. And, in fact, that becomes a violation of the ADA itself. You can't tell someone you are so disabled, let me give you this aid for your job. If you say no, I can't force you to, but if you can't perform, then I can discipline you however's necessary. Failure to do your job, write you up at work, terminate you if you can't do your job.

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

But Mr. Barger wasn't given that chance to continue working. He was only given forced leave, which really, as it turns out, really wasn't forced leave, it was really a termination. I mean, he -- yes, he was on leave for 12 weeks, but as soon as he came back, he was fine. And there's also the failure to accommodate claim, which I've talked to you about, revoking Mr. Barger's remote access.

So overall -- the excuses. I guess I want to cover these excuses you are going to hear real quick.

These guys are creative. They are going to take some tidbits of facts, e-mails here and there, and then they'll weave a story. One of them I call the time travel excuse, and they're going to try to explain to you that you should not look at really what happened, but you should go back and change the date as to when leave started, even -- and they want leave to have started on the date of surgery, but Mr. Barger was working that whole time. And they wanted to end the week after he filled out his forms asking for leave.

Now how will they do that? We will see if you believe the facts. I don't see how the facts work that way.

There's the restructuring job elimination defense, and they are going to insist that the plaintiff's job was eliminated as part of a company-wide restructuring involving the termination of three to four hundred people.

The evidence will show that this excuse is a

subterfuge, a smoke screen, just an excuse for them to -- for First Data not to have to comply with laws like the ADA or the FMLA.

Here's why. First Data has terminated large groups of people every single quarter for 18 to 20 straight quarters. Now, then the way that they account for that is interesting. I hope to try to explain it to you. What they end up doing is what would have been your bonus expense for that year, they take it and they call it a severance expense or a restructuring charge. And then for purposes of calculating their net adjusted income and their earnings before interest, taxes, appreciation, amortization, they exclude that. So all the sudden they are net adjusted earnings go up, even though they have paid severance, simply because they call it severance instead of what would have been your bonus. And so those concepts, those financial numbers then become part of the formula for determining the amount of bonuses for the rest of the management that remains employed at the company.

So it becomes a cycle where they terminate large groups of people every quarter, and -- but the interesting part is, their head count is up. Their compensation expense is up. Yet they have terminated large groups of people every single quarter.

So even if Mr. Barger was in this reduction of force, and I intend to prove he wasn't, but if he was, their

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

50

excuse doesn't make any sense. It is not an elimination of His job, and they will admit, the job -- his job duties were moved to somebody else while he was gone. on the same day he was hired, Dan Charron, whose group he was in, hired a senior vice president on the exact same day that they terminated Mr. Barger. This is not a reduction in head count. And they cannot show you that these reductions in head count have done anything economically because they continue to hire after they fire all these people. And it is all for accounting manipulation and accounting engineering. It is not for any real -- for a real purpose, it is just so they can change their financial statements when they talk to Wall Street about their stock, when they talk to their debt holders that they owe money to, to say that they are doing something, but in reality, it is just -- it is like a Ferris wheel. Some employees get off, some employees get on.

And their head count continues to increase, but they are going to try to tell you, these are legitimate terminations as part of a reduction in force, and they are not. And I will be able to prove that they are not.

Remember, and I'll conclude here, the events of only 55 days are at issue. And so trying to keep track of the days is going to be important, and I hope at the end to be able to have a calendar to show when all of these events happened. But it is from November 19, when Mr. Barger was forced on

leave, to January 13, when he was notified of his termination.

And a lot of things happened in there, but the whole time

Mr. Barger was on leave, and wasn't -- didn't have access.

So I am going to have to prove what happened during that time period, using the e-mails that they have produced during discovery, and using their witnesses that were there, to try to get them to tell you what happened. Remember, their incentive, especially the defendants, is to tell their story, and I will point that out while they are being examined.

Well, the trial -- in thinking about this, I am going to try to organize things into sort of five time frames. There's the -- the first time frame is before Mr. Barger was hired, so his relationship with Joe Plumeri, who hired him at First Data. We are going to talk about that. Then we are going to talk about the time between his hiring and his surgery, which are the programs and training programs and what Mr. Barger did when he was working at his job full-time in the office before his diagnosis.

Then from the surgery to the time of forced leave, how Mr. Barger was working in the hospital and was working at home. And then from the time of forced leave to the time he turns in his doctor's note and is terminated. And then, finally, there's going to be some relevant evidence related to the period of time from the time he was terminated until we are here today.

So you're about to watch a major company, a very big company, try to cover for what is a mistake. They should have brought him back. They should have brought him back. Had they brought him back to a position or even equivalent position, they always forget that one, even if his job was given to someone else, that doesn't mean that they couldn't have provided him an equivalent position.

They are going to ask you to ignore time and recreate events, and they are going to attack Mr. Barger's credibility and mischaracterize his job.

What they are trying to tell you is, because we fire lots of people every quarter, we don't have to comply with the FMLA. If that person is one of them, we don't have to comply with anybody in this group that's being fired. This quarter, your FMLA rights don't matter. That's what they are going to try to tell you. They will tell you that officers with multiple decades, like Mr. Plumeri or Mr. Bisignano, somehow were tricked or made a mistake in their hiring of Mr. Barger. And the evidence will show that that simply cannot be true.

And the evidence will be contrary on both the time counting, on the trickery of Wall Street executives, and onto this restructuring, and it is all designed to force you to take into account and ignore that they forced him on leave in violation of the ADA, that they didn't reinstate him when he brought back his doctor's note. Those are the only two things

you need to remember, and I look forward to presenting this evidence to you, and I am confident you will agree with me and the plaintiff that Mr. Barger deserves justice, and I am going to place this decision in your hands in a few days, and I hope you see it the way I do, which is you can't force somebody on to leave because they are disabled, and when they do come back from leave and they give you a doctor's note, you've got to give them their job back.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Shearer.

Mr. Eidelman.

MR. EIDELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

MR. EIDELMAN: Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen.

Your Honor, thank you.

362. 362 is the total number of employees who had their jobs eliminated as part of a restructuring, a reduction in force, that occurred in late 2016 and 2017, that included the plaintiff, Mr. Barger. And Mr. Barger was included because at that time he was the 54th highest paid employee in the company, earning -- out of 22,000 employees. And the company determined that it did not need somebody earning close to \$70,000 running a sales training group. That's the evidence -- close to \$700,000. That's the evidence, ladies and gentlemen. And we are going to talk about the evidence.

Before I begin, I just want to say one thing. I did not interrupt Mr. Shearer when he tried to give you a lesson and instruct you on what the law is regarding the FMLA and the Americans With Disabilities Act, the ADA.

You heard Judge Block tell you at the beginning that it is the judge's responsibility to tell you what the law is, and the lawyers are here to argue or to present evidence, and opening statement is where I am going to present evidence to you. I am not going to argue the case right now.

But because Mr. Shearer did tell you what the law was under the FMLA, he left one piece out, and the piece that he left out is that there's an exception to bringing somebody back at the end of FMLA leave, and it is called in the regulation limitations, the right to restoration. And the limitation that is issued in this case -- that is at issue in this case is that you would otherwise have been laid off, regardless of whether or not you were at work or not, it is not a violation of the ADA to include somebody in a restructure in force. And that's what happened in this particular case.

Mr. Barger would have been included in this reduction in force regardless if he was at leave or regardless if he was at work, and that's why he was included, along with 362 other people who were let go. And they were let go because at that point in time, management decided that there

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

55

was -- that management had become top heavy. And you are going to hear something called the reduction in force of ten percent of the top 3,000 highest compensated employees of First Data. They were the managers of First Data, and Mr. Barger was one of them.

Mr. Barger was hired in 2014 first as a consultant, and then as an employee by Joseph Plumeri. Mr. Barger and Joe Plumeri go back many, many years. 30 years together. They were friends. Mr. Plumeri went from company to company, and he brought Mr. Barger with him along the way. And, ultimately, they get to First Data. And you've heard a little bit about what First Data does today, and you are going to hear a lot more about it during the trial. But what it does is exactly that, from that standpoint, which is, you go to the credit card, you go to the cash register, you pull out your credit or your debit card. You ever wonder how that transaction is completed so quickly? Well, that's what First Data's business was, where you swipe your card, and the machine talks to your bank, and the bank talks to the That's what the business is, and you are going to merchant. hear a lot more about that.

This case is a little bit different than a lot of employment cases that are presented. You're not going to hear witness after witness come up and testify in this particular case that we had -- that we were looking for cause to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

terminate Mr. Barger. That's not what this case is about.

This case is about whether or not it was justifiable to include him with 361 other people in this reduction in force.

Mr. Barger decided when his employment was terminated to sue First Data, and he also decided to sue four individuals that had been identified. If you will just indulge me for a moment, I want to go back to counsel table so that I can introduce them to you, if I may.

This is Frank Bisignano. Frank Bisignano was the CEO of First Data. And it was Mr. Bisignano who made the decision in 2016 or 2017 that the company had become too top pay with management, so they needed to look at eliminating, and you will hear these terms, spans and layers of management, to bring the managers closer to the workers, and also to give the managers more increased responsibility.

Mr. Barger himself had throat cancer, and it was
Mr. Bisignano, along with Joe Plumeri, who referred Mr. Barger
to Dr. Lou Harrison, as soon as they found out that Mr. Barger
had been unfortunately diagnosed with throat cancer. And
Frank joined the company in 2013 to help turn that company
around.

This is Dan Charron. Dan Charron joined the company sometime in 2015 to lead GBS, global business solutions, the largest division in the company. And it was Dan Charron, who in the fall of 2015 talked to Mr. Barger about the fact that

the company could not have somebody earning \$700,000 a year running a sales training group, that he needed to get with his manager Jeff Hack to come up with a plan to increase the scope of Mr. Barger's responsibilities. That happened in 2015, prior to the time that Mr. Barger had been diagnosed with throat cancer. And you heard testimony that Mr. Charron never got that plan.

This is Tony Marino. Tony Marino was an executive vice president of human resources. He's also a defendant here. Tony Marino and Steve Barger were very close friends. They traveled a great deal together. They worked together closely. And it was Mr. Marino who did everything he could, financially and otherwise, to support Mr. Barger when he first became sick, and then when he had to go out for additional surgery. And he did this for the person that he called "coach." That's Mr. Marino.

This is Rhonda Johnson. Rhonda Johnson was

Mr. Barger's HR business partner when he was at First Data.

She also helped him manage the sales training group over those years. It was Ms. Johnson who when Mr. Barger needed to fill out forms for FMLA and short-term disability and long-term disability so that payment plans could continue once he stopped being paid, at some time in the future or whenever it may be, it was Ms. Johnson who did that. Mr. Barber describes Ms. Johnson as indispensable and someone who he cares very

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

much about.

These four individual defendants seem to suggest that the adage comes true, that no good deed goes unpunished. And what's happened here, in addition to suing First Data, his employer, Mr. Barger has sued Frank Bisignano individually. He has sued Tony Marino individually. And he has sued Rhonda Johnson individually.

Now, you have heard what Mr. Barger's claims are in this case. Well, let me tell you what his claims are not. Mr. Barger testified at his deposition that he was not -- that he does not believe that he was terminated because he has cancer. Mr. Barger testified at his deposition that he does not believe that he was terminated because he took leave. Mr. Barger testified at his deposition that he did believe that the reason why Tony Marino insisted that he go out on leave in November of 2016 was to take care of himself, not worry about work, so that he could get better.

You are going to hear the First Data witnesses testify that the hardest thing that they do, and they have done in the past, is to let people know that they've been terminated or separated from employment. It is a very difficult thing for them to do. But First Data doesn't just throw people out on the street. First Data offers employees generous packages to ease their transition into the next phase, whatever it may be. Mr. Barger chose not to accept

his, and he decided to sue.

We are going to talk a lot about what happened in 2016 and 2017, but I think it is very important that we first go back to 2013. It's a critical time period, and I will tell you why.

In 2013, early 2013, Mr. Bisignano, Frank Bisignano, gets a telephone call from KKR. KKR is a company that happens to own First Data. It was a private company at that point in time.

Mr. Bisignano, Frank, is asked whether or not he wants to consider becoming the CEO, the chief executive officer, of First Data. You see, in the seven years prior to that, First Data had five CEOs come and go. Why? The company was on the verge of bankruptcy. The company -- this number, it's a big number, it is hard to understand. The company had \$24 billion in debt that had been taken over years and years and years. The company had \$2 billion in interest that it had to pay every year. So it had no money to invest in anything because any money that came in went to pay for that interest. This happened long before any of those individuals worked at First Data, including Mr. Barger.

The company was in shambles, and it was in trouble, and they were calling Frank to see if he was interested in coming to help save up to 24 or 25,000 people's jobs because that's the other thing First Data had. First Data was

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

employing close to 25,000 people whose livelihoods depended on First Data. But this company was either going to get rescued or it was going to go out of business, and ten of thousands of people would lose their job.

It was a tough time, but, you know, First Data had something else. It had the name. It had been in the payments industry and, therefore, it knew what it was doing so it had a good reputation, from that perspective.

So Frank decides, he speaks to his wife. They talk about he knows it is going to be a challenge. He's had experience doing these kinds of things, so he decides to take on the job. He arrives. He knows he can't do it alone, so he brings in a team with him. He starts to hire people to come on board and help him turn around the company, and he does that. He hires people like Dan Charron, who I have introduced you to, who has had a lot of experience in the payments industry. He also brings on Tony Marino, and he brings on Tony Marino's support. Tony has worked at big companies, but, more importantly, he's also worked at big companies that have been in trouble and what needed to be done to help turn it around.

The team starts to get to work. They work 24/7.

They leave no stone unturned. They are looking at everybody's job, they are look at technology, they are looking at what can we do to turn this around. They convince KKR, the owner of

Annette M. Montalvo, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

OPENING STATEMENT - DEFENDANTS First Data, not to sell off pieces of the company. this would have been disastrous. People would have lost their jobs, and they didn't want to do that. They wanted to try and make a go of it. In 2013, when Mr. Bisignano, when Frank joins the company, he also brings on board Joe Plumeri, whose name you have heard today already. Joe was brought on as a senior advisor, and he had many duties and responsibilities, one of which was to help turn around the sales culture at First Data. (Continued on the next page.)

(In open court.)

MR. EIDELMAN: (Cont'g.) Mr. Plumeri happens to reconnect with Mr. Barger after 13 years. They had been friends and worked together for 30 years. They had disconnected for 13 years. They got reconnected, and Joe said, you know what, we really need help. It's a desperate time. We really need to turn this around.

He turned to his friend Steve Barger, and he said, I want you to come join me; and that's what happened. And the two of them started to go out on what are called road shows. They started to go out, train salespeople how they wanted to do these new things; and that went on for a while. That's what they were doing. That's what Mr. Barger was really good. First Data admits that he was really good at this motivational sales transformation type of thing.

That was in the beginning of 2014, and then in August of 2014 Mr. Barger is asked by Joe Plumeri to take on the role of managing the sales training group. The sales training group at that point in time was probably around 50 employees or so, and they were the ones who designed the training that then -- that the salespeople would ultimately use.

Mr. Barger himself was not in sales. He didn't sell. That's not what he went to do.

So then we fast forward a little bit, to the fall of

2015. A lot of things happen in the fall of 2015.

2 Mr. Plumeri stops being involved on a day-to-day basis. He

3 has now done this for a few years or so. He can't -- he's got

4 other things to do. So he steps out and he stops being

5 Mr. Barger's supervisor. That becomes Jeff Hack. You have

heard that already from Mr. Shearer; and ultimately Mr. Hack

and Dan Charron worked together in GBS.

At this point in time Rhonda Johnson also comes into the picture. Rhonda Johnson becomes this HR business partner, and during the course of that she is helping him do everything that she can. So she is working in sales training.

I mentioned already that Mr. Charron in the fall of 2015 has a conversation with Mr. Barger about his compensation and says we can't have somebody -- you are the highest-paid senior vice president in GBS at this time who doesn't have any revenue responsibilities. Accountants might call what sales training was as overhead of some sort, and you are making close to \$700,000. You need to come up with a plan with Jeff Hack. Tell me what you are going to do to try and justify this money. Never heard from Mr. Hack about it and never heard from Mr. Barger about it either.

Something else important happened during this time period. Rhonda Johnson started to talk to Mr. Barger about the need to hire his successor, the person who was going to take over his job. Now, Ms. Johnson knew that a year earlier,

six months after Mr. Barger got to First Data, he was working on hiring his successor. The company went out and started that process of doing that, but because of budgetary constraints it got put on hold.

Remember, I told you this company was in dire financial straits. So that got put on hold.

A year later Ms. Johnson raises it with Mr. Barger and discusses it with him. She also discusses it with Dan Charron, and she discuss it is with Jeff Hack.

Moving forward with the successor takes a pause when, unfortunately, in February of 2016 Mr. Barger is diagnosed with throat cancer. Mr. Barger tells Joe Plumeri at a meeting in Florida that he has been diagnosed. Mr. Plumeri immediately picks up his telephone and calls Dr. Lou Harrison, whose name you have heard here today. He called Lou Harrison. He said, Lou, Dr. Harrison, there is a colleague of ours here at First Data, somebody I have known for a long time, you need to see him. This is a renowned oncologist, very busy practice. He is seen almost the next day.

How does Joe Plumeri know Dr. Lou Harrison? Because he was Frank Bisignano's doctor when Frank had cancer in 2010; and, when it came to the time that Joe's wife had cancer, Frank Bisignano immediately referred Joe to Lou Harrison. At that same meeting, Mr. Bisignano learned from Mr. Barger that he had been diagnosed, unfortunately, with throat cancer. The

MICHELE NARDONE, RPR, CRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

first thing Mr. Bisignano, Frank, did was to get in touch with Joe and said have we gotten Mr. Barger in, have we gotten Steve in to see Dr. Harrison; and Joe assured him that he did.

During the course -- and you heard it -- he underwent radiation, and he continued to first on First Data OA. Now, I thought I heard in the opening that it was Mr. Barger who developed First Data OA. That's not what the evidence is going to show. The evidence is going to show that Mr. Marino, who joined the company in March of 2015, in the fall of 2015 Mr. Marino decided that First Data OA should roll out because they were no longer doing the road shows with Joe Plumeri any more. He was going upstairs.

And Mr. Marino put together a program that brought together some senior leaders in the company, and they went out and did a day or a day and a half seminar where they talked about the history of the company, payments in the company. The former CEO spoke for three hours in the morning about it, and then you had other people come in to talk about how you do a deal, how you do this.

Mr. Barger's presentation in First Data OA was 45 minutes in the afternoon, and he talked about things like sales enterprise selling, the things that Mr. Shearer was telling you about. That's when Mr. Shearer -- excuse me, when Mr. Barger and Tony Marino became good friends, because they started traveling together. But it wasn't Mr. Barger who put

together First Data OA; it was Tony Marino.

But during the time after he had his radiation and it was difficult for Mr. Barger to speak, the company didn't say you can't do First Data OA anymore, even though it's difficult. He continued to participate in First Data OA, because that was the right thing to do, and that's what happened.

But during the time that he is undergoing radiation, there is a pause put on the search for his successor. The company said let's hold off. But after the radiation was done, Rhonda Johnson will testify -- and there is evidence to this -- that she spoke to Mr. Barger again about the need for a successor; that the sales training group was in shambles at the time. There was no leadership; there was no direction.

You see, Mr. Barger was the senior vice president up here of sales training, and the workers were down here at director level. There was nobody in between. Ms. Johnson was trying to fill that role, but she is in human resources. She is not in GBS. That's not where she belongs.

And she talked to him over the years and counseled him about what he needed to do to improve his leadership and his training and his management of that group; and he agreed that they needed to look for a successor, and the company started to do that. The company started to do that, and ultimately determined at the end of the day that there wasn't

a need for a successor, because we will get to the 362 again.

Mr. Barger was supposed to go out on surgery and did have surgery at the beginning of 20 -- excuse me, in September of 2016. Right before he went out on surgery, Joe Plumeri asked him and Tony Marino to come play golf. You know what, sometimes they say that a picture speaks a thousand words; and I know this was important to Mr. Barger. There is no question about it.

But this is the picture that was taken like three days before they went to play golf. This is Mr. Barger. This is Joe Plumeri, who you are going to meet tomorrow. And this is Tony Marino. Tony Marino, the same defendant that's sitting over there, who has been sued under the FMLA.

Mr. Barger goes out on surgery in early September. And you are right, the company does not require him to go out on leave at that time. He says he wants to keep working. He is a senior vice president; and the company says, okay, you can work, and they continue to pay his salary throughout that entire time.

In early November, Joe Plumeri and Tony Marino fly down to Atlanta to go visit Mr. Barger. During this time period, Joe Plumeri is texting with Mr. Barger, seeing how he is; and Mr. Barger doesn't want him to come visit. He says he is too ill to see people yet, he is not ready; but, finally, he relents, and in November his friends fly down to see him.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They spend time together. It was a good visit. The visit was so good that Mr. Barger describes the time they spent together as priceless.

Mr. Plumeri and Mr. Marino are going to testify under oath that the reason for that visit was not to spy on Mr. Barger, not to find out what was, you know, whether or not he could work or not. It was to go visit and cheer up their friend.

Now, you have heard something about a story, the stories we are going to tell. We are not telling stories. We are presenting evidence. There is a reason why Mr. Marino and the company told Mr. Barger that he had to go out on a leave, and this got left out. If you listening carefully in the opening, there is a piece that got left out, because about a week and a half after that visit Mr. Barger sends Tony Marino a text message; and you will see those text messages about a week and a half later, and it says, doctor found some more cancer, I need to go in for another operation, I'm going to be in the hospital for six days, and I'm going to have another four weeks of recovery. This is what he texted to Tony He didn't tell him when they were there. He texted them a week later, a week and a half later.

Now, by this point in time Mr. Marino was aware of some strange behaviors that had occurred while Mr. Barger was recovering from leave. He had showed up on a couple of video

calls with his team not wearing a shirt. He had sent a couple of e-mails that were incoherent, including to senior managers of the company, that suggested to the company that he was heavily medicated at the time that he was sending these text messages. And now here Mr. Marino gets an e-mail that says, I need more surgery and I'm going to be out another four weeks.

So the company decides at that point in time, we need to shut Mr. Barger down, we need to let him concentrate on getting better. Not worrying about things at the company, but getting better. And as I testified -- as I said, not testified -- Mr. Barger testified at his deposition that he believed that the reason why Tony Marino did that, the reason Tony Marino did that and the company did that was because they truly wanted him to get better; and that was the reason.

And it's true, his access was turned off, and you heard talk about what the ADA requires one way or another. The reason why the company turned off Mr. Barger's access is because if he wasn't going to be working there is no need for him to have access to the company's systems. So there is no reason for an accommodation.

What happens then is Mr. Barger is sent paperwork.

This wasn't going to be unpaid leave. Mr. Barger continued to get paid, and you are going to see the paychecks that went all the way through his entire employment. But Rhonda Johnson gets involved and offers to help him with his paperwork. She

helps him get his paperwork sent out; and, finally, his doctor -- not our doctor but his doctor -- sends in the FMLA certification form, and this doctor sends it in in mid-December, and his doctor said that Mr. Barger is incomplete incapacitated starting in October.

We didn't fill out the form. The doctor filled out the form. The doctor said he is completely incapacitated beginning in October and that he can't work. He then does get notification from the company, and that's fine. Later on you are going to hear that there was some questions about whether or not he had filled out enough paperwork for his STD and LTD.

The company reached out to him in the beginning of January because there was now a question as to when did his leave start, and in response to an e-mail that he was sent, that he was sent by somebody in leave management, here was the question, when did you stop working. An e-mail said, and Mr. Barger's response was, 9/4, my surgery was 9/6. As a result of what Mr. Barger told the company, they went and looked at the MetLife portal; and they showed that, sure enough, MetLife had been working with the doctors and Mr. Barger and determined that his work had stopped on 9/4 when he had surgery.

So he was sent the second FMLA notice; and it's our position, ladies and gentlemen, that at that point in time he went on a different form of leave. So at the time he wanted

to return to work he, was no longer covered by the FMLA; and you will hear more about that.

While Mr. Barger is out they do an internal review of his department, of the sales training. A woman by the name of Robin Ording is appointed on an interim basis to take over his job duties. Robin worked in HR, and her skill set was training. She didn't do this full-time. She just bolted it onto her other duties and activities.

They looked and they did a review from the bottom up. They went in and they started talking to the workers, the people that worked there; and what did they find? They find that during a time when the company was still looking to turn itself around, that this group was projected to not only stay the same and not go down but they were going to be seven more hirings.

But it was a mess. It wasn't well organized. It wasn't well managed by the person who was leading it. So the determination was made, by the way, at that point in time that there was a recommendation that the company did not need somebody earning \$700,000 or so to run a sales training group that could even be shrunk further; and it would be shrunk further, and you are going to hear about that.

Mr. Charron, who is the head of GBS -- and, as I said, sales training is in GBS -- Mr. Charron was told orally or verbally these are the results, this organization is much

too big, it can become a lot smaller, and we don't need somebody at an SVP level running that department.

e-mail exchange where they say Mr. Barger can't come back into that job and he doesn't have a choice and that organization is in shambles. It's at this point in time that Mr. Bisignano, that Frank, has decided there is too many layers of management; and they start looking at how can we cut 10 percent of the top 300 highest-compensated people, and they looked at it, and it wasn't enough. It wasn't going to achieve the objectives.

The company is still in trouble at this point in time. It's gone public, and the price of the shares was actually lower than it was at the time that it went public. They are still in this recovery mode, this restructuring mode. And they make a decision that they are going to focus now on a larger subset. They are going to go to 3,000.

Now, Mr. Barger's name started appearing on some lists as early as mid-November as being eligible and maybe on that list for reduction in force because of his salary and what he was doing. And you will see these e-mails and you will see the RIF list that continued throughout November into December and ultimately into January.

Then in early January, that is when on January 5 -- and you hear a lot of dates in this case, and please try not

to get confused. There are so many dates, and we are going to try and get them for you, but what's important to know that in early January that's when the company decided to expand; and over the course of that weekend Mr. Charron, along with Jeff Hack, got their list of their top 10 percent of that 3,000, and they had to make decisions over the weekend who was going to be selected.

Mr. Barger reported to Mr. Hack. It was Mr. Hack who determined and put Mr. Barger on the list saying he should be included in the 10 percent of the top 3,000 reduction in force. Mr. Hack gave his list to Mr. Charron, who combined it with the list of people that Mr. Charron was responsible for; and, together, a list of 24 names was submitted. That's how Mr. Barger ended up being part of this reduction; and you are going to hear about the reasons why the company selected him or why he was selected for inclusion. That will be part of the evidence. There was a final RIF.

The day after those decisions were made, Mr. Barger shows up at work, meets with Rhonda Johnson; and at this point in time -- and I meant to tell you this -- when the doctor submitted that note that said he was incapacitated, the doctor projected that he was going to be out until March, given what his condition was. He comes back with a note on the 10th and he says, I'm ready to come back to work in a week without any restrictions whatsoever.

Rhonda lets the people in management know about this. Rhonda does not know at that time that Mr. Barger has been selected for inclusion in the RIF and he had already been selected for inclusion in the RIF. So two, three days later Mr. Marino asked Ms. Johnson to call Mr. Barger because she has supported him well; and she told him on Friday the 13th that his job position had been eliminated and there would be a package for him.

The next day Mr. Marino calls him and tells him the same thing, that his job has been eliminated; and they talked about what his package would look like and things that the company was going to do for him over and above. By the way, there is something else that the company did for Mr. Barger over and above that they did for somebody else.

Remember when I told you that Mr. Marino and the company told Mr. Barger that he needed to go out on leave? This was back in November. Well, something else happened two days later. Mr. Barger sent Mr. -- Mr. Barger sent Tony another text message, and that text message said the doctor thinks my cancer might be inoperable. You need to get my family's finances in order, you need to take care of my wife, you need to have my stock transferred to my wife.

And here is what the company did, something that they just don't do for other people. This idea that we discriminated and tried to treat him badly. Tony Marino,

within 24 hours, went to Frank Bisignano and went to Dan
Charron and said I want to pay Mr. Barger his bonus right now.
I have just gotten this e-mail from him. He says he might have inoperable cancer.

Bonuses aren't normally paid until the next year at a company like First Data. They wait for the year to be done and then they pay it. This is in November.

Mr. Marino sent Mr. Barger and e-mail the next day that says we are going to pay your bonus now, we are going to pay it all in cash, \$174,000. Everybody else's bonus was going to be in some cash and some equity in the company. Not for Mr. Barger. And everybody else's bonuses were supposed to be 5 percent less. There was no 5 percent reduction. And Mr. Barger got paid that bonus, something that was done for him, that wasn't done for others.

And this is -- when Tony talked to him about the fact that his job was going to be eliminated, Tony said whatever equity in the company, stock in the company you might lose because your job has been eliminated, we are going to write a check to you for that as well.

Mr. Barger's employment didn't end on January 13 when Ms. Johnson told him his job had been eliminated. The company put him on nonworking notice, and he kept on the payroll for another six weeks at his full salary, for a total of another \$60,000; and they extended the date of his

MICHELE NARDONE, RPR, CRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

separation until February 28 so that he would vest in another portion of his stock. These are the things that the company did for him in recognition of the service that he had provided, and the kind of thing that the company would try to do for people all along; and you are going to hear testimony on that.

Now, Mr. Barger ultimately decides to sue First Data and these four individuals, and normally that's when the story would end. We would be done, and we would then go and start presenting evidence, and that's what would happen; but it's not the end because this case has a twist.

Now, when parties sue each other in court, you then engage in something called discovery. What discovery is is that the parties exchange documents back and forth so you can see what each other has, and then parties also take what are known as depositions.

And we took Mr. Barger's deposition in my office on August 10 of 2018. He came in, and there was a court reporter just like the court reporter here, and he swore to tell the truth, just like the witnesses will do here; and Mr. Shearer was there at his deposition. And we asked him some questions about his case during that period of time.

During that period of time we discovered some evidence that was not known by the company at the time that it happened, and that's why this evidence is called

after-acquired evidence, because it was acquired after the time period that it happened. So I asked Mr. Barger, how did it come about that Joe Plumeri and First Data decided to pay you \$30,000 a month to be a consultant.

Now, you heard in the opening that Mr. Barger started being a consultant in January of 2017. His consulting agreement is dated -- it's dated in April, but the first date of his consulting is the middle of March of 20 -- not the beginning, the middle of March. March 17 to be exact.

I asked him, how did you and Mr. Plumeri agree on \$30,000. He said, I told Mr. Plumeri, I told Joe that I was making 20 to \$25,000 a month. And that's why Joe agreed to pay you 30? He said, yes, that's why Joe did, because I told him what I was making. Mr. Plumeri had no reason to question what Mr. Barger was telling him. They had known each other for 30 years. They were friends. Joe had reached out to him for help.

Mr. Barger wasn't making 20 to \$25,000 as a consultant at that time. He wasn't making 20 to \$25,000 a year at that time. In 2013, the year immediately prior to that conversation that he had with Joe Plumeri, he made less than \$20,000 as a consultant in 2013, and he made less than \$20,000 as a consultant in 2012. That was the first after-acquired fact that we learned at the deposition, at that deposition.

But then we learned a couple of other ones. You see, as I told you, he became a consultant effective March 17. And they entered into a consulting agreement. It's a standard kind of agreement. If you are going to be a consultant, you enter into one of these agreements, and these agreements tell you what the terms are going to be.

And you know what was in there? It said this is the date your consulting is going to start, March 17. It had the \$30,000 number in there, and it also had how he was going to bill, that at the end of the month he would send a bill for the prior month. And there were some other things that were in the standard independent contractor agreement.

I asked him in particular about two invoices. The first invoice was dated February 26, 2007 -- 2014. And I asked him what that was for, considering that his consulting hadn't started until three weeks later. And Mr. Barger's response was, well, that must have been for the time that I spent learning about First Data before I became a consultant. There will be testimony that consultants don't bill you for the time that's spent before you become a consultant.

But there was another invoice. There was another invoice that was dated June 20 of 2014, and that one was for \$50,000. For \$50,000.

Now, you have heard and it is correct that Mr. Barger became a full-time First Data employee and

Mr. Plumeri agreed on behalf of the company to pay him, starting in July of 2014, \$480,000 a year, 250,000 cash bonus, and equity. So he was a consultant for three and a half months. And you will see the invoices that he was paid for three and a half months.

But this \$50,000 invoice, I didn't know what it was for. It said it was for final services rendered as a consultant, \$50,000. I looked in the employment agreement, and I went through with him the independent contractor agreement, and said where is it provided here that you are able to bill for final consulting services; and he couldn't find the provision. It's not there.

And I asked him what the \$50,000 was for. He said it was for his proprietary information, his intellectual property basically. And then I went and pointed out to him that in the independent contractor agreement it provides that he gave First Data a prepaid license to use his information in exchange for the \$30,000 he had already been paid for; and he went and billed the company \$50,000 that got paid.

You are going to hear testimony from First Data witnesses that First Data has terminated employees for dishonesty. You are going to hear testimony from First Data witnesses that employees have been terminated for falsifying expense reports. You are going to hear testimony from First Data witnesses that had they known in June of 2014 that

Mr. Barger had submitted an invoice for services allegedly rendered before he became a consultant but, more importantly, that he had billed the company \$50,000 for intellectual property that was already covered by the \$30,000 a month fee, his employment would have been terminated at that time.

And the judge will instruct you at the end of the case on the law regarding after-acquired evidence, just as Judge Block will instruct you on the law regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the Family Medical Leave Act.

Defendants in this case are going to prove at least five things that will prevent Mr. Barger from prevailing on either liability or damages.

One: That starting in 2013 that management did what it had to do to try and save 22,000 jobs over time, which included a variety of restructuring, closing locations, investing in technology, and it included at times the need to eliminate jobs like Mr. Barger's.

Two: First Data will prove that Dan Charron talked to Mr. Barger and others about his high compensation and that Rhonda Johnson and others talked to Mr. Barger about the need for his replacement; and both of those things happened prior to him being diagnosed with cancer.

Three: That First Data placed Mr. Barger on leave in order for him to get better and that they treated him

better in a number of ways, including that payment of an all-cash bonus after he told Tony Marino that things were dire.

Four: That Mr. Barger's names started appearing on reduction in force lists as early as November of 2016, long before he submitted any request to return to work, and it was done at a time when there had been a review of the sales training group and a determination that the company did not need somebody earning close to \$700,000 running a training group of about 70 people at that time, which had become bloated and was also ineffective.

Five: First Data will also prove that Mr. Barger was one of the highest-paid employees and that his inclusion was not improper. There were 361 other individuals who were affected the same way he was, and the evidence will show that he was included not because he had cancer and not because he was on leave and not because he failed -- that he requested the right to return to leave; and also that First Data, the evidence will show, they did not fail to accommodate Mr. Barger's condition.

At the end of the day, ladies and gentlemen, we are confident that you will find that the evidence will demonstrate that Mr. Barger cannot prevail on liability against First Data, Frank Bisignano, Dan Charron, Tony Marino, and Rhonda Johnson.

We want to thank you for your time and your service. And I will just ask you to remember, 362, 362 are the total number of employees who were impacted along with Mr. Barger at the reduction in force that impacted 10 percent of the top 3,000 highest-compensated employees at First Data. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Eidelman.

So, folks, you have a good idea now of what you can expect, and I think you also realize why we need you as jurors to decide this case.

You know, I find that I'm blessed with having the privilege of being a judge in the federal courthouse; but every day I come to work I learn something new. And you are going to be exposed to learning something probably that you didn't know before as well. So it's always fascinating.

And I can tell by the way that you all are listening very carefully that you are going to become experts in this credit card business of ours and learn an awful lot about how credit cards get processed. How interesting.

So I have no opinion of the case, and you have no opinion of the case right now. It's a blank slate after the lawyers arguments. Tomorrow, as the saying, goes we start to put meat on the bones, I guess.

At 10 o'clock we will have our first witness.

And who will be it, Mr. Shearer.

MR. SHEARER: I'm going to call Mr. Joe Plumeri

Proceedings 83 first. 1 2 THE COURT: So be ready at 10:00 o'clock. Get a 3 good night's sleep. 4 Remember just to tell your folks back home about the fact that Judge Block looks like -- I guess it was Brad Pitt I 5 said -- and keep the peace. There is certainly a lot of 6 7 obviously temptation to talk to people about this case. Maybe 8 people know about this business. I don't know. 9 The Internet obviously has a lot of information. 10 You don't want to check it out. You really have to be like Nobody is going to be there to supervise you. 11 Caesar's wife. 12 We are not going to have marshals standing over you. We are 13 not going to have marshals living with you. So if you really 14 want to find out about things, you obviously can; but you will know the difference and you will realize how important it is 15 16 for us to really not let the outside influences intrude upon 17 you during the course of this trial. 18 We will see you at 10 o'clock. Let's all be here promptly, and we will see you then. 19 20 THE CLERK: All rise. 21 (Jury exits.) 22 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. The jury is not here and I signed 25 this request to allow Mr. Jarred to be accommodated, and if

Proceedings 84 anybody needs any accommodations. I don't know whether the 1 2 federal court comes under the Disabilities Act. I guess it 3 does, but we will certainly try to help anybody who needs 4 help. 5 We will see you folks tomorrow. Does anybody want to put anything on the record before we adjourn for the day? 6 7 I want to thank all of you for the hard work you did in 8 working out the exhibit list. I think you will find that the 9 effort that was made especially, with the aid of my wonderful 10 law clerk Ty Cohn, is going to pay off in spades because we 11 will be able to move smoothly and efficiently and 12 substantively in the process of this case. We have a few 13 things we will have to sort out during the course of this 14 trial, but we will manage it; and we have come a long way from 15 the time we first met and that blunderbuss exhibit list. 16 So I thank you for your professional cooperation as well because this is a court. See you tomorrow at 10 o'clock, 17 18 unless anybody wants to say anything. Mr. Shearer? 19 MR. SHEARER: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Eidelman? 21 MR. EIDELMAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 22 (Trial adjourned to Tuesday, September 17, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.) 23 24 25

Barger v. First Data				
# #155-254 _[1] - 1:18	17 [6] - 42:19, 44:5, 77:9, 78:2, 78:8, 84:22	40:4, 41:14 3,000 [6] - 35:7, 55:3, 72:17, 73:5, 73:10,	9/4 [2] - 70:17, 70:21 9/6 [1] - 70:17 90 [2] - 40:17, 41:16	54:18, 69:16 adage [1] - 58:3 add [1] - 28:6
\$	17-CV-4869(FB [1] - 1:3	82:5 30 [8] - 35:15, 36:7,	^	addition [2] - 46:23, 58:4
J P	18 [1] - 49:5	37:10, 44:20, 55:8,	Α	additional [3] - 28:23,
\$174,000 [1] - 75:10 \$20,000 [2] - 77:22, 77:23 \$24 [1] - 59:16	19 [4] - 40:15, 42:14, 43:20, 50:25 1991 [1] - 46:12 19th [1] - 40:11	62:4, 77:13, 77:16 30-plus [1] - 35:14 300 [1] - 72:9 330 [1] - 2:6	a.m [1] - 84:23 abandoned [1] - 8:10 ABC [2] - 6:21, 12:3 ABC-s [1] - 12:3	43:6, 57:14 address [2] - 3:15, 42:1 adjourn [1] - 84:6
\$25,000 [3] - 77:12, 77:18, 77:19	1:00 [1] - 19:17	34 [1] - 22:4	ability [3] - 21:22,	adjourned [1] - 84:22
\$30,000 [5] - 77:4,	2	361 [2] - 56:3, 81:14 362 [6] - 53:16, 54:24,	27:9, 28:22 able [9] - 5:15, 6:7,	adjusted [2] - 49:11, 49:13
77:11, 78:9, 79:18,		67:1, 82:2	18:19, 38:10, 44:24,	administer [2] - 8:4,
80:4	2 [2] - 33:6, 59:17	3839 [1] - 1:17	50:20, 50:23, 79:11,	25:6
\$480,000 [1] - 79:2 \$50,000 [7] - 78:23,	20 [7] - 49:5, 67:3, 77:8, 77:12, 77:18,	39th [1] - 2:6	84:11 absence [2] - 26:11,	administration [1] -
79:6, 79:8, 79:13,	77:19, 78:22	3:00 [2] - 5:5, 22:22	26:12	19:15 admit [1] - 50:2
79:19, 80:3	2007 [1] - 78:14	4	absent [1] - 45:20	admits [1] - 62:14
\$60,000 [1] - 75:25	2010 [1] - 64:21		absolutely [1] - 5:15	Adobe [1] - 39:1
\$70,000 [1] - 53:23	2012 [1] - 77:23	4 [1] - 22:3	accept [1] - 58:25	adopted [1] - 46:12
\$700,000 [5] - 53:24, 57:1, 63:18, 71:20,	2013 [9] - 34:7, 56:20, 59:4, 59:6, 61:5,	4,000 [1] - 32:13 4,000-people [1] -	accepted [1] - 26:25 access [8] - 41:20,	advantage [1] - 34:13
81:9	77:20, 77:22, 80:14	33:5	41:23, 41:25, 48:7,	advisor [1] - 61:8 advisory [1] - 33:1
	2014 [11] - 34:7, 35:19,	40 [1] - 35:2	51:3, 69:15, 69:17,	advocate [1] - 16:15
•	35:25, 36:7, 55:6,	45 [1] - 65:20	69:19	advocating [1] - 17:3
'17 [1] - 42:19	62:16, 62:17, 78:14,	4:30 [1] - 16:12	acclimated [1] - 9:18	affected [1] - 81:15
17 [1] - 42.19	78:22, 79:2, 79:25 2015 [8] - 56:23,	5	accommodate [2] - 48:6, 81:19	Afghanistan [1] -
1	56:25, 57:4, 63:1,	3	accommodated [2] -	13:20 after-acquired [3] -
4.40 40.40	63:13, 65:9, 65:10	5 [5] - 19:19, 43:17,	14:11, 83:25	77:1, 77:24, 80:7
1-16 [1] - 42:19 10 [13] - 19:19, 43:19,	2016 [12] - 37:8, 37:10,	72:24, 75:13	accommodation [2] -	afternoon [12] - 3:1,
43:24, 44:5, 44:22,	37:21, 38:5, 39:20, 53:18, 56:11, 58:16,	50 [7] - 1:22, 9:14, 19:5, 19:8, 40:18,	47:19, 69:20	3:2, 3:9, 5:11, 5:15,
72:9, 73:5, 73:10,	59:3, 64:11, 67:4,	41:2, 62:19	accommodations [1] - 84:1	16:11, 19:11, 24:8,
76:18, 82:4, 82:23,	81:5	500 [1] - 2:2	accomodation [1] -	25:7, 25:24, 53:14, 65:21
83:18, 84:17	2017 [4] - 53:18,	506 [1] - 1:22	42:6	afterwards [3] - 9:4,
10-24 [1] - 42:19 10017 [1] - 2:7	56:11, 59:3, 77:6	54th [1] - 53:20	account [2] - 49:6,	15:9, 37:17
106th [1] - 33:6	2018 [1] - 76:18 2019 [2] - 1:8, 84:22	55 [1] - 50:22	52:23	ago [2] - 8:11, 22:12
10:00 [9] - 5:18, 16:13,	21202 [1] - 2:2	6	Accountants [1] - 63:16	agree [5] - 17:4, 17:6,
19:21, 20:4, 20:11,	21st [1] - 42:16		accounting [2] - 50:10	46:22, 53:2, 77:10 agreed [5] - 17:5,
20:18, 20:21, 83:2,	22 [1] - 43:9	6 [3] - 22:3, 37:25,	accounts [1] - 40:24	40:21, 66:22, 77:12,
84:23 10th [1] - 73:23	22,000 [3] - 27:12,	38:5 6,000 [1] - 27:12	achieve [1] - 72:11	79:1
11201 [2] - 1:22, 2:11	53:21, 80:15 225 [1] - 2:11	613-2274 [1] - 2:12	acquired [4] - 77:1, 77:24, 80:7	agreement [7] - 77:7,
12 [8] - 15:5, 15:6,	24 [7] - 18:18, 29:25,	66 [2] - 40:16, 40:24	Act [14] - 26:3, 26:15,	78:3, 78:4, 78:12, 79:8, 79:10, 79:16
15:7, 15:8, 46:3,	42:19, 43:1, 59:24,	6:00 [1] - 44:10	26:20, 27:4, 30:8,	agreements [4] -
48:4 12:30 [2] - 19:13,	73:13, 75:1	-	30:9, 31:7, 46:11,	34:20, 34:21, 78:5
1 2:30 [2] - 19.13, 19:17	24/7 [1] - 60:22 25,000 [2] - 59:24,	7	46:23, 54:4, 80:9,	ahead [1] - 25:22
13 [5] - 44:9, 51:1,	25,000 [2] - 59.24,	70 [1] - 81:10	80:10, 84:2 act [1] - 47:15	aid [2] - 47:21, 84:9 air [4] - 39:11, 39:12,
62:3, 62:5, 75:21	250,000 [1] - 79:2	718 [1] - 2:12	action [2] - 20:22,	39:13, 39:16
13th [1] - 74:6	26 [1] - 78:14	75 [1] - 29:11	26:17	AL [1] - 1:9
15 [4] - 5:1, 29:18, 42:14, 42:17	28 [2] - 43:14, 76:1	75204 [1] - 1:18	actions [1] - 26:4	all-cash [1] - 81:2
16 [8] - 1:8, 29:18,	2:30 [1] - 1:8	9	activities [1] - 71:8	allegedly [1] - 80:1
42:19, 43:1, 43:15,	3		ADA [13] - 29:14, 30:2, 30:8, 41:13, 42:4,	alley [1] - 32:12
43:16, 43:18		9/11 [3] - 12:6, 12:8,	47:1, 47:3, 47:20,	allow [3] - 10:14, 11:7, 83:25
16th [1] - 44:6	3 [4] - 39:19, 39:20,	12:14	49:2, 52:24, 54:4,	allowed [2] - 9:2, 22:1

42:13, 42:16, 43:16,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019__

_2

alluded [1] - 46:11 almost [5] - 12:15, 28:6, 28:7, 34:19, 64:19 alone [1] - 60:12 alternates [3] - 15:7, 15:8, 15:11 amazing [1] - 39:7 American [2] - 32:25, 35.6 Americans [7] - 26:3, 26:14, 30:8, 46:11, 46:23, 54:4, 80:9 amortization [1] -49:12 amount [1] - 49:17 Angry [1] - 15:8 angry [1] - 15:8 anniversary [1] - 12:6 answer [6] - 8:25, 11:7, 11:10, 11:13, 14:6, 24:25 answering [1] - 23:17 answers [2] - 14:5, 24:24 anticipate [2] - 28:4, 28:8 apologize [2] - 19:25, 20.22 appearance [2] - 19:6, 19.9 APPEARANCES[1] -1:16 appearances [1] -2:23 appearing [2] - 72:18, apple [1] - 12:9 apply [2] - 10:24, 40:13 appointed [2] - 12:25, 71:5 appreciation [1] -49:12 April [2] - 35:25, 77:7 area [1] - 33:5 areas [1] - 31:16 argue [3] - 16:18, 54:7, 54:9 argument [3] - 16:17, 42:20, 45:22 arguments [3] - 22:24, 28:8, 82:21 arise [2] - 30:12, 30:14 **ARNSTEIN**[1] - 2:1 Arnstein [1] - 3:10 arrives [1] - 60:12 aspect [1] - 34:19 Assisted [1] - 2:15 associated [1] - 19:3

Association [1] - 33:1 assured [1] - 65:3 Atlanta [5] - 38:20, 38:21, 38:23, 39:21, 67:21 **ATM**[1] - 34:23 attack [1] - 52:9 attention [3] - 16:4, 17:22, 17:23 attitude [1] - 12:16 attorneys [1] - 29:6 August [3] - 37:21, 62:17. 76:18 authorization [1] -44:1 available [4] - 8:24, 9:4, 17:20, 18:10 Avenue [2] - 1:17, 2:6 avoid [4] - 19:6, 19:9, 19:21, 22:9 aware [2] - 14:25, 68:23 awful [1] - 82:17 ax [1] - 11:25

В

B-L-O-C-K [1] - 7:22

background [2] - 28:2

bad [3] - 12:9, 12:25,

37:22

badly [1] - 74:25 balance [2] - 46:1, 46:3 baling [1] - 31:17 Baltimore [1] - 2:2 bank [10] - 32:15, 32:16, 32:17, 34:25, 35:1, 35:4, 40:23, 55:19 Bankers [1] - 33:1 bankruptcy [1] - 59:14 banks [6] - 32:20, 32:22, 34:13, 34:20, 36:3 barber [1] - 57:24 Barger [155] - 2:22, 3:4, 3:5, 11:19, 26:10, 26:21, 26:23, 29:1, 29:10, 29:16, 30:4, 31:8, 31:9, 31:11, 31:15, 32:5, 32:14, 32:24, 33:22, 34:8, 35:13, 35:18, 36:6, 36:11, 36:17, 36:20, 37:5, 37:8, 37:10, 37:25, 38:4, 39:4, 39:5, 39:22, 40:4, 40:9, 40:10, 40:13, 41:7, 41:19,

43:23, 44:19, 44:21, 44:25, 46:8, 47:8, 47:17, 48:1, 48:17, 49:24, 50:6, 50:25, 51:3, 51:12, 51:17, 51:20, 52:18, 53:3, 53:19, 54:21, 55:5, 55:6, 55:7, 55:10, 56:1, 56:4, 56:16, 56:17, 56:18, 56:25, 57:5, 57:10, 57:13, 57:20, 58:5, 58:10, 58:12, 58:14, 58:25, 59:21, 62:3, 62:8, 62:13, 62:17, 62:23, 63:13, 63:21, 63:23, 64:1, 64:7, 64:11, 64:12, 64:24, 65:2, 65:7, 65:24, 65:25, 66:3, 66:12, 66:15, 67:2, 67:7, 67:10, 67:14, 67:21, 67:22, 67:23, 68:2, 68:6, 68:12, 68:15, 68:24, 69:8, 69:11, 69:21, 69:22, 70:4, 70:18, 70:21, 71:3, 72:4, 73:8, 73:9, 73:14, 73:18, 74:2, 74:5, 74:13, 74:16, 74:18, 75:2, 75:8, 75:12, 75:14, 76:7, 77:2, 77:5, 77:15, 77:18, 78:25, 80:1, 80:12, 80:20, 80:21, 80:24, 81:12, 81:23, 82:3 BARGER [2] - 1:3, 3:4 Barger's [23] - 27:10, 27:23, 28:15, 41:15, 46:24, 47:16, 48:7, 52:9, 57:4, 57:18, 58:8, 63:5, 65:20, 69:17, 70:17, 72:18, 75:21, 76:17, 78:16, 80:18, 81:4, 81:20 Barney [1] - 33:15 based [8] - 11:12, 12:1, 21:22, 25:17, 25:18, 26:15, 29:9, 46:13 bashful [3] - 24:6, 24:13, 24:16 basis [4] - 7:14, 47:4, 63:2, 71:5 basketball [2] - 31:21, 32:2 bathroom [1] - 24:18 beautiful [1] - 9:20 became [8] - 32:15,

36:17, 57:14, 65:24, 78:2, 78:18, 78:25, 80.2 become [10] - 9:7, 9:12, 36:24, 49:16, 55:1, 56:11, 72:1, 78:20. 81:10. 82:16 becomes [4] - 47:20, 49:19, 63:5, 63:9 becoming [1] - 59:11 bed [1] - 29:25 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:13 began [3] - 35:18, 36:6, 36:11 begin [2] - 36:24, 54:1 beginning [8] - 34:7, 37:12, 54:5, 62:16, 67:3, 70:8, 70:12, 77:9 **BEHALF** [1] - 53:13 behalf [4] - 16:9, 16:18, 37:20, 79:1 behaviors [1] - 68:24 behind [1] - 18:2 belongs [1] - 66:19 benefit [1] - 41:17 benefits [1] - 41:25 best [5] - 6:12, 11:18, 16:18, 18:1, 21:5 better [11] - 15:10, 17:22, 17:23, 20:2, 22:3, 58:17, 69:9, 69:10, 69:14, 80:25, 81:1 between [9] - 9:13, 15:1, 19:2, 19:17, 35:5, 40:19, 47:2, 51:15, 66:17 beyond [1] - 14:19 bias [1] - 11:25 big [7] - 14:18, 34:17, 52:1, 59:15, 60:18, 60:19, 72:1 bill [4] - 78:10, 78:19, 79:11 billed [2] - 79:19, 80:3 billion [2] - 59:16, 59:17 bird [1] - 19:20 birthday [1] - 29:11 Bisignano [21] - 3:19, 26:1, 36:19, 38:3, 52:17, 56:9, 56:10, 56:17, 58:5, 59:6, 59:10, 61:5, 64:23, 64:24, 65:1, 72:6, 75:1, 81:24 Bisignano's [1] -

5:22, 6:16, 6:21, 9:5, 10:2, 11:21, 11:22, 15:17, 16:2, 16:23, 16:25, 17:4, 24:9, 28:9, 30:5, 31:8, 55:12, 55:22, 62:25 black [2] - 7:21, 18:11 blank [1] - 82:20 blessed [2] - 18:5, 82:10 bloated [1] - 81:11 BLOCK [1] - 1:13 Block [5] - 7:21, 22:2, 54:5, 80:8, 83:5 blue [1] - 25:16 blunderbuss [1] -84:15 board [4] - 33:1, 36:9, 60:14, 61:6 bolted [1] - 71:7 Bond [1] - 3:13 **BOND** [1] - 2:5 bones [1] - 82:22 bonus [8] - 49:8, 49:15, 75:2, 75:9, 75:10, 75:14, 79:2, 81:2 Bonuses [1] - 75:5 bonuses [2] - 49:17, 75:12 Boone [1] - 31:11 born [1] - 31:11 bottom [1] - 71:9 bought [1] - 40:25 bowling [1] - 32:12 box [3] - 37:24, 46:24, 47:10 boy [1] - 20:18 Brad [2] - 22:4, 83:5 brave [1] - 33:4 break [8] - 19:13, 19:16, 24:8, 24:9, 24:13, 24:15, 24:16 breaks [1] - 11:1 bring [5] - 6:9, 17:20, 30:11, 56:14 bringing [2] - 27:7, 54:12 brings [4] - 60:13, 60:17, 61:6 Britain [1] - 13:1 **brokerage**[1] - 36:3 brokers [1] - 34:12 Brooklyn [4] - 1:5, 1:22, 2:11, 29:12 brought [11] - 14:9, 14:15, 30:15, 31:5, 52:3, 52:4, 52:25, 55:10, 61:7, 65:13 BS [1] - 32:1

64:21

bit [22] - 4:21, 5:2, 5:4,

Barger v. First Data 17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019__

bud [1] - 23:24 budgetary [1] - 64:3 bullet [1] - 25:3 burden [5] - 14:16, 14:17, 14:20, 14:23, 16:5 business [24] - 4:8, 9:6, 26:8, 27:2, 28:14, 28:25, 33:15, 34:6, 34:8, 34:19, 36:16, 36:25, 44:7, 44:9, 44:13, 45:24, 55:18, 55:20, 56:23, 57:18, 60:3, 63:9, 82:17, 83:8 businesses [1] -32:16 bust [1] - 20:17 busy [1] - 64:18 button [3] - 39:10, 39:13, 39:15 buy [1] - 33:18 BY [4] - 1:19, 1:23, 2:3, 2:7

C

Cadman [1] - 2:11 Caesar's [1] - 83:11 calculating [1] - 49:10 calendar [1] - 50:24 cameras [1] - 39:4 cancer [19] - 26:5, 37:10, 38:2, 46:2, 46:22, 47:10, 56:16, 56:19, 57:6, 58:12, 64:12, 64:21, 64:22, 64:25, 68:18, 74:20, 75:4, 80:23, 81:16 cannot [5] - 9:25, 12:18, 50:7, 52:19, 81:23 captive [1] - 9:17 carcinoma [1] - 37:9 card [6] - 28:20, 35:5, 55:15, 55:16, 55:18, 82:17 cards [3] - 34:21, 34:22, 82:18 care [3] - 25:15, 58:16, 74:21 career [1] - 32:16 careful [1] - 46:17 carefully [3] - 25:5, 68:13, 82:16 cares [1] - 57:25 carr [1] - 6:11 case [69] - 7:23, 8:3, 8:6, 8:13, 8:25,

10:15, 10:19, 11:9,

14:16, 14:19, 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 15:7, 15:10, 15:12, 15:18, 15:24, 17:1, 17:17, 19:3, 21:2, 21:6, 21:9, 21:19, 22:2, 22:11, 22:13, 23:14, 23:16, 24:2, 28:5, 29:13, 29:14, 29:15, 30:4, 30:11, 31:1, 32:4, 33:21, 34:6, 42:23, 54:9, 54:15, 54:16, 54:20, 55:22, 55:25, 56:1, 56:2, 58:9, 72:25, 76:11, 76:22. 80:7. 80:11. 82:9, 82:19, 82:20, 83:7. 84:12 cases [12] - 7:5, 8:9, 8:19, 12:22, 14:3, 14:17, 14:20, 15:3, 29:14, 30:1, 30:6, 55:23 cash [6] - 34:24, 55:15, 75:10, 75:11, 79:2, 81:2 category [1] - 20:14 cell [2] - 37:9, 42:1 center [1] - 32:25 CEO [7] - 27:14, 28:11, 36:18, 38:3, 56:10, 59:11, 65:17 CEO-s [1] - 28:11 CEOs [1] - 59:13 certainly [3] - 15:20, 83:6, 84:3 certification [1] - 70:3 certify [1] - 27:8 chairman [1] - 34:10 challenge [1] - 60:10 chance [1] - 48:1 change [4] - 12:15, 46:25, 48:15, 50:12 changing [2] - 32:24, 35:13 **charge** [7] - 10:3, 10:8, 10:19, 10:21, 19:14, 49:10 Chariton [2] - 32:11, 32:13 Charron [22] - 3:20, 26:1, 36:15, 36:18, 50:4, 56:22, 56:24, 57:6, 58:6, 60:15, 63:7, 63:12, 64:9, 71:23, 71:24, 73:4,

73:11, 73:12, 75:2,

80:19, 81:24

11:22, 13:7, 13:25,

14:8, 14:10, 14:13,

Charron's [1] - 36:18 Chase [1] - 32:22 check [4] - 17:13, 21:11, 75:20, 83:10 checking [1] - 29:23 cheer [1] - 68:7 chief [1] - 59:11 Chief [1] - 2:10 choice [1] - 72:5 chose [1] - 58:25 Christmas [1] - 43:10 Cianfichi [1] - 3:11 **CIANFICHI**[1] - 2:4 circumstances [1] -30:13 Citibank [1] - 32:22 Citigroup [2] - 34:1, 35:17 citizen [4] - 8:20, 8:21, 12:18, 12:23 citizens [5] - 8:17, 12:16, 13:8, 13:11, 22:6 city [1] - 32:14 civic [2] - 9:5, 13:17 civil [12] - 2:21, 7:5, 7:12, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 12:21, 14:3, 14:20, 15:1, 15:6, 15:10 claim [1] - 48:6 claiming [1] - 14:10 claims [4] - 30:7, 30:12, 58:8, 58:9 clarify [1] - 23:22 class [1] - 24:17 classes [2] - 33:16, 33:17 clerk [5] - 4:10, 4:15, 7:1, 24:12, 84:10 CLERK [1] - 83:20 clerks [1] - 8:8 client [1] - 16:19 clients [2] - 11:19, 36:2 close [6] - 53:22, 53:24, 57:10, 60:1, 63:18, 81:9 closely [2] - 33:10, 57:12 **closer** [1] - 56:14 **closing** [2] - 16:16, 80:16 clue [1] - 23:15 **co**[1] - 29:12 **co-counsel** [1] - 29:12 coach [2] - 32:6, 57:16 coaching [1] - 32:2 Cohn [1] - 84:10 colleague [1] - 64:16

colleagues [2] - 3:11, 19.19 collect [1] - 28:23 **collected** [1] - 37:3 collects [1] - 37:3 college [1] - 31:22 color [1] - 25:15 combined [1] - 73:11 comfort [3] - 17:25, 18:12, 18:16 comfortable [1] -24:14 coming [7] - 35:13, 43:13, 43:14, 43:16, 43:17, 43:22, 59:24 common [4] - 6:20, 8:12, 19:10, 20:5 communicate [2] -38:13, 39:5 communication [3] -17:14, 19:2, 42:2 community [1] - 31:12 companies [4] -35:16, 46:17, 60:18, 60:19 company [80] - 26:8, 27:16, 28:21, 29:1, 34:17, 35:20, 36:24, 37:6, 42:5, 44:8, 44:16, 45:3, 48:23, 49:18, 52:1, 52:2, 53:21, 53:22, 55:9, 56:11, 56:20, 56:22, 56:24, 57:1, 59:7, 59:8, 59:13, 59:14, 59:15, 59:17, 59:22, 60:2, 60:14, 61:1, 61:6, 64:2, 64:5, 65:9, 65:14, 65:16, 66:3, 66:10, 66:23, 66:24, 67:15, 67:17, 68:12, 69:3, 69:7, 69:9, 69:13, 69:17, 70:9, 70:12, 70:18, 71:12, 71:19, 72:12, 73:3, 73:15, 74:12, 74:13, 74:16, 74:23, 75:6, 75:11, 75:18, 75:23, 76:2, 76:4, 76:24, 79:1, 79:19, 80:3, 81:8 company's [1] - 69:19 company-wide [2] -44:16, 48:23 compensated [3] -55:3, 72:9, 82:5 compensation [3] -49:21, 63:13, 80:20 competitors [1] - 37:2 completed [2] - 23:4,

55:17 completely [3] -41:18, 42:2, 70:7 complications [2] -38:15. 39:23 compliment [1] - 5:25 comply [3] - 49:2, 52:12, 52:13 component [1] - 35:9 Computer [1] - 2:15 computer [1] - 38:22 Computer-Assisted [1] - 2:15 computers [1] - 26:7 concentrate [1] - 69:8 concepts [1] - 49:16 concerned [1] - 47:16 **conclude** [1] - 50:21 concluding [1] - 23:8 concurred [1] - 37:25 condition [9] - 26:10, 26:16, 27:8, 27:24, 41:14, 47:13, 47:17, 73:23, 81:20 conditions [1] - 47:5 conduct [1] - 27:23 conferences [1] -41:22 conferencing [3] -26:7, 38:9, 38:25 confident [2] - 53:2, 81:22 confused [3] - 23:21, confusing [3] - 9:16, 23:18, 23:24 Congress [2] - 15:4, 45:25 connects [1] - 39:9 consider [2] - 21:17, 59:11 considering [1] -78:15 consistent [1] - 45:10 Constitution [1] -13:14 constraints [1] - 64:4 consult [1] - 35:25 consultant [15] -35:19, 36:25, 55:6, 77:4, 77:6, 77:19, 77:22, 77:23, 78:2, 78:4, 78:18, 78:20, 79:3, 79:8, 80:2 consultants [1] -78:19 consulting [12] -33:13, 34:6, 34:8, 36:2, 36:3, 77:6, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8,

78:15, 79:11 Cont'g [1] - 62:2 contact [1] - 20:8 continue [8] - 29:20, 38:13, 45:5, 47:18, 48:1, 50:8, 57:22, 67:18 continued [12] -33:16, 34:3, 35:25, 36:20, 37:19, 41:19, 61:10, 65:5, 66:5, 69:22, 72:22 Continued [1] - 30:18 continues [1] - 50:17 continuing [1] - 38:18 contractor [3] - 78:12, 79:9, 79:16 contractors [1] -27:13 contrary [1] - 52:20 conversation [2] -63:13, 77:21 convince [1] - 60:25 Cooper [1] - 3:11 **COOPER** [1] - 2:3 cooperation [1] -84:16 cords [1] - 39:17 Corp [1] - 2:22 corporate [3] - 3:17, 3:18, 28:18 corporation [3] -25:15, 27:11, 28:19 Corporation [3] -11:20, 25:25, 34:10 CORPORATION[1] correct [2] - 16:21, 78:24 cost [1] - 45:2 council [1] - 32:14 counsel [3] - 3:16, 29:12, 56:7 counseled [1] - 66:20 count [5] - 30:10, 49:21, 50:7, 50:8, 50:17 counting [2] - 30:15, 52:21 country [4] - 8:9, 8:10, 9:10, 13:20 counts [1] - 21:10 couple [3] - 68:25, 69:1, 78:1 course [13] - 4:2, 6:8, 8:3, 9:1, 11:2, 11:15, 12:2, 16:24, 63:10, 65:4, 73:4, 83:17, 84:13

court [14] - 8:21, 9:12,

Barger v. First Data 12:12, 13:9, 18:6, 19:14, 19:15, 24:11, 62:1, 76:12, 76:18, 76:19, 84:2, 84:17 COURT [25] - 1:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:22, 3:25, 4:7, 4:14. 4:17. 4:20. 5:10. 5:13. 5:16. 5:23, 6:11, 7:4, 7:9, 7:11, 25:10, 25:14, 40:1, 53:10, 82:6, 83:2, 83:24, 84:20 Court [3] - 1:22, 2:10, 2.10 courtesies [1] - 19:10 **courthouse** [6] - 9:19, 9:20, 18:6, 20:1, 82:11 Courthouse [1] - 1:4 COURTROOM [2] -2:21, 25:7 courtroom [9] - 5:22, 6:10, 17:20, 21:11, 21:18, 21:23, 24:11, 25:9, 25:13 courts [2] - 9:6, 9:14 cover [2] - 48:8, 52:2 covered [3] - 22:23, 71:1, 80:4 created [2] - 33:1, 33:9 creative[1] - 48:10 credibility [3] - 17:11, 17:15, 52:10 credit [7] - 28:20, 34:21, 34:22, 55:15, 55:16, 82:17, 82:18 criminal [15] - 7:5, 7:6, 7:7, 7:8, 7:9, 7:11, 7:12, 8:6, 12:21, 14:17, 14:19, 15:2, 15:3, 15:5, 15:7 criterion [1] - 31:5 critical [2] - 35:9, 59:4 cropped [1] - 9:1 cross [2] - 15:23, 23:3 cross-examination [2] - 15:23, 23:3 CSR [1] - 2:10 culture [1] - 61:9 curiosities [1] - 8:25 **curiosity** [2] - 6:23, 22:8 curious [2] - 6:24, 21:4 customers [2] - 28:23, 37:2

cut [3] - 41:20, 42:2,

cycle [1] - 49:19

72:8

D **Dallas** [1] - 1:18 damages [2] - 30:16, 80.13 Dan [12] - 3:19, 50:4, 56:22, 56:24, 58:6, 60:15, 63:7, 64:8, 75:1, 80:19, 81:24 dangerous [1] - 22:7 Data [97] - 2:22, 3:18, 11:20, 25:24, 26:9, 26:21, 26:25, 27:7, 27:11, 27:18, 28:16, 28:19, 34:10, 34:15, 34:16, 34:17, 35:18, 35:23, 36:4, 36:5, 36:7, 36:10, 36:15, 36:17, 36:21, 36:22, 37:1, 37:5, 37:20, 38:23, 40:12, 40:22, 41:2, 41:21, 41:23, 42:1, 42:3, 42:8, 42:10, 43:25, 45:3, 45:4, 45:13, 46:7, 47:3, 47:6, 47:15, 49:2, 49:4, 51:14, 55:4, 55:11, 55:12, 56:5, 56:10, 57:18, 58:4, 58:18, 58:22, 58:23, 59:8, 59:12, 59:13, 59:21, 59:25, 60:2, 60:5, 61:1, 61:9, 62:14, 64:1, 64:17, 65:6, 65:7, 65:10, 65:20, 66:1, 66:4, 66:5, 75:6, 76:7, 77:3, 78:18, 78:25, 79:17, 79:20, 79:21, 79:22, 79:25, 80:19, 80:24, 81:12, 81:18, 81:24, 82:5 data [2] - 37:3, 45:2 **DATA**[1] - 1:9 Data's [7] - 28:14, 40:23, 41:4, 41:5, 43:11, 47:12, 55:18 date [8] - 42:20, 43:5, 44:22, 48:15, 48:16, 75:25, 77:7, 78:8 dated [4] - 77:7, 78:14, 78:22 dates [3] - 44:18, 72:25, 73:1 David [2] - 2:24, 29:12 **DAVID**[1] - 1:23 day-to-day [2] - 36:10, 63.2

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019_ 17:17, 18:5, 18:19, 26:25, 40:17, 41:16, 44:7, 45:20, 45:21, 50:22, 53:4, 67:10, 68:19, 74:4, 74:18 deal [2] - 57:11, 65:19 debate [1] - 8:16 debit [2] - 34:25, 55:16 debt [2] - 50:13, 59:16 decades [2] - 27:15, 52:17 December [7] - 42:14, 42:17, 43:8, 43:9, 43:14, 70:4, 72:23 decide [9] - 10:10, 10:15, 10:18, 10:24, 13:15, 13:16, 16:21, 26:9, 82:9 decided [19] - 8:24, 12:24, 24:3, 26:10, 31:22, 31:23, 32:7, 32:11, 36:9, 44:25, 45:11, 54:25, 56:4, 56:5, 59:1, 65:10, 72:7, 73:3, 77:3 decides [6] - 8:13, 45:3, 60:9, 60:11, 69:7, 76:7 decision [10] - 9:3, 11:12, 26:15, 29:9, 46:19, 47:11, 53:4, 56:11, 72:16 decisions [5] - 15:5, 15:13, 46:20, 73:6, 73:18 declared [2] - 42:18, 42:25 deed [1] - 58:3 deemed [1] - 6:6 defamation [1] - 8:14 defame [1] - 8:15 defendant [12] - 3:20, 3:21, 23:6, 36:15, 36:17, 36:18, 39:20, 44:2, 57:9, 67:12 DEFENDANTS[1] -53:13 defendants [11] -1:10, 3:19, 4:1, 5:21, 11:20, 16:9, 25:25, 28:4, 46:21, 51:8, 58:2 **Defendants** [2] - 2:1, 80.11 defendants' [1] - 16:8 defense [2] - 4:5, 48.21 degrees [1] - 9:12

deliberate [2] - 15:8,

15:11 deliberating [1] -15:12 deliberations [3] -10:22, 18:8, 23:11 deliver [1] - 16:7 delivered [3] - 26:23, 26:24, 42:24 demonstrate [1] -81.23 department [8] - 10:4, 10:8, 10:9, 10:19, 10:21, 42:17, 71:4, 72:2 depended [1] - 60:1 deposition [8] - 58:10, 58:12, 58:14, 69:11, 76:17, 76:21, 77:24, 77:25 depositions [1] -76:16 **DEPUTY** [2] - 2:21, 25:7 deputy [2] - 25:9, 25:13 **Des** [2] - 31:12, 32:18 describes [2] - 57:24, 68:2 deserves [1] - 53:3 designed [4] - 28:17, 45:16, 52:22, 62:20 desperate [1] - 62:6 details [1] - 29:2 determination [3] -31:4, 71:18, 81:8 determined [4] -53:22, 66:25, 70:21, 73:9 determining [1] -49:17 developed [5] - 32:19, 32:24, 32:25, 36:21, developing [1] - 33:16 development[1] -33:15 device [2] - 39:9, 46:25 devilish [1] - 21:21 diagnosed [7] - 37:8, 56:19, 57:5, 64:12, 64:13, 64:25, 80:23 diagnosis [1] - 51:18 difference [3] - 9:13, 15:1, 83:15 different [7] - 10:16, 25:3, 30:5, 35:22, 37:6. 55:22. 70:25 difficult [3] - 58:22, 66:3, 66:5

days [20] - 13:1,

15:18, 15:20, 16:1,

DiLorenzo [4] - 3:12, 3:13, 3:16, 29:5 **DILORENZO** [1] - 2:7 diminish [1] - 46:13 dire [3] - 24:24, 64:5, 81:3 direct [1] - 23:1 direction [3] - 5:17, 14:22, 66:14 director [2] - 33:8, 66:17 disabilities [3] -14:10, 46:13, 47:11 Disabilities 181 - 26:3. 26:15, 30:8, 46:11, 46:23, 54:4, 80:9, 84:2 disability [24] - 40:13, 40:16, 40:18, 40:19, 40:20, 40:21, 40:23, 40:25, 41:1, 41:4, 41:5, 41:10, 41:16, 41:17, 42:6, 45:1, 45:6, 46:9, 46:22, 47:1, 47:4, 57:21, 57.22 disabled [5] - 46:15, 46:18, 47:18, 47:21, 53:6 disastrous [1] - 61:2 **discharge** [1] - 47:5 discharged [1] - 15:9 discharging [2] -13:12, 22:20 discipline [1] - 47:23 disconnected [1] -62:5 discount [1] - 20:3 **discovered** [1] - 76:23 discovery [3] - 51:6, 76:13 discriminate [1] -46:18 discriminated [1] -74:25 discriminating [1] -47:3 discuss [1] - 64:9 discusses [2] - 64:8 dishonesty [1] - 79:22 dispute [1] - 47:1 distinction [1] - 40:19 distinguished [1] - 3:3 distracted [1] - 17:24

DISTRICT[2] - 1:1, 1:1

division [1] - 56:24

doctor [18] - 26:23,

27:8, 37:21, 43:24,

Dixon [2] - 31:15,

31:20

Barger v. First Data 44:2, 64:21, 68:17, 70:2, 70:3, 70:4, 70:6, 70:7, 73:20, 73:21, 74:19 doctor's [7] - 26:25, 27:25, 31:5, 43:18, 51:22, 52:25, 53:7 doctors [3] - 38:2, 43:25, 70:20 documents [3] -10:13, 29:9, 76:14 done [13] - 5:11, 5:15, 16:11, 50:8, 58:20, 60:20, 63:3, 66:11, 75:6, 75:14, 75:15, 76:9, 81:7 doors [1] - 9:21 double [2] - 30:10, 30:15 doubt [1] - 14:19 down [16] - 11:1, 17:22, 17:25, 18:7, 23:7, 24:5, 36:1, 38:23, 39:21, 45:20, 66:16, 67:21, 67:25, 69:8. 71:14 Dr [5] - 56:18, 64:14, 64:16, 64:20, 65:3 drainage [1] - 39:24 draw [1] - 7:16 Driscoll [1] - 2:10 drive [3] - 19:22, 19:23, 20:3 dropped [1] - 31:22 drove [1] - 43:25 **During** [3] - 65:4, 67:21, 76:23 during [22] - 6:8, 6:25, 8:3, 9:1, 11:1, 11:21, 12:2, 16:24, 18:8, 21:9, 35:3, 51:4, 51:6, 55:13, 63:10, 63:22, 66:2, 66:8, 71:12, 76:22, 83:17, 84:13 duties [4] - 50:3, 61:8,

Ε

71:6, 71:8

duty [1] - 12:12

e-mail [10] - 38:12, 41:22, 42:1, 69:5, 70:14, 70:16, 72:4, 75:3, 75:8 e-mails [5] - 38:7, 48:11, 51:5, 69:2, 72:21 ear [1] - 12:20 early [8] - 19:20, 59:6,

67:14, 67:20, 72:19, 72:24, 73:3, 81:5 earning [5] - 53:21, 53:22, 57:1, 71:20, 81:9 earnings [3] - 8:18, 49:11. 49:13 ease [2] - 6:19, 58:24 East [1] - 2:11 **EASTERN**[1] - 1:1 echo [1] - 29:3 economic [2] - 8:18, 45:13 economically [1] -50:8 economy [3] - 28:24, 35:7, 37:3 educating [1] - 9:9 education [1] - 32:1 effective [1] - 78:2 efficient [1] - 39:1 efficiently [1] - 84:11 effort [1] - 84:9 efforts [1] - 16:18 egg [1] - 20:19 Eidelman [7] - 3:8, 3:10, 5:13, 29:5, 53:11, 82:6, 84:20 EIDELMAN [12] - 2:3, 3:9, 3:15, 3:24, 4:6, 4:18, 5:14, 5:19, 53:12, 53:14, 62:2, 84:21 eight [5] - 7:4, 8:5, 10:24, 15:15, 45:25 either [3] - 60:2, 63:21. 80:13 elected [1] - 32:14 electronic [3] - 34:19, 35:2, 39:8 electronics [1] - 38:24 eligible [1] - 72:19 eliminate [2] - 14:3, 80:18 eliminated [7] - 48:23, 53:17, 74:7, 74:10, 75:17, 75:19, 75:22 **eliminating** [1] - 56:12 elimination [2] -48:21, 50:1 emails [2] - 29:16, 29:24 embarrassed [1] -19:24 emergencies [1] -20.7 **emergency** [1] - 20:9 employed [1] - 49:18

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019___ 78:25 employee's [1] - 46:5 employees [18] -27:12, 33:10, 36:23, 41:23, 45:24, 46:2, 50:16, 53:16, 53:21, 55:3, 58:23, 62:20, 79:21, 79:23, 81:13, 82:3, 82:5 employer [2] - 45:19, 58.5 employers [2] - 45:22, 46:1 **employing** [1] - 60:1 employment [8] -47:6, 55:23, 56:4, 58:21, 69:24, 75:21, 79:8, 80:5 enacted [1] - 15:4 end [30] - 6:15, 7:23, 8:23, 10:11, 10:20, 14:1, 14:6, 14:24, 15:14, 15:22, 21:7, 25:4, 26:20, 27:6, 33:21, 33:23, 34:7, 37:12, 43:7, 48:18, 49:7, 50:23, 54:13, 66:25, 75:21, 76:9, 76:11, 78:10, 80:6, 81:21 ended [3] - 31:24, 38:16, 73:14 engage [1] - 76:13 engineering[1]-50:10 England [2] - 8:11, 13:1 enter [1] - 78:5 entered [1] - 78:3 enterprise [1] - 65:22 enters [1] - 6:10 entire [2] - 67:19, 69:24 entitled [3] - 4:2, 27:4, 27:5 epilepsy [1] - 12:13 equity [3] - 75:11, 75:18, 79:3 **equivalent** [4] - 27:6, 44:15, 52:4, 52:7 escape [1] - 13:2 esophagus [2] -39:10, 39:14 especially [3] - 21:4, 51:8, 84:9 ESQ [6] - 1:19, 1:23, 2:3, 2:3, 2:4, 2:7 essential [1] - 42:7

essentially [1] - 8:16

establish [1] - 16:24

established [1] - 13:5 estate [1] - 32:11 estimate [2] - 5:8, 35:1 ET [1] - 1:9 etc [1] - 25:1 ethic [1] - 31:10 ethical [1] - 11:17 ethically [1] - 19:1 evaluate [1] - 35:20 evaluated [1] - 41:14 events [4] - 18:15, 50:21, 50:24, 52:9 eventually [1] - 23:4 evidence [40] - 6:3, 6:6, 10:12, 10:13, 10:14, 12:1, 14:21, 16:17, 17:9, 23:6, 25:18, 27:20, 29:4, 29:7, 45:13, 48:25, 51:23, 52:19, 52:20, 53:2, 53:24, 53:25, 54:7, 54:8, 65:8, 66:11, 68:11, 73:17, 76:10, 76:24, 76:25, 77:1, 80:7, 81:15, 81:19, 81:22 evidentiary [1] - 10:5 Ewing [1] - 3:10 **EWING** [1] - 2:1 exact [2] - 50:5, 77:9 exactly [5] - 15:21, 42:8, 43:23, 45:16, 55:14 examination [3] -15:23, 23:1, 23:3 examined [1] - 51:9 example [1] - 11:2 excellent [1] - 27:14 except [2] - 8:13, 20:14 exception [1] - 54:12 exchange [4] - 19:10, 72:4, 76:14, 79:18 exclude [1] - 49:12 excuse [6] - 48:13, 48:25, 49:1, 50:1, 65:23, 67:3 excuses [5] - 27:19, 27:22, 48:8, 48:9 executive [4] - 33:15, 36:14, 57:8, 59:11 Executive [1] - 27:14 executives [1] - 52:21 exhibit [3] - 6:2, 84:8, 84:15 **exhibits** [1] - 6:2 exits [1] - 83:21 expand [1] - 73:3 expect [3] - 6:20,

employee [5] - 36:6,

36:7, 53:20, 55:7,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019_

focused [2] - 23:23,

72:16

16:24, 82:8 expeditious [1] -23:25 expense [6] - 41:5, 41:6. 49:8. 49:9. 49:21, 79:24 experience [2] -60:11, 60:16 experienced [2] -27:14, 29:6 experts [1] - 82:16 expired [2] - 42:24, 43:3 explain [9] - 6:16, 9:24, 10:4, 10:11, 10:20, 23:10, 39:2, 48:13, 49:7 exposed [1] - 82:13 extended [1] - 75:25 extra [1] - 36:5

F

fabulous [1] - 32:17 face [1] - 20:19 facetiously [1] - 4:20 facilities [1] - 24:10 fact [17] - 5:25, 10:7, 10:8, 10:17, 10:19, 14:12, 16:15, 17:10, 17:14, 17:16, 20:3, 27:22, 47:19, 56:25, 75:17, 77:24, 83:5 facts [10] - 10:15, 10:16, 10:24, 16:21, 28:2, 30:13, 30:14, 48:11, 48:20 factually [1] - 16:20 fail [1] - 81:19 failed [1] - 81:17 failing [1] - 27:24 failure [2] - 47:24, 48:6 fair [1] - 13:8 fairly [2] - 21:22, 22:21 faithfully [1] - 22:21 fall [5] - 56:25, 62:25, 63:1, 63:12, 65:10 falsifying [1] - 79:23 Family [6] - 26:2, 26:20, 27:3, 30:9, 31:6, 80:9 family's [1] - 74:21 famous [1] - 14:18 far [2] - 8:7, 19:25 farm [1] - 31:16 farming [1] - 31:11 fascinating [1] - 82:14 fast [1] - 62:25 fathers [2] - 12:19,

13:13 favor [3] - 23:10, 25:14, 25:17 FCRR [1] - 2:10 fear [2] - 25:14, 25:17 February [4] - 37:8, 64:11, 76:1, 78:14 federal [10] - 9:6, 9:7, 9:12, 9:13, 9:15, 9:18, 9:19, 13:11, 82:11, 84:2 fee [1] - 80:4 feet [3] - 19:5, 19:8, 22:3 fellow [5] - 8:17, 8:19, 8:20, 13:16, 22:6 Ferris [1] - 50:15 few [9] - 8:11, 15:20, 32:7, 32:17, 36:8, 43:7, 53:4, 63:3, 84:12 fight [1] - 13:20 fighting [1] - 30:2 figure [2] - 4:24, 46:1 figured [1] - 38:8 filing [1] - 28:7 fill [3] - 57:20, 66:18, 70:6 filled [5] - 42:16, 43:4, 48:18, 70:6, 70:11 final [4] - 15:15, 73:17, 79:7, 79:11 finally [4] - 42:18, 51:23, 67:24, 70:1 finances [1] - 74:21 financial [4] - 34:14, 49:16, 50:12, 64:6 financially [1] - 57:13 finder [2] - 10:17, 17:14 finders [2] - 10:7, 17:10 fine [10] - 3:5, 3:7, 4:12, 5:24, 17:25, 18:16, 19:21, 45:5, 48:5, 70:9 finish [1] - 16:6 finished [3] - 5:5, 16:12, 19:16 fire [5] - 4:10, 4:15, 45:19, 50:9, 52:11 fired [6] - 27:1, 41:8, 41:9, 44:22, 44:25, 52:14 First [105] - 2:22, 3:18, 11:20. 25:24. 26:9. 26:21. 26:24. 27:7. 27:11, 27:18, 28:13, 28:16, 28:19, 34:10,

34:15, 34:16, 34:17,

35:18, 35:23, 36:4, 36:5, 36:7, 36:10, 36:14, 36:17, 36:21, 36:22, 37:1, 37:5, 37:20, 38:22, 40:12, 40:22, 40:23, 41:1, 41:4, 41:5, 41:21, 41:23, 42:1, 42:3, 42:8, 42:10, 43:11, 43:25, 45:2, 45:3, 45:4, 45:13, 46:7, 47:3, 47:6, 47:12, 47:15, 49:2, 49:4, 51:14, 55:4, 55:11, 55:12, 55:17, 56:5, 56:10, 57:18, 58:4, 58:18, 58:22, 58:23, 59:8, 59:12, 59:13, 59:21, 59:25, 60:2, 60:5, 61:1, 61:9, 62:14, 64:1, 64:17, 65:5, 65:7, 65:10, 65:20, 66:1, 66:4, 66:5, 75:6, 76:7, 77:3, 78:18, 78:25, 79:17, 79:20, 79:21, 79:22, 79:24, 80:19, 80:24, 81:12, 81:18, 81:24, 82:5 first [30] - 4:8, 5:17, 6:12, 6:14, 6:24, 16:13, 17:1, 22:24, 23:6, 23:8, 25:20, 26:5, 27:10, 32:25, 33:5, 36:8, 43:12, 51:12, 55:6, 57:13, 59:3, 65:1, 65:5, 77:7, 77:23, 78:14, 82:23, 83:1, 84:15 **FIRST** [1] - 1:9 fit [1] - 34:15 Five [1] - 81:12 five [4] - 35:11, 51:11, 59:13, 80:12 flew [1] - 39:20 floor [1] - 33:6 Floor [1] - 2:6 Florida [3] - 38:1, 38:5, 64:13 fly [3] - 33:22, 67:20, 67:25 FMLA [20] - 29:14, 30:2, 30:9, 41:18, 43:6, 44:14, 45:16, 45:17, 45:23, 49:3, 52:13, 52:15, 54:3, 54:11, 54:13, 57:21, 67:13, 70:2, 70:23, 71:1 focus [3] - 8:1, 41:12,

33:17 folks [12] - 6:25, 7:13, 10:24. 11:24. 18:20. 19:4, 20:20, 21:7, 22:1, 82:7, 83:4, 84:5 following [5] - 2:19, 27:2, 29:24, 44:13 forbid [1] - 15:17 force [16] - 33:17, 47:18, 47:22, 49:25, 50:19, 52:22, 53:5, 53:18, 54:19, 54:22, 55:2, 56:3, 72:20, 73:11, 81:5, 82:4 forced [14] - 26:11, 26:20, 31:2, 41:20, 42:2, 43:20, 46:8, 47:7, 48:2, 48:3, 50:25, 51:19, 51:21, 52:23 forces [1] - 39:13 forcing [1] - 27:23 **foreperson** [1] - 6:15 forget [2] - 7:25, 52:5 forgets [1] - 18:3 forgot [1] - 46:7 forgotten [1] - 24:4 form [4] - 70:3, 70:6, 70:7, 70:25 former [1] - 65:17 forms [6] - 41:24, 42:15, 42:16, 42:22, 48:18, 57:21 formula [1] - 49:17 forth [1] - 76:14 forward [3] - 53:1, 62:25, 64:10 founding [2] - 12:19, 13:13 four [9] - 7:4, 20:15, 25:25, 48:24, 56:5, 58:2, 68:20, 69:6, 76.8 Four [1] - 81:4 frame [1] - 51:12 frames [1] - 51:11 Frank [18] - 3:19, 36:19, 56:9, 56:20, 58:5, 59:6, 59:10, 59:23, 60:9, 61:5, 64:21, 64:23, 65:1, 72:7, 75:1, 81:24 **FREDERIC** [1] - 1:13 free [1] - 19:12 freshman [1] - 31:21

Friday [1] - 74:6

friend [5] - 35:15,

38:3, 44:20, 62:8, 68:8 friends [8] - 21:5, 40:9, 55:9, 57:10, 62:4, 65:24, 67:25, 77:16 full [6] - 16:4, 32:9, 51:17, 71:7, 75:24, 78:25 full-time [4] - 32:9, 51:17, 71:7, 78:25 functions [2] - 17:10, 42.7 future [1] - 57:23

G garage [1] - 20:1 Gary [1] - 3:9 GARY [1] - 2:3 gatherings [1] - 37:20 GBS [6] - 56:23, 63:7, 63:15, 66:19, 71:23, 71:24 general [1] - 15:24 generally [1] - 18:14 generous [1] - 58:24 **gentleman** [1] - 3:3 Gentlemen [1] - 53:14 gentlemen [3] - 53:25, 70:24, 81:21 Gilbert [1] - 32:3 Gillian [1] - 3:11 GILLIAN [1] - 2:3 given [5] - 42:8, 48:1, 48:2, 52:6, 73:22 global [2] - 36:16, 56:23 God [1] - 15:17 golf [2] - 67:5, 67:10 Gotti [1] - 22:11 govern [1] - 15:5 graduated [2] - 31:20, 32:1 great [5] - 33:24, 38:1, 39:7, 57:11 Great [1] - 13:1 grind [1] - 11:25 group [16] - 7:12, 36:16, 36:18, 50:4, 52:14, 53:23, 57:2, 57:19, 62:18, 62:19, 66:13, 66:22, 71:13, 71:20, 81:8, 81:10 groups [3] - 49:4, 49:20, 49:22 growing [1] - 31:14 guess [9] - 5:9, 6:3, 7:16, 7:19, 18:5, 48:8, 82:22, 83:5,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019__

84:2 guide [1] - 24:23 gut [1] - 20:18 guy [2] - 22:3, 32:15 guys [1] - 48:10

Н

Hack [14] - 36:12, 43:14, 43:15, 57:3, 63:5, 63:6, 63:19, 63:20, 64:9, 72:3, 73:5, 73:8, 73:11 hack [2] - 36:13, 36:15 half [12] - 5:9, 19:13, 20:15, 24:15, 28:6, 46:10, 65:15, 68:15, 68:17, 68:22, 79:3, 79:5 hall [1] - 18:23 Hammond [2] - 31:13 hand [1] - 24:18 handed [1] - 44:1 handles [1] - 13:7 hands [3] - 7:2, 25:8, 53:4 handsome [1] - 22:3 hanging [1] - 13:3 happy [4] - 4:23, 13:1, 29:11, 43:10 hard [4] - 5:25, 20:16, 59:15, 84:7 hardest [2] - 20:11, 58:19 harmed [1] - 29:19 Harrison [7] - 56:18, 64:14, 64:15, 64:16. 64:20, 64:23, 65:3 hay [1] - 31:18 hdrisc@gmail.com [1] - 2:12 head [7] - 21:20, 22:6, 49:21, 50:6, 50:7, 50:17, 71:23 healing [3] - 38:13, 39:6, 39:23 health [6] - 26:13, 41:12, 47:9, 47:12, 47:16 hear [45] - 3:8, 4:22, 10:12, 11:14, 12:2, 14:11, 15:14, 18:10, 21:13, 21:23, 22:24, 25:10, 27:19, 28:3, 28:11, 28:12, 28:13, 29:15, 29:22, 30:1, 32:4, 33:11, 33:20, 33:23, 38:15, 44:16, 44:17, 44:18, 48:9, 55:2, 55:13, 55:21,

55:23, 56:13, 58:18, 70:10, 71:2, 71:22, 72:25, 73:15, 76:5, 79:20, 79:22, 79:24 heard [18] - 27:10, 28:8, 35:8, 54:5, 55:11. 57:6. 58:8. 61:7. 63:6. 63:20. 63:21, 64:15, 65:4, 65:6, 68:9, 69:16, 77:5, 78:24 hearing [2] - 15:11, 36:13 heavily [1] - 69:4 heavy [1] - 55:1 hello [1] - 19:4 help [11] - 29:1, 56:20, 59:24, 60:14, 60:20, 61:9, 62:6, 69:25, 77:17, 84:3, 84:4 helped [1] - 57:19 helping [2] - 29:13, 63:10 helps [1] - 70:1 high [4] - 31:20, 32:5, 32:6, 80:20 higher [1] - 23:19 highest [6] - 53:20, 55:3, 63:14, 72:9, 81:13, 82:5 highestcompensated [2] -72:9, 82:5 highest-paid [2] -63:14, 81:13 himself [4] - 47:14, 56:16, 58:16, 62:23 hire [3] - 50:9, 60:13, 63:24 hired [7] - 29:1, 33:3, 50:4, 50:5, 51:13, 55:6 hires [1] - 60:15 hiring [5] - 45:8, 46:19, 51:15, 52:18, hirings [1] - 71:15 historically [2] - 12:5, 46:15 history [2] - 32:3, 65:16 hit [2] - 20:4, 41:1 **hold** [5] - 20:6, 20:21, 64:4, 64:6, 66:10 holders [1] - 50:13 hole [1] - 39:13 holiday [4] - 12:6, 43:8, 44:6

holidays [1] - 43:10

Holly [2] - 2:10, 18:7

home [17] - 16:12, 19:18, 20:25, 21:18, 22:1, 26:6, 26:8, 38:20, 38:21, 38:22, 39:19, 42:9, 51:21, 83:4 honestly [1] - 25:1 Honor [14] - 3:1, 3:4, 3:9, 3:13, 3:15, 4:12, 4:19, 5:14, 11:4, 25:23, 53:12, 53:15, 84:19, 84:21 HONORABLE [1] -1:13 hope [5] - 22:17, 27:20, 49:7, 50:23, 53:4 hopefully [2] - 7:25, 19:22 hospital [4] - 38:16, 40:5, 51:20, 68:19 hour [5] - 5:9, 19:12, 19:13, 19:21, 24:15 hours [5] - 18:18, 29:25, 40:7, 65:17, 75:1 houses [5] - 32:6, 32:8, 32:9, 32:12, 36:3 however's [1] - 47:23 HR [5] - 41:24, 42:17, 57:18, 63:9, 71:6 Human [1] - 27:15 human [4] - 22:13, 24:16, 57:9, 66:18 humor [1] - 21:25 hundred [1] - 48:24 **hung** [1] - 13:6 ı

idea [6] - 7:2, 15:24, 17:1, 46:13, 74:24, 82:7 ideas [3] - 33:2, 33:19, 33:24 identified [1] - 56:6 ignore [2] - 52:8, 52:23 ill [4] - 31:3, 31:4, 45:18, 67:24 illegal [1] - 27:23 Illinois [4] - 31:13, 31:15, 31:16 illness [1] - 26:16 immediately [4] -43:25, 64:14, 64:23, 77:20 impacted [2] - 82:3, 82:4

important [18] - 12:3, 12:20, 13:13, 13:18, 16:4, 17:10, 18:16, 20:21, 20:24, 25:4, 25:12, 40:20, 50:23, 59:3, 63:22, 67:7, 73:2. 83:15 importantly [2] -60:19, 80:2 impose [1] - 8:17 **imposition** [1] - 16:3 **improper** [1] - 81:14 **impropriety** [2] - 19:7, 19:10 improve [1] - 66:21 inadvertently [1] -21:13 incapacitated [3] -70:5, 70:7, 73:21 incentive [1] - 51:8 inclined [1] - 11:16 include [2] - 54:18, 56:3 included [8] - 53:18, 53:19, 54:21, 54:23, 73:10, 80:16, 80:17, 81:16 including [6] - 24:11, 24:12, 59:21, 69:2, 81.1 inclusion [4] - 73:16, 74:3, 74:4, 81:13 incoherent [1] - 69:2 income [1] - 49:11 incomplete [1] - 70:5 increase [2] - 50:17, 57:3 increased [1] - 56:15 incredible [1] - 8:10 independence [1] -13:10 independent [5] -13:5, 27:13, 78:12, 79:9, 79:16 Indiana [1] - 31:14 indispensable [1] -57:25 individual [3] - 5:21, 25:25, 58:2 individually [4] - 58:5, 58:6, 58:7 individuals [5] -46:16, 56:6, 59:20, 76:8, 81:14 indulge [1] - 56:7

industry 131 - 35:22.

ineffective [1] - 81:11

influences [1] - 83:16

information [15] -

60:7. 60:17

20:9, 21:3, 21:20, 28:23, 28:24, 29:1, 34:12, 34:13, 34:24, 37:1, 37:6, 41:24, 79:14, 79:17, 83:9 informed [1] - 43:17 Innelli [6] - 20:8, 21:14, 22:15, 24:12, 24:21, 25:5 innovative [1] - 32:19 inoperable [2] - 74:20, 75:4 inside [2] - 35:9, 37:11 insist [1] - 48:22 insisted [1] - 58:15 instance [1] - 29:15 instead [4] - 17:24, 39:17, 45:10, 49:15 instruct [3] - 54:3, 80:6, 80:8 instructions [1] -15:15 insurance [4] - 32:21, 40:25, 41:3, 45:2 insured [1] - 41:3 intellectual [2] -79:14, 80:3 intend [1] - 49:25 interacted [1] - 34:17 interest [4] - 22:13, 49:11, 59:17, 59:19 interested [1] - 59:23 interesting [8] - 7:24, 15:13, 28:10, 33:19, 35:24, 49:6, 49:20, 82:18 interim [1] - 71:5 internal [1] - 71:3 Internet [1] - 83:9 internet [3] - 21:12, 22:7, 22:8 interrupt [2] - 23:12, 54:2 interruption [1] - 40:2 introduce [4] - 3:22, 7:20, 29:10, 56:8 introduced [3] - 6:2, 18:21, 60:15 intrude [1] - 83:16 invest [1] - 59:18 investing [1] - 80:17 investor [1] - 32:25 invoice [5] - 78:14, 78:21, 78:22, 79:6, 80.1 invoices [2] - 78:13, 79:4 involved [3] - 36:10, 63:2, 69:25 involves [1] - 34:19

involving [2] - 42:15, 48:23 lowa [9] - 31:11, 31:16, 31:21, 32:3, 32:10, 32:11, 32:13, 32:18, 33:7 issue [4] - 17:15, 34:21, 50:22, 54:15 issued [2] - 35:6, 54:15

J

itself [2] - 47:20, 71:13

issues [3] - 10:5,

10:18, 39:24

January [23] - 35:18, 42:19, 43:1, 43:12, 43:15, 43:16, 43:18, 43:19, 43:21, 43:24, 44:5, 44:9, 44:22, 51:1, 70:13, 72:23, 72:24, 73:3, 75:21, 77:6 Jarred [1] - 83:25 **Jeff** [9] - 36:11, 43:14, 43:15, 57:3, 63:5, 63:18, 64:9, 72:3, 73:4 jet [1] - 39:21 Jill [1] - 3:16 job [42] - 4:16, 4:21, 9:9, 14:11, 14:14, 20:19, 28:15, 31:23, 32:2, 41:8, 42:7, 42:9, 43:4, 45:19, 45:21, 46:2, 46:5, 46:6, 47:22, 47:24, 47:25, 48:21, 48:22, 50:2, 51:17, 52:5, 52:10, 53:8, 60:4, 60:12, 60:24, 63:25, 71:6, 72:5, 74:7, 74:10, 75:17, 75:19, 75:22 jobs [5] - 53:17, 59:24, 61:3, 80:15, 80:18 **Joe** [24] - 51:13, 55:7, 56:17, 61:6, 61:7, 62:5, 62:17, 64:12, 64:20, 64:23, 65:2, 65:3, 65:11, 67:4, 67:11, 67:20, 67:22, 77:3, 77:11, 77:12, 77:13, 77:16, 77:21, 82:25 Joe's [1] - 64:22 Johnson [23] - 3:21, 26:1, 44:2, 44:11, 57:17, 57:20, 57:24,

Barger v. First Data

15, 57:25, 58:7, 63:8, 63:9, 63:23, 63:25, 64:7, 66:11, 66:17, 69:24, 73:19, 74:5, 75:22, 80:21, 81:25 join [2] - 9:21, 62:9 joined [3] - 56:20, kid

15 56:22, 65:9 joins [1] - 61:5 kin

Joseph [2] - 33:11, 55:7

Judge [5] - 4:6, 22:2, 74:54

14:12

54:5, 80:8, 83:5 **judge** [22] - 5:19, 7:20, 7:25, 8:1, 8:13, 9:7, 9:13, 9:24, 10:1, 10:18, 11:24, 12:12, 13:7, 18:8, 20:12, 20:13, 20:23, 22:4, 24:11, 29:3, 80:6, 82:11

judge's [1] - 54:6 judges [2] - 12:24, 13:6

judgment [2] - 4:5, 8:19 judicial [1] - 9:18 judiciary [1] - 13:11 July [1] - 79:2 June [3] - 36:7, 78:22,

79:25 juries [2] - 9:23, 12:21 jurisdiction [1] - 9:14 JUROR [3] - 7:7, 7:8,

7:10 juror [6] - 11:7, 12:18, 21:16, 21:22, 22:18 jurors [21] - 4:4, 4:25, 5:1, 5:3, 6:5, 6:9, 6:25, 7:14, 7:18, 8:5, 8:19, 10:10, 13:16, 13:21, 13:25, 14:3, 15:5, 22:16, 22:19, 25:2, 82:8

25:2, 82:8

jury [22] - 2:20, 3:23,
4:7, 4:9, 4:13, 4:19,
4:24, 7:1, 7:13, 8:9,
8:15, 10:4, 10:7,
10:8, 10:10, 10:23,
12:8, 12:12, 13:13,
24:25, 25:9, 83:24

JURY [1] - 1:6

Jury [3] - 1:14, 6:10, 83:21 justice [3] - 9:10, 14:22, 53:3 justifiable [1] - 56:2 justify [1] - 63:19

Κ

keep [7] - 18:2, 18:15, 37:19, 45:19, 50:22, 67:16, 83:6 kept [1] - 75:23 kids [3] - 9:9, 21:1, 31:18 kind [9] - 21:2, 21:19, 28:1, 31:9, 31:12, 33:25, 34:1, 76:4, 78:4 kindergarten [1] -24:17 kindness [1] - 45:19 kinds [1] - 60:11 King [5] - 3:14, 13:3, 13:6, 13:15, 44:6 KING [1] - 2:5 **kingdom** [1] - 21:8 **KKR** [3] - 59:7, 60:25 knowledge [2] - 9:8, 11:12 known [5] - 64:17, 76:16, 76:24, 77:15, 79.25 knows [3] - 12:13, 60:10, 60:12

L

laboratory [1] - 15:22 Ladies [1] - 53:14 ladies [3] - 53:24, 70:24, 81:21 laid [1] - 54:16 lapping [1] - 15:21 laptop [1] - 38:25 large [5] - 9:7, 27:11, 49:4, 49:19, 49:22 larger [1] - 72:17 largest [1] - 56:24 laryngectomy[1] -37:23 larynx [4] - 26:6, 29:22, 37:9, 38:4 LaSalle [1] - 31:13 last [7] - 3:21, 7:21, 8:10, 11:8, 15:18, 17:17, 35:11 late [1] - 53:18 latitude [1] - 15:17 law [27] - 4:10, 4:15, 7:1, 8:8, 8:12, 9:15, 10:3, 10:4, 10:9, 10:11, 10:20, 10:21, 10:25. 11:12. 13:4. 14:25. 23:10. 26:19. 30:11, 46:16, 54:3, 54:6, 54:10, 80:7,

80:8, 84:10

LAW [1] - 1:17

laws [2] - 9:15, 49:2

lawsuit [1] - 14:9

lawyer [16] - 3:17, 4:5,

11:3, 11:5, 16:7,

16:8, 16:14, 16:15,

16:17, 16:18, 16:19,

22:25, 27:22, 44:20

lawyers [13] - 6:4,

7:25, 11:16, 14:12,

16:22, 18:21, 18:22,

19:2, 23:7, 29:3,

29:4, 54:7, 82:21

Lawyers [1] - 3:6

17-CV-4869(FB)

lawyers' [1] - 17:2 layers [2] - 56:13, 72:7 laying [1] - 29:25 lead [2] - 43:17, 56:23 leaders [1] - 65:14 leadership [2] - 66:14, 66:21 leading [1] - 71:17

leading [1] - 71:17 learn [2] - 82:12, 82:17 learned [3] - 64:24,

77:24, 78:1 **learning** [2] - 78:18, 82:13

least [3] - 9:16, 34:18, 80:11

leave [67] - 3:25, 4:3, 26:11, 26:12, 26:18, 26:20, 27:6, 27:17, 27:23, 30:2, 30:3, 30:4, 30:5, 30:6, 31:2, 37:18, 40:12, 41:10, 41:15, 41:18, 41:20, 42:15, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 42:25, 43:3, 43:4, 43:6, 43:20, 45:17, 46:4, 46:6, 46:8, 47:8, 47:11, 47:13, 48:2, 48:3, 48:4, 48:15, 48:16, 48:18, 51:1, 51:3, 51:19, 51:21, 52:23, 53:6, 53:7, 54:13, 54:22, 58:13, 58:16, 60:23, 67:16, 68:12, 68:25, 69:22, 70:14, 70:15, 70:25, 74:16, 80:24, 81:17, 81:18

Leave [6] - 26:2,

leaves [1] - 31:17

left [7] - 13:3, 33:13,

40:7, 54:11, 54:12,

31:7, 80:9

26:20, 27:3, 30:9,

9/16/2019___ 68:13, 68:14 legal [2] - 10:18, 11:12 legitimate [1] - 50:18 **Lehman** [1] - 33:3 Lehr [1] - 3:10 **LEHR** [1] - 2:1 less [4] - 34:3, 75:13, 77:21, 77:22 lesser [1] - 14:20 lesson [3] - 9:5, 13:17, letter [3] - 42:18, 43:2, 43:3 letting [1] - 45:5 level [5] - 17:25, 18:12, 18:16, 66:17,

level [5] - 17:25, 18:12, 18:16, 66:17 72:2 liability [2] - 80:13,

81:23 license [1] - 79:17 lies [2] - 10:10, 17:7 life [3] - 8:18, 12:25,

29:17

light [1] - 9:17 likely [1] - 6:22 limitation [1] - 54:15 limitations [1] - 54:14 list [10] - 6:2, 72:20,

72:22, 73:5, 73:9, 73:11, 73:12, 73:13, 84:8, 84:15

listen [7] - 9:22, 10:11, 15:8, 21:13, 25:5, 29:8 **listening** [2] - 68:13,

82:15 lists [2] - 72:19, 81:5 live [2] - 19:25, 21:4 lived [2] - 31:12, 31:13 livelihoods [1] - 60:1

living [1] - 83:13 LLP [1] - 2:1 lobby [1] - 14:2 local [1] - 34:22

locations [1] - 80:16 long-term [10] - 40:18, 40:20, 40:25, 41:1, 41:5, 41:17, 45:1,

45:5, 46:9, 57:21 **look** [9] - 21:12, 22:8, 29:8, 48:14, 53:1, 56:12, 60:24, 66:23, 74:11

looked [4] - 70:19, 71:9, 72:10, 79:8 looking [8] - 6:12,

17:24, 22:3, 55:25, 60:23, 60:24, 71:12, 72:8

looks [1] - 83:5

40:11, 68:16, 74:19

messages [3] - 38:7,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019_

lose [2] - 60:4, 75:19 lost [1] - 61:2 Lou [6] - 56:18, 64:14, 64:15, 64:16, 64:20, 64:23 **LOUIS** [1] - 2:7 Louis [1] - 3:12 love [2] - 22:8, 23:19 loved [2] - 20:25, 21:18 loves [1] - 29:17 lower [1] - 72:14 LTD [1] - 70:11 luck [1] - 7:16 lunch [2] - 19:12, 37:15 **Luther** [1] - 44:6

М

machine [1] - 55:19 Madison [1] - 2:6 mail [10] - 38:12, 41:22, 42:1, 69:5, 70:14, 70:16, 72:4, 75:3, 75:8 mails [5] - 38:7, 48:11, 51:5, 69:2, 72:21 main [1] - 32:18 major [1] - 52:1 man [4] - 29:25, 33:11, 35:16, 36:11 man's [1] - 29:17 manage [2] - 57:19, 84:14 managed [2] - 27:16, 71:17 management [14] -27:13, 27:17, 43:11, 43:17, 49:18, 54:25, 55:1, 56:12, 56:13, 66:22, 70:15, 72:8, 74:1, 80:14 manager [1] - 57:3 managers [4] - 55:4, 56:14, 56:15, 69:2 managing [1] - 62:18 manipulation [1] -50:10 manufactured [1] -27:22 map [2] - 16:23, 17:4 March [9] - 37:10, 37:12, 65:9, 73:22, 77:8, 77:9, 78:2, 78:8 marching [1] - 10:21 Marino [42] - 3:20. 26:1, 39:20, 40:3,

40:6, 40:8, 41:9,

41:13, 43:15, 57:8, 57:10, 57:12, 57:16, 58:6, 58:15, 60:17, 65:9, 65:10, 65:13, 65:24, 66:1, 67:5, 67:12, 67:20, 68:4, 68:11, 68:15, 68:21, 68:23, 69:5, 69:12, 69:13, 72:3, 74:5, 74:9, 74:15, 74:25, 75:8, 81:2, 81:24 Marino's [2] - 41:7, 60:18 market [2] - 28:24, 37.2 marketing [2] - 33:2, 33.18 marshals [2] - 83:12, 83:13 Martin [1] - 44:6 matter [4] - 4:3, 4:5, 42:23, 52:15 McKinney [1] - 1:17 MD[1] - 2:2 mean [5] - 7:15, 10:9, 41:21, 48:4, 52:6 means [4] - 10:9, 11:10, 11:11, 34:3 meant [1] - 73:20 meantime [1] - 6:18 meat [1] - 82:22 mechanical [1] - 2:14 Medical [6] - 26:2, 26:20, 27:3, 30:9, 31:6, 80:9 medical [1] - 41:14 medicated [1] - 69:4 meet [1] - 67:11 meeting [5] - 6:1, 39:1, 39:3, 64:13, 64:24 meetings [1] - 38:12 meets [1] - 73:19 mega [1] - 32:22 member [1] - 36:9 members [1] - 43:11 Men [1] - 15:8 mentality [1] - 36:23 mention [3] - 5:19, 5:23, 12:5 mentioned [1] - 63:12 merchant [4] - 28:20, 35:5, 36:25, 55:20 merchants [1] - 35:12 merely [1] - 28:19 merry [1] - 45:7 Merry [1] - 43:9 mess [2] - 42:15, 71.16

message [5] - 40:10,

68:16, 69:5 met [2] - 32:15, 84:15 MetLife [4] - 41:1, 41:2, 70:19, 70:20 Michael [1] - 3:11 MICHAEL [1] - 2:4 mid [5] - 24:8, 39:19, 70:4, 72:19 mid-afternoon [1] -24:8 mid-December [1] -70:4 mid-morning [1] -24:8 mid-November [1] -72.19 middle [3] - 38:19, 77:8, 77:9 Midwest [2] - 31:18, 32:5 Midwestern [1] -31:15 might [5] - 7:20, 63:16, 74:20, 75:3, 75:18 mike [1] - 25:11 minutes [3] - 5:1, 16:6. 65:21 mischaracterize [1] -52:10 miss [1] - 37:13 Missouri [1] - 31:25 mistake [2] - 52:2, 52:18 mixed [1] - 7:12 mode [2] - 72:15 modern [1] - 28:22 modified [1] - 35:22 Moines [2] - 31:12, 32:18 moment [1] - 56:7 money [6] - 32:8, 36:5, 50:14, 59:18, 59:19, 63:20 month [7] - 36:5, 72:3, 77:4, 77:12, 78:10, 78:11, 80:4 months [6] - 15:19, 16:1, 37:11, 64:1, 79:4, 79:5 Morales [1] - 11:21 morning [10] - 3:23, 4:8, 16:13, 18:21, 19:10, 24:8, 25:19, 29:23, 38:6, 65:17 most [4] - 9:11, 22:23, 29:14, 31:14 mothers [1] - 12:19

motivated [1] - 47:12 motivational [1] -62:14 mouth [2] - 39:14, 39:15 mouths [1] - 39:11 move [5] - 23:25, 33:4, 33:6, 40:18, 84:11 moved [4] - 32:10, 32:17, 33:3, 50:3 moves [2] - 34:24, 34:25 Moving [1] - 64:10 moving [1] - 28:25 mowing [1] - 31:17 MR [24] - 2:24, 3:1, 3:4, 3:9, 3:13, 3:15, 3:24, 4:6, 4:12, 4:16, 4:18, 5:9, 5:12, 5:14, 5:19, 25:23, 31:1, 40:3, 53:12, 53:14, 62:2, 82:25, 84:19, 84:21 multiple [1] - 52:17 murdered [1] - 11:8 must [2] - 15:15, 78:17

Ν

name [9] - 3:4, 6:14, 7:21, 36:13, 60:6, 61:6, 64:15, 71:4, 72:18 named [1] - 36:11 names [2] - 73:13, 81:4 national [1] - 25:16 natural [1] - 21:3 nature [2] - 11:22, 15:24 near [2] - 33:20, 33:23 necessarily [3] - 8:20, 16:20, 47:7 necessary [2] - 22:15, 47:23 need [44] - 9:25, 10:1, 10:3, 10:7, 10:17, 15:6, 17:5, 17:6, 24:9, 27:1, 29:8, 30:11, 33:22, 41:11, 44:12, 44:14, 46:1, 46:2, 53:1, 53:22, 62:6, 62:7, 63:18, 63:24, 64:17, 66:12, 67:1, 68:18, 69:6, 69:8, 69:18, 71:19, 72:1, 74:20, 74:21, 74:22, 80:17, 80:21, 81:9, 82:8

needed [13] - 15:9, 26:14, 38:24, 43:5, 46:16, 47:8, 56:12, 57:2, 57:20, 60:20, 66:21, 66:23, 74:16 needs [3] - 24:10. 84:1. 84:3 negotiations [1] -45.25 nervous [1] - 4:21 **net** [2] - 49:11, 49:13 network [1] - 34:23 neutral [2] - 11:24, 11:25 neutrals [3] - 11:17, 11:23 Never [1] - 63:20 never [4] - 18:23, 37:17, 57:6, 63:20 **NEW** [1] - 1:1 new [6] - 28:22, 33:1, 33:9, 36:23, 62:12, 82:12 New [7] - 1:5, 2:7, 2:11, 19:21, 33:4, 39:21 news [1] - 37:22 newspaper [2] -22:10, 22:13 newspapers [1] -21:12 next [17] - 3:12, 3:16, 3:18, 3:20, 15:21, 18:24, 23:5, 29:23, 30:18, 38:6, 58:24, 61:10, 64:19, 74:9, 75:5, 75:8 night [2] - 19:18, 39:25 **night's** [1] - 83:3 nine [2] - 11:23, 19:20 noble [1] - 47:15 Nobody [1] - 83:11 nobody [1] - 66:17 none [1] - 19:22 nonverbal [1] - 17:14 nonworking [1] -75:23 normally [2] - 75:5, 76:8 north [1] - 31:12 Northeast [1] - 31:25 note [13] - 26:22, 26:23, 26:25, 27:25, 31:5. 42:24. 43:19. 44:21, 51:22, 52:25, 53:7, 73:21, 73:23 notes [6] - 17:18, 17:21, 18:1, 18:2, 18:13, 18:14

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019_____10

nothing [2] - 11:9, 21.14 notice [2] - 70:23, 75:23 notification [1] - 70:9 notified [1] - 51:1 November [17] -39:19, 39:20, 40:4, 40:11, 40:15, 41:14, 42:14, 43:20, 50:25, 58:16, 67:20, 67:25, 72:19, 72:22, 74:17, 75:7, 81:5 number [7] - 15:25, 53:16, 59:14, 59:15, 78:9, 81:1, 82:3 numbers [1] - 49:16 NY [2] - 1:22, 2:7

0

o'clock [4] - 82:23, 83:2, 83:18, 84:17 O.J[1] - 14:18 **OA** [7] - 65:6, 65:7, 65:10, 65:20, 66:1, 66:4. 66:5 oath [5] - 24:22, 24:23, 25:3, 25:13, 68:5 object [1] - 11:16 objection [3] - 11:4, 11:9, 11:11 **objections** [1] - 10:5 objectively [1] - 21:15 objectives [1] - 72:11 **obligation** [1] - 42:5 obligations [1] - 22:20 observation [1] -47:12 observed [1] - 40:6 obvious [1] - 47:7 obviously [5] - 10:16, 20:5, 83:7, 83:9, 83:14 occurred [3] - 28:12, 53:18, 68:24 October [6] - 38:19, 39:19, 42:19, 43:1, 70:5. 70:8 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:17, 53:13 offered [1] - 10:13 offers [2] - 58:23, 69:25 OFFICE [1] - 1:17 office [12] - 32:18, 33:5, 37:17, 38:22, 38:23, 39:4, 43:8, 43:9, 43:25, 44:12,

51:18, 76:17 officer [1] - 59:12 officers [1] - 52:16 okayed [1] - 26:23 old [2] - 22:4, 29:18 older [1] - 12:10 ON [1] - 53:13 once [8] - 12:9, 19:24, 30:17, 34:18, 41:1, 45:20, 46:23, 57:22 oncologist [1] - 64:18 one [31] - 3:19, 6:7, 6:12. 6:13. 8:13. 9:24. 12:22. 13:14. 13:19, 17:9, 20:5, 20:6, 20:14, 21:18, 22:18, 24:7, 33:12, 38:1, 41:19, 48:12, 52:5, 52:13, 54:1, 54:11, 55:5, 61:8, 69:16, 78:5, 78:22, 81:13 One [1] - 80:14

ones [3] - 20:25, 62:20, 78:1 open [3] - 9:21, 9:22, 62:1 **OPENING** [1] - 53:13

opening [13] - 5:6, 5:20, 16:8, 16:10, 16:16, 16:23, 24:19, 25:21, 28:1, 54:8, 65:6, 68:14, 77:5 openings [1] - 11:22 operation [1] - 68:18 operations [1] - 36:10 opinion [5] - 9:11, 23:14, 37:24, 82:19, 82:20

opportunity [2] -13:21, 20:2 orally [1] - 71:24 order [3] - 4:8, 74:21, 80:25 orders [1] - 10:22 Ording [1] - 71:5 organization [2] -71:25, 72:5

organize [1] - 51:11 organized [1] - 71:16 oriented [1] - 16:25 origin [1] - 25:16 otherwise [7] - 10:17, 19:16, 20:10, 22:5, 27:22, 54:16, 57:13

otolaryngologists [1] - 38:1 outside [1] - 83:16 overall [1] - 48:8 overhead [1] - 63:17

overnight [1] - 40:5 overruled [1] - 11:11 overwhelm [2] - 4:4, 6:5 owe [1] - 50:14 own [4] - 18:3, 26:13, 42:10, 59:8 owned [1] - 32:12 owner [1] - 60:25

P

P.C [2] - 1:17, 1:21 **p.m** [2] - 1:8, 44:10 package [3] - 37:4, 74:8, 74:11 packages [1] - 58:24 pads [3] - 28:20, 35:12, 37:7 page [2] - 30:18, 61:10 paid [14] - 40:14, 45:2, 46:6, 49:14, 53:20, 57:23, 63:14, 69:23, 75:5, 75:14, 79:4, 79:18, 79:19, 81:13 painted [3] - 32:6, 32:9, 32:12 **painting** [1] - 32:8 paper [3] - 17:19, 22:10, 22:12 paperwork [5] - 6:5, 69:21, 69:25, 70:1, 70:11 part [11] - 17:15, 35:6, 41:3, 46:7, 48:23, 49:16, 49:21, 50:19, 53:17, 73:14, 73:16 partially [1] - 17:12 participate [2] - 46:14, 66.5 particular [4] - 23:25, 54:20, 55:24, 78:13 parties [8] - 2:23, 19:3, 25:15, 40:20, 47:2, 76:12, 76:14, 76:15 partner [2] - 57:18, 63.9 party [1] - 43:8 pass [3] - 8:19, 18:23, 35:3 past [2] - 12:6, 58:20 pasture [1] - 45:10 pause [2] - 64:10, 66:9 Pause [1] - 7:3 pay [17] - 17:22, 17:23, 27:4, 40:19, 46:19, 56:12, 59:18, 59:19, 67:18, 75:2, 75:7, 75:9, 75:10,

77:3, 77:13, 79:1, 84:10 paychecks [1] - 69:23 paying [2] - 42:11, 45:4 payment [2] - 57:22, 81.1 payments [9] - 28:14, 34:20, 35:2, 35:9, 40:23, 41:2, 60:6, 60:16, 65:16 payroll [3] - 40:14, 42:11, 75:24 pays [1] - 40:22 peace[1] - 83:6 peers [1] - 8:22 pencil [1] - 17:19 people [46] - 4:4, 9:11, 12:10, 12:11, 13:3, 13:18, 14:5, 17:21, 17:22, 17:23, 18:14, 20:17, 21:3, 21:4, 22:7, 30:2, 32:13, 33:18, 35:8, 38:12, 48:24, 49:5, 49:20, 49:22, 50:9, 52:12, 54:24, 56:3, 58:20, 58:23, 60:1, 60:4, 60:13, 60:15, 61:2, 65:18, 67:24, 71:11, 72:9, 73:12, 74:1, 74:24, 76:5, 81:10, 83:7, 83:8 people's [3] - 9:20, 13:15, 59:24 pepper [1] - 21:1 percent [11] - 35:2, 40:18, 40:24, 41:2, 55:3, 72:9, 73:5, 73:10, 75:13, 82:4 perceptions [1] - 17:2 perform [3] - 42:7, 42:9, 47:23 period [8] - 51:5, 51:24, 59:4, 63:23, 67:22, 76:22, 76:23, 77:2

persecution [1] - 13:2

person [10] - 6:15,

8:21, 10:20, 19:6,

20:6, 20:11, 52:13,

57:15, 63:24, 71:17

person's [1] - 46:14

personal [3] - 9:11,

personally [1] - 9:8

perspective [1] - 60:8

18:3, 18:17

Peter [1] - 22:11

phase [1] - 58:25

Ph.D [1] - 9:12

phone [1] - 42:1 physical [2] - 26:16, 46:13 physician's [3] -26:21, 43:2, 43:3 physicians [2] - 28:12, 42:24 pick [1] - 12:9 picked [1] - 15:10 picks [1] - 64:14 picture [3] - 63:9, 67:6, 67:9 piece [3] - 54:11, 68:14 pieces [1] - 61:1 pile [1] - 30:17 PIN [3] - 28:20, 35:12, 37:7 Pitt [2] - 22:4, 83:5 pizza [3] - 34:22, 34:23, 34:25 place [6] - 2:19, 10:23, 12:25, 13:2, 53:4 placed [1] - 80:24 Plaintiff [2] - 1:4, 1:17 plaintiff [16] - 2:25, 4:12, 11:19, 14:9, 14:15, 14:16, 14:23, 16:5, 23:5, 25:19, 26:5, 30:7, 47:4, 53:3, 53:19 plaintiff's [4] - 16:7, 26:2, 26:16, 48:22 plaintiffs [1] - 46:21 plan [4] - 43:11, 57:3, 57:7, 63:18 plans [1] - 57:22 play [3] - 21:21, 67:5, 67:10 played [1] - 31:21 Plaza [1] - 2:11 PLLC [1] - 2:5 Plumeri [36] - 33:11, 33:14, 34:9, 35:15, 35:25, 36:4, 36:8, 36:9, 39:20, 40:3, 51:13, 52:17, 55:7, 55:8, 55:9, 56:17, 61:6, 62:2, 62:17, 63:2, 64:12, 64:13, 64:20, 65:12, 67:4, 67:11, 67:20, 67:22, 68:4, 77:3, 77:10, 77:11, 77:14, 77:21, 79:1, 82:25 pneumonia [2] -39:24, 40:6 point [20] - 8:2, 21:25,

28:7, 32:20, 35:3,

42:5, 43:3, 43:10,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB)

83:15

really [24] - 13:18,

14:12, 20:3, 22:19,

9/16/2019_____11

51:9, 54:25, 59:8, 62:19, 63:8, 68:23, 69:7, 70:24, 71:18, 72:6, 72:12, 73:19 pointed [1] - 79:15 policy [2] - 40:25, 41:3 politics [2] - 13:6, 13:9 Pool [1] - 3:19 **Poole** [1] - 3:16 portal [1] - 70:19 portion [1] - 76:2 position [9] - 27:6, 44:15, 52:4, 52:5, 52:7, 70:24, 74:7 possible [1] - 5:20 practice [2] - 8:23, 64:19 Pratt [1] - 2:2 pre [1] - 28:6 prejudice [1] - 21:15 prejudiced [1] - 22:18 prepaid [1] - 79:17 preponderance [1] -14:21 presence [1] - 2:19 present [3] - 26:21, 54:7, 54:8 presentation [2] -27:20, 65:20 presented [1] - 55:23 presenting [3] - 53:1, 68:11, 76:10 presents [1] - 23:5 presided [1] - 22:11 president [6] - 36:14, 50:5. 57:9. 63:15. 66:15. 67:17 President [2] - 27:15, 28:16 presiding [1] - 7:17 pretend [1] - 24:17 pretty [2] - 4:16, 24:5 prevail [1] - 81:23 prevailing [1] - 80:12 prevent [1] - 80:12 price [1] - 72:13 priceless [1] - 68:3 Primerica [2] - 34:1, 35:17 print [1] - 34:21 private [2] - 39:21, 59:8 privilege [2] - 7:17, 82:11 privileged [1] - 13:24 probability [1] - 23:9 problem [2] - 41:13, 47:9

proceed [2] - 4:13, 5:3 Proceedings [1] -2:14 process [9] - 12:9, 24:24, 28:14, 34:22, 34:23, 35:4, 35:7, 64:3, 84:12 processed [2] - 28:21, 82:18 produced [2] - 2:15, 51:5 product [1] - 41:3 products [2] - 32:19, 34:14 professional [1] -84:16 professionals [1] -18:22 profusely [1] - 20:23 program [2] - 35:24, 65:13 programs [8] - 28:18, 33:9, 34:12, 35:21, 36:21, 51:16 progress [1] - 6:22 prohibits [1] - 47:3 projected [2] - 71:13, 73:22 promptly [3] - 20:4, 20:21. 83:19 proof [5] - 14:16, 14:17, 14:19, 14:23, 16:5 proper [3] - 11:6, 11:13, 24:1 properly [1] - 9:10 property [2] - 79:15, 80:4 proprietary [1] - 79:14 prospective [1] - 7:14 prosthetic [1] - 46:24 protected [3] - 43:4,

46.2 46.5

45.17

81.12

42:5

79:12

provides [1] - 79:16

providing [1] - 27:25

provision [2] - 47:6,

42:22 radiation [7] - 37:11, protection [1] - 45:22 protections [1] protocol [1] - 5:4 proud [1] - 7:17 prove [8] - 28:3, 44:24, 49:25, 50:20, 77:16 51:4, 80:11, 80:19, provide [2] - 28:23, provided [4] - 28:20, 52:7, 76:4, 79:10

public [5] - 9:7, 20:2, 37:4, 72:13, 72:14 pull [1] - 55:15 purple [1] - 25:16 purpose [4] - 6:19, 16:23, 28:1, 50:11 purposes [3] - 42:22, 47:1, 49:10 pushes [3] - 39:10, 39:13, 39:15 put [11] - 13:13, 47:11, 64:4, 64:6, 65:13, 65:25, 66:9, 73:9, 75:23, 82:22, 84:6 puts [1] - 37:4

quality [1] - 20:13 quarter [5] - 49:5, 49:20, 49:23, 52:12, 52:14 quarters [1] - 49:5 Queen [2] - 8:14, 8:15 questions [10] - 9:4, 22:25, 23:13, 23:18, 23:19, 24:25, 38:9, 38:11, 70:10, 76:21 quick [1] - 48:9 quickly [1] - 55:17 quit [1] - 26:11 quite [3] - 32:18, 33:6,

Q

R

37:15, 37:22, 65:5, 66:2, 66:8, 66:10 raise [2] - 24:17, 25:8 raises [1] - 64:7 raising [1] - 9:9 raking [1] - 31:17 rambling [1] - 23:22 ran [2] - 13:2, 32:15 random [1] - 7:14 rarely [1] - 12:14 rate [1] - 20:12 raw [1] - 40:4 reached [2] - 70:12. read [3] - 18:11, 22:14. 30:6 ready [7] - 4:13, 5:17, 44:7, 44:8, 67:24, 73:24, 83:2 real [5] - 32:11, 39:2, 48:9, 50:11 reality [1] - 50:15 realize [2] - 82:8,

29:19, 31:22, 33:19, 34:2, 34:7, 42:23, 44:4, 44:6, 48:2, 48:3. 48:14. 62:6. 62:7. 62:13. 62:14. 83:10, 83:13, 83:16 reason [13] - 5:7, 12:23, 12:24, 20:15, 58:15, 68:5, 68:11, 69:12, 69:14, 69:17, 69:20, 77:14 reasonable [2] -14:19, 42:6 reasonably [1] - 14:10 reasons [4] - 13:14, 26:9, 45:13, 73:15 received [1] - 42:13 recognition [1] - 76:3 recommendation [1] -71:19 recommended [1] -38:2 reconnect [1] - 62:3 reconnected [1] - 62:5 record [1] - 84:6 recorded [1] - 2:14 recount [1] - 44:18 recover [1] - 30:10 recovered [1] - 26:5 recovering [3] - 26:8, 40:4. 68:25 recovery [5] - 28:13, 42:10, 47:10, 68:20, 72:15 recreate [1] - 52:9 recross [1] - 23:3 recruited [4] - 33:2, 33:14, 34:5, 35:17 redirect [1] - 23:3 reduction [13] - 49:24, 50:6, 50:19, 53:17, 54:22, 55:2, 56:3, 72:20, 73:10, 73:14, 75:13, 81:5, 82:4 reductions [1] - 50:7 referred [2] - 56:17, 64:23 regarding [3] - 54:3, 80:7, 80:8 regardless [3] - 54:17, 54:22 regards [1] - 47:5 register [1] - 55:15 regular [1] - 40:14 regulation [1] - 54:14 reinstate [2] - 27:24,

related [1] - 51:23 relationship [1] -51:13 relax [2] - 6:17, 6:18 relents [1] - 67:25 relevant [2] - 18:10, 51:23 rely [1] - 45:18 remains [1] - 49:18 remarks [1] - 23:8 remedy [1] - 46:16 **Remember** [3] - 64:5, 74:15. 83:4 remember [14] - 7:23, 8:2. 12:7. 13:23. 16:14, 18:9, 29:5, 29:7, 31:2, 43:20, 50:21, 51:7, 53:1, 82:2 reminds [1] - 24:21 remote [1] - 48:7 remotely [1] - 26:6 removal [1] - 47:10 remove [1] - 37:23 removed [5] - 13:6, 26:6, 29:23, 38:5, 46:24 removing [1] - 41:8 rendered [2] - 79:7, 80.5 renowned [1] - 64:18 repeats [1] - 25:13 replacement [1] -80:22 reported [3] - 36:8, 36:15, 73:8 reporter [3] - 24:12, 76:18. 76:19 Reporter [2] - 2:10, 2.10 **reporters** [1] - 18:6 reporting [1] - 36:11 reports [2] - 36:18, 79:24 represent [1] - 11:18 representative [2] -3:17, 3:18 representing [2] -11:19, 11:20 reputation [1] - 60:8 request [2] - 81:6, 83:25 requested [1] - 81:17 require [2] - 15:5, 67:15 required [1] - 26:21 requirement [1] -12:22 requires [2] - 30:11, 69:16

52:24

31:4, 35:7, 37:24,

46:7, 46:10, 70:23

securities [2] - 32:21,

34:14

See [1] - 84:17

see [43] - 4:23, 5:7,

7:5, 9:19, 11:1, 12:1,

12:15, 14:6, 16:25,

17:18, 18:6, 18:20,

19:4, 19:16, 22:9,

22:14, 24:1, 24:9,

36:13, 38:4, 38:10,

39:4, 39:21, 48:19,

48:20, 53:5, 59:12,

59:23, 64:18, 65:3,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB)

9/16/2019_____12

requiring [1] - 40:12 rescued [1] - 60:2 research [1] - 8:8 resign [1] - 20:23 resist [1] - 21:6 resolved [1] - 8:22 Resources [1] - 27:15 resources [2] - 57:9, 66:18 responds [1] - 41:7 response [3] - 70:14, 70:17, 78:17 responsibilities [6] -12:16, 17:16, 45:7, 57:4, 61:8, 63:16 responsibility [7] -8:5, 11:18, 13:12, 22:5, 22:20, 54:6, 56:15 responsible [1] -73:12 rest [2] - 3:15, 49:17 restaurant [1] - 18:23 restoration [1] - 54:14 restore [1] - 44:14 restored [3] - 27:5, 41:11, 44:23 restrictions [1] - 73:25 restructure [1] - 54:19 restructuring [9] -44:17, 45:8, 48:21, 48:23, 49:10, 52:22, 53:17, 72:15, 80:16 result [1] - 70:18 results [1] - 71:25 retired [2] - 33:25, 34:1 retirement [2] - 34:2 return [10] - 26:22, 26:23, 27:9, 43:11, 44:1, 44:22, 71:1, 81:6, 81:18 revenue [1] - 63:16 review [3] - 71:3, 71:9, 81:7 revoking [1] - 48:7 Rhonda [14] - 3:21, 57:17, 58:7, 63:8, 63:9, 63:23, 66:11, 69:24, 73:19, 74:1, 74:2, 80:21, 81:25 RIF [4] - 72:22, 73:17, 74:3, 74:4 rights [4] - 8:22, 13:15, 26:2, 52:15 rise [1] - 83:20 road [6] - 16:23, 17:4, 36:22, 38:24, 62:10, 65:11 robe [1] - 7:21

Robin [2] - 71:5, 71:6 role [3] - 21:21, 62:18, 66:18 roll [1] - 65:10 rookie [1] - 4:18 room [2] - 10:23, 39:3 rote [1] - 6:14 rule [1] - 10:4 run [4] - 19:19, 34:23, 45:24, 71:20 running [4] - 53:23, 57:2, 72:2, 81:9 runs [1] - 35:1 rush [1] - 19:21

66:15, 67:24, 67:25, S 68:16, 69:23, 72:21, salary [9] - 26:18, 72:22, 76:15, 78:2, 79:4, 83:18, 83:19, 40:17, 40:24, 41:10, 84:5 45:4, 45:14, 67:18, seeing [1] - 67:22 72:20, 75:24 sales [21] - 33:8, seem [3] - 17:21, 17:23, 58:2 33:17, 36:14, 36:20, sees [1] - 19:8 53:23, 57:2, 57:19, **segregated** [1] - 46:15 61:9, 62:15, 62:18, 62:23, 63:11, 63:16, selected [10] - 3:23, 4:7, 7:13, 13:24, 65:22, 66:13, 66:16, 25:2, 73:7, 73:15, 71:4, 71:20, 71:24, 73:16, 74:3, 74:4 81.7 selection [4] - 4:9, Sales [1] - 28:16 7:1, 12:8, 24:25 salespeople [2] sell [7] - 32:11, 32:21, 62:11, 62:21 sat [1] - 6:25 32:23, 34:11, 34:14, 61:1, 62:24 satisfaction [1] - 24:5 selling [2] - 37:6, satisfied [6] - 4:9, 65:22 4:19, 4:24, 27:8, sells [1] - 37:5 27:24, 31:4 seminar [1] - 65:15 Saturday [2] - 29:11, send [1] - 78:10 40.11 sending [1] - 69:4 Saul [1] - 3:10 sends [3] - 68:15, **SAUL** [1] - 2:1 70:2, 70:3 save [2] - 59:24, 80:15 senior [8] - 33:14, saw [2] - 26:10, 41:13 50:5, 61:7, 63:15, scale [1] - 20:13 65:14, 66:15, 67:17, scales [1] - 14:21 69:2 scheduled [2] - 27:2, Senior [1] - 28:16 44.2 sense [5] - 5:2, 5:10, **SCHOENECK** [1] - 2:5 6:21, 20:5, 50:1 Schoeneck [1] - 3:14 sent [12] - 40:10, school [6] - 10:1, 40:11, 42:15, 69:1, 31:20, 31:24, 32:6, 69:21, 70:1, 70:14, 32:8 70:15, 70:23, 74:18, scientific [1] - 15:22 scope [1] - 57:3 separate [2] - 9:14, screen [2] - 38:10, 9:15 49:1 separated [1] - 58:21 screwed [1] - 27:18 separation [1] - 76:1 search [1] - 66:9 September [6] - 1:8, seat [1] - 6:12

second [7] - 26:19,

67:14, 84:22 seriously [2] - 12:17, 22:20 serve [2] - 13:18, 13:21 served [1] - 32:25 service [5] - 7:13, 13:13, 13:20, 76:3, 82:1 **services** [3] - 79:7, 79:11, 80:1 set [6] - 30:12, 30:13, 30:14, 38:24, 39:1, 71:6 seven [5] - 18:19, 22:16, 22:17, 59:12, 71:14 several [6] - 15:18, 16:1, 17:17, 18:4, 33:9 severance [3] - 49:9, 49:14, 49:15 shake [1] - 13:8 shambles [3] - 59:22, 66:13, 72:6 shape [1] - 39:22 **share** [1] - 72:3 shares [1] - 72:13 SHAWN [2] - 1:17, 1:19 Shawn [1] - 2:24 **SHEARER** [12] - 1:17, 1:19, 2:24, 4:12, 4:16, 5:9, 5:12, 25:23, 31:1, 40:3, 82:25, 84:19 Shearer [14] - 2:24, 4:11, 5:8, 24:19, 25:20, 53:10, 54:2, 54:10, 63:6, 65:22, 65:23, 76:20, 82:24, 84:18 Shearson [3] - 33:3, 33:10, 35:16 shirt [1] - 69:1 shop [2] - 34:22, 34:23 shop's [1] - 34:25 short [13] - 18:15, 40:2, 40:13, 40:16, 40:19, 40:21, 40:22, 41:4, 41:10, 41:16, 46:9, 57:21 short-term [10] -40:13, 40:16, 40:19, 40:21, 40:22, 41:4, 41:10, 41:16, 46:9, 57:21 **shorter** [1] - 24:16

shots [1] - 12:1

show [12] - 7:2, 27:21, 36:22, 45:13, 48:25, 50:7, 50:24, 52:19, 65:8, 81:15, 81:19 showed [2] - 68:25, 70:19 shows [3] - 62:10, 65:11, 73:19 shrunk [2] - 71:21 shunned [1] - 46:15 **shut** [2] - 36:1, 69:8 sick [5] - 15:17, 22:16, 37:18, 45:20, 57:14 side [2] - 23:2, 46:4 signed [2] - 44:1, 83:24 significant [1] - 21:1 simple [1] - 31:1 simply [4] - 35:19, 41:17, 49:14, 52:19 **Simpson** [1] - 14:18 single [5] - 29:18, 37:14, 49:5, 49:23 sit [5] - 3:6, 8:19, 21:15, 21:22 site [1] - 41:23 sitting [7] - 3:16, 3:18, 4:4, 6:11, 18:18, 18:24, 67:13 situation [3] - 8:14, 45:18, 46:16 six [7] - 15:16, 22:16, 38:19, 43:21, 64:1, 68:19, 75:24 size [1] - 17:10 skill [1] - 71:6 slate [1] - 82:20 sleep [1] - 83:3 slow [1] - 25:12 small [6] - 31:15, 31:16, 31:18, 32:3, 32:10, 33:6 smaller [1] - 72:1 smartest [1] - 6:13 Smith [1] - 33:15 smoke [1] - 49:1 smoothly [1] - 84:11 snobs [1] - 18:25 social [1] - 8:18 society [2] - 46:14, 46:15 sold [1] - 32:15 solely [3] - 12:1, 26:15, 47:12 solutions [2] - 36:16, 56:23 someone [9] - 15:17, 42:6, 44:15, 45:8, 47:18, 47:21, 52:6, 57:25

37:25, 38:5, 67:3,

sometime [1] - 56:23 sometimes [3] - 7:14, 7:15, 67:6 somewhere [1] - 39:4 soon [2] - 48:5, 56:18 sorry [2] - 31:14, 40:1 sort [3] - 51:11, 63:17, 84.13 sorts [1] - 21:3 sound [1] - 47:15 sounds [1] - 44:4 sources [1] - 37:4 spades [1] - 84:10 **spans** [1] - 56:13 speaking [3] - 16:9, 37:19, 46:25 speaks [3] - 16:14, 60:9, 67:6 special [2] - 13:23, 27:16 specific [1] - 28:25 **speculate** [1] - 9:2 speculating [1] - 9:2 spend [1] - 68:1 spent [4] - 35:14, 68:2, 78:18, 78:20 spindle [1] - 37:9 **splendidly** [1] - 4:22 spoken [1] - 18:7 spot [1] - 12:14 spy [1] - 68:5 stand [2] - 16:7, 25:8 standard [2] - 78:3, 78:12 standing [1] - 83:12 **standpoint** [1] - 55:14 star [1] - 33:12 stars [1] - 33:12 start [15] - 10:22, 16:13, 19:20, 20:4, 20:6, 20:10, 20:21, 25:4. 42:20. 45:4. 70:14, 72:8, 76:9, 78:8. 82:21 started [19] - 5:1, 32:16, 33:13, 35:20, 42:21, 48:15, 48:16, 62:10, 62:11, 63:23, 64:2, 65:25, 66:24, 71:10, 72:18, 77:6, 78:16, 81:4 starting [6] - 24:8, 25:19, 34:11, 70:5, 79:2, 80:14 startled [1] - 11:4 starts [4] - 6:1, 34:7,

60:13, 60:22

State [2] - 31:21,

31:25

state [3] - 2:23, 9:13,

Barger v. First Data 31:25 STATEMENT[1] -53:13 statement [2] - 24:20, 54:8 statements [7] - 5:6, 16:8, 16:10, 16:16, 25:21, 50:12 States [5] - 12:21, 12:23, 13:11, 35:3, 35:10 states [1] - 9:14 **STATES**[1] - 1:1 statute [3] - 15:16, 27:5, 46:12 statutes [2] - 15:4, 30:16 stay [4] - 4:2, 26:13, 40:5, 71:13 stayed [2] - 30:3, 38:21 staying [1] - 38:16 **STD** [1] - 70:11 stenography [1] - 2:14 steps [1] - 63:4 Steve [4] - 3:4, 57:10, 62:8, 65:3 **STEVEN**[1] - 1:3 Steven [1] - 2:21 still [7] - 39:6, 39:23, 40:4, 46:7, 71:12, 72:12, 72:15 stock [4] - 50:13, 74:22, 75:18, 76:2 stone [1] - 60:23 stop [4] - 26:14, 26:17, 26:18, 70:16 stopped [4] - 26:18, 32:9, 57:23, 70:21 stops [2] - 63:2, 63:4 stories [5] - 44:16, 44:17, 44:18, 68:10 story [7] - 27:10, 29:6, 34:6, 48:12, 51:8, 68:9, 76:8 straight [1] - 49:5 **straits** [1] - 64:6 strange [1] - 68:24 strategy [1] - 4:3 Street [10] - 1:22, 2:2, 28:11, 32:5, 33:2, 33:12, 34:4, 35:14, 50:13, 52:21 street [1] - 58:23 strictly [3] - 6:14, 7:13, 7:16 **struck** [1] - 46:3 structure [1] - 36:19 stuck [1] - 19:24

subconscious [1] -

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019____ 21:21 submit [1] - 38:11 submitted [4] - 73:13, 73:21, 80:1, 81:6 subset [1] - 72:17 substantively [1] -84:12 subterfuge [1] - 49:1 successor [7] - 63:24, 64:2, 64:10, 66:9, 66:13, 66:23, 67:1 sudden [1] - 49:13 sue [6] - 8:14, 56:5, 59:1, 76:7, 76:12 sued [5] - 58:5, 58:6, 58:7, 67:13 suggest [1] - 58:2 suggested [2] - 38:3, 69:3 suing [1] - 58:4 suit [1] - 28:7 **Suite** [1] - 1:22 summer [1] - 32:7 **supervise** [1] - 83:11 supervised [1] - 7:1 **supervisor** [1] - 63:5 **supply** [1] - 37:1 support [2] - 57:13, 60:18 supported [1] - 74:6 supposed [4] - 4:22, 44:10, 67:2, 75:12 surgery [17] - 26:5, 28:12, 29:25, 38:20, 40:15, 46:3, 48:16, 51:16, 51:19, 57:15, 67:2, 67:3, 67:4, 67:14, 69:6, 70:17, 70:22 **surprise** [1] - 8:7 surrogate [1] - 23:20 suspected [1] - 38:17 **sustained** [3] - 11:10, 14:23 SVP [1] - 72:2 swipe [1] - 55:18 swipers [1] - 35:13 swipes [1] - 28:20 **swore** [1] - 76:19 **sworn** [1] - 25:9 system [5] - 9:10, 9:18, 13:4, 35:1, 39.1 systems [3] - 35:3, 41:21, 69:19 Т

talks [2] - 55:19 Tampa [2] - 37:25, 38:5 tardiness [1] - 20:15 taxes [1] - 49:12 teach [2] - 36:23, 37:5 teacher [3] - 24:18, 32:6, 33:24 teaching [3] - 32:2, 32:8, 32:9 team [11] - 9:24, 12:4, 27:13, 27:17, 29:23, 38:10, 38:12, 43:10, 60:13, 60:22, 69:1 technology [7] -28:15, 28:22, 34:11, 39:7, 42:9, 60:24, 80:17 telephone [2] - 59:7, 64:14 television [1] - 21:13 temptation [2] - 21:6, 83:7 tempted [1] - 21:5 ten [8] - 16:6, 20:13, 20:14, 20:20, 20:22, 35:11, 55:2, 60:3 term [20] - 40:13, 40:16, 40:18, 40:19, 40:20, 40:21, 40:22, 40:25, 41:1, 41:4, 41:5, 41:10, 41:16, 41:17. 45:1. 45:5. 46:9, 57:21 terminate [3] - 47:24, 49:19, 56:1 terminated [15] - 43:5, 44:11, 45:14, 49:4, 49:22, 50:6, 51:22, 51:24, 56:5, 58:11, 58:13, 58:21, 79:21, 79:23, 80:5 termination [4] -46:20, 48:4, 48:24, 51:1 terminations [1] -50:19 terms [7] - 21:22, 24:24, 30:6, 46:22, 47:5, 56:13, 78:6 testified [7] - 10:13, 58:10, 58:12, 58:14, 69:10, 69:11 testifies [2] - 17:12, 23:2 testify [6] - 17:23, 45:11, 55:24, 58:19, 66:11, 68:4 testifying [5] - 11:2, 15:25, 17:3, 18:4,

19:16 testimony [10] - 12:2, 21:11, 23:4, 29:16, 57:6, 76:5, 78:19, 79:20, 79:22, 79:24 text [11] - 38:7, 38:9, 38:12, 40:10, 40:11, 41:7, 68:16, 69:4, 74:19 texted [2] - 68:20, 68:21 texting [2] - 26:7, 67:22 texts [1] - 41:9 THE [28] - 1:17, 2:21, 3:2, 3:5, 3:22, 3:25, 4:7, 4:14, 4:17, 4:20, 5:10, 5:13, 5:16, 5:23, 6:11, 7:4, 7:9, 7:11, 25:7, 25:10, 25:14, 40:1, 53:10, 82:6, 83:2, 83:20, 83:24, 84:20 therefore [1] - 60:7 they've [3] - 27:16, 30:3. 58:20 thinking [2] - 46:17, 51:10 thinks [1] - 74:20 thirds [3] - 40:16, 40:17, 40:24 thoughts [1] - 38:11 thousand [1] - 67:6 thousands [1] - 60:3 Three [1] - 80:24 three [14] - 7:4, 15:19, 26:25, 28:7, 38:16, 44:7, 48:24, 65:17, 67:9, 74:4, 78:16, 79:3, 79:5 throat [11] - 37:9, 38:2, 38:13, 39:6, 39:9, 39:18, 56:16, 56:19, 57:6, 64:12, 64:25 throughout [3] -11:14, 67:18, 72:22 throw [1] - 58:23 tidbits [1] - 48:11 timely [1] - 26:24 tip [1] - 14:22 today [10] - 4:25, 5:6, 22:24, 24:9, 24:10, 25:24, 51:25, 55:12, 61:7, 64:15 together [18] - 12:3, 28:6, 30:15, 37:4, 40:9, 40:10, 55:8, 57:11, 62:4, 63:7, 65:13, 65:14, 65:25,

table [4] - 3:16, 4:1,

4:5, 56:7

66:1, 68:1, 68:2, 73:13 Tomorrow [1] - 82:21 tomorrow [8] - 5:17, 16:12, 22:25, 25:19, 33:11, 67:11, 84:5, 84:17 Tony [26] - 3:20, 57:8, 57:10, 58:6, 58:15, 60:17, 60:18, 65:24, 66:1, 67:5, 67:12, 67:20, 68:15, 68:20, 69:12, 69:13, 72:3, 74:18, 74:25, 75:16, 75:17, 81:2, 81:24 took [7] - 24:22, 26:4, 42:10, 42:13, 42:17, 58:13, 76:17 top [7] - 55:1, 55:3, 56:11, 72:9, 73:5, 73:10, 82:4 total [4] - 37:23, 53:16, 75:24, 82:2 totally [1] - 13:5 touch [1] - 65:1 tough [1] - 60:5 **Tower** [1] - 33:6 town [4] - 31:18, 32:3, 32:10, 33:6 towns [2] - 31:16, 33:5 trachea [1] - 39:10 track [2] - 18:15, 50:22 trading [1] - 35:21 traditions [1] - 8:12 traffic [1] - 20:4 train [2] - 33:19, 62:11 trained [2] - 10:5, 10:6 Training [1] - 28:17 training [26] - 28:17, 33:9, 33:15, 33:16, 33:17, 36:14, 36:21, 51:16, 53:23, 57:2, 57:19, 62:18, 62:19, 62:21, 63:11, 63:17, 66:13, 66:16, 66:22, 71:4, 71:7, 71:20, 71:24, 81:8, 81:9 transaction [2] -34:25. 55:17 transactions [4] -28:21, 34:20, 35:7, 37:4 transcript [1] - 2:14 TRANSCRIPT[1] - 1:6 Transcript [1] - 2:15 transferred [1] - 74:22 Transformation [1] -28:17 transformation [3] -

28:19, 34:11, 62:15

Barger v. First Data transforming [1] -28:22 transition [1] - 58:24 transportation [1] -20:2 travel [2] - 33:22, 48.12 traveled [3] - 37:25, 40:10, 57:11 traveling [3] - 36:22, 37:19, 65:25 treat [1] - 74:25 treated [1] - 80:25 treatments [2] - 37:11, 37:15 Trial [1] - 84:22 trial [44] - 2:21, 4:3, 5:2, 6:1, 6:8, 6:16, 6:20, 6:21, 7:17, 7:23, 7:24, 8:1, 8:4, 8:15, 8:23, 9:1, 9:22, 9:25, 10:12, 10:20, 11:2, 11:15, 12:3, 14:1, 14:7, 14:14, 14:18, 15:2, 16:25, 18:1, 18:14, 18:15, 20:17, 21:7, 21:9, 22:11, 23:25, 25:4, 28:10, 51:10, 55:13, 83:17, 84:14 TRIAL [1] - 1:6 trials [2] - 8:9, 8:13 tricked [2] - 44:19, 52:18 trickery [1] - 52:21 tried [2] - 54:2, 74:25 trouble [3] - 59:22, 60:20, 72:12 true [4] - 25:18, 52:19, 58:3, 69:15 truly [1] - 69:14 Truman [1] - 31:24 trust [1] - 20:16 truth [3] - 10:10, 17:7, 76:20 truthfully [1] - 25:1 try [25] - 5:16, 6:19, 9:16, 19:19, 22:6, 22:14, 23:16, 23:21, 23:23, 23:24, 48:13, 49:7, 50:18, 51:7, 51:11, 52:2, 52:16, 61:3, 63:19, 72:25, 73:2, 76:4, 80:15, 84:3 trying [8] - 20:10, 30:9, 30:10, 37:5, 45:25, 50:22, 52:11,

66:18

Tuesday [3] - 44:5,

17-CV-4869(FB) 84:22 turn [7] - 56:20, 60:14, 60:20, 60:25, 61:9, 62:7, 71:12 turned [5] - 29:11, 44:21, 62:8, 69:15, turns [2] - 48:3, 51:22 twelve [2] - 26:24, 27:4 twice [1] - 30:10 twist [1] - 76:11 Two [1] - 80:19 two [19] - 6:7, 7:12, 13:19, 26:4, 28:5, 31:2, 37:11, 40:7, 40:8, 40:16, 40:17, 40:24, 52:25, 62:10, 74:4, 74:17, 78:13 two-thirds [3] - 40:16, 40:17, 40:24 **TX** [1] - 1:18 **Ty** [1] - 84:10 type [5] - 11:14, 28:15, 29:1, 39:5, 62:15 U **U.S** [1] - 1:4

U.S.D.J [1] - 1:13 **UK** [2] - 8:11, 12:25 ultimately [6] - 55:11, 62:21, 63:6, 66:25, 72:23, 76:7 unable [1] - 38:14 **unanimous** [1] - 15:13 under [13] - 5:9, 26:2, 26:19, 30:1, 30:8, 30:16, 41:18, 43:6, 46:22, 54:11, 67:13, 68:5, 84:2 undergoing [1] - 66:8 underwent [2] - 37:10, 65:5 unfold [2] - 14:8, 25:19 unfolds [1] - 15:24 unfortunately [4] -12:10, 56:19, 64:11, 64:25 United 151 - 12:21. 12:23, 13:11, 35:2, 35:9 UNITED [1] - 1:1 University [1] - 31:21 unless [2] - 12:18, 84:18 unnecessary [1] - 6:5 unpaid [6] - 26:12,

unpunished [1] - 58:3 unturned [1] - 60:23 **up** [47] - 6:14, 8:18, 9:1, 10:18, 11:3, 16:7, 16:9, 16:22, 17:10, 20:6, 20:16, 20:22, 27:1, 27:18, 29:23, 31:14, 31:24, 32:20, 34:9, 35:11, 38:6, 38:16, 38:24, 39:1, 39:4, 39:11, 39:12, 39:16, 42:4, 44:11, 47:24, 49:7, 49:13, 49:21, 49:22, 55:24, 57:3, 59:24, 63:18, 64:14, 66:15, 68:7, 68:25, 71:10, 73:14, 73:19 ups [1] - 23:19 upstairs [1] - 65:12 USA [1] - 13:5

69:22

V

vacation [2] - 37:18, 45:21 variety [1] - 80:16 verbal [1] - 17:13 verbally [1] - 71:25 verdict [1] - 25:18 verge [1] - 59:14 versions [1] - 10:16 versus [1] - 2:22 vest [1] - 76:1 vibrates [3] - 39:14, 39:15, 39:17 vibrating [1] - 39:18 vice [7] - 34:10, 36:14, 50:5, 57:9, 63:15, 66:15, 67:17 Vice [2] - 27:14, 28:16 video [5] - 26:7, 38:9, 38:25, 41:22, 68:25 video-conferencing [1] - 26:7 violated [5] - 26:2, 26:19, 27:3, 27:7, 31:6 violates [3] - 26:14, 44:14, 47:6 violation [4] - 42:4, 47:20, 52:24, 54:18 visit [7] - 67:21, 67:23, 68:1, 68:5, 68:7, 68:15 visited [1] - 26:10 visualize [1] - 14:21 vocal [1] - 39:17 voice [3] - 37:24,

46:24, 47:10 voir [1] - 24:24

9/16/2019___

W

wait [1] - 75:6 waiting [1] - 20:17 walk [1] - 18:19 Wall [8] - 28:11, 32:5, 33:2, 33:12, 34:4, 35:14, 50:12, 52:21 wants [4] - 29:17, 59:11, 67:16, 84:18 Washington [1] - 14:2 watch [2] - 12:12, 52:1 watched [1] - 14:18 ways [3] - 26:4, 38:8, 81:1 wearing [2] - 7:21, 69:1 weave [1] - 48:12 web [1] - 41:23 week [14] - 12:7, 15:20, 15:21, 18:19, 34:18, 37:14, 44:3, 44:4, 48:18, 68:15, 68:17, 68:22, 73:24 weekend [3] - 27:3, 73:4, 73:6 weeks [14] - 15:19, 26:24, 27:4, 38:16, 38:19, 40:8, 43:7, 43:21, 46:3, 48:4, 68:20, 69:6, 75:24, 78:16 welcome [2] - 3:6, 4:2 whatsoever [1] -73:25 wheel [1] - 50:15 WHEREUPON [1] -40:2 whispering [1] - 12:20 white [1] - 18:11 whole [7] - 6:18, 12:15, 35:4, 37:13, 38:18, 48:17, 51:2 wholly [1] - 17:12 wide [2] - 44:16, 48:23 wife [6] - 11:8, 60:9, 64:22, 74:21, 74:22, 83:11 wish [1] - 29:10 wishes [2] - 8:21, 41:16 witness [30] - 5:17, 11:13, 14:12, 16:13, 16:15, 17:1, 17:11, 17:12, 17:13, 17:15,

18:4, 18:9, 19:15,

22:24, 22:25, 23:1,

26:17, 41:18, 46:4,

Barger v. First Data

17-CV-4869(FB) 9/16/2019

15

23:2, 23:4, 23:5, 23:13, 23:16, 23:17, 23:22, 23:23, 25:20, 55:24, 82:23 witnesses [13] -10:12, 14:12, 15:25, 17:3, 19:2, 29:8, 33:21, 51:6, 58:18, 76:20, 79:21, 79:23, 79:25 woman [1] - 71:4 women [1] - 15:8 wonder [1] - 55:16 wonderful [3] - 7:1, 18:6, 84:9 words [2] - 37:9, 67:6 workers [3] - 56:14, 66:16, 71:10 works [4] - 19:21,

24:15, 38:2, 40:21 world [2] - 8:9, 35:10 worldwide [1] - 27:12 worry [2] - 18:3, 58:17 worrying [1] - 69:9 write [3] - 17:22,

47:24, 75:20

writing [1] - 17:24

Υ

year [11] - 31:21, 49:8, 57:1, 59:18, 63:25, 64:7, 75:5, 75:6, 77:20, 79:2 years [27] - 8:11, 22:4, 22:12, 28:6, 28:7, 29:18, 32:7, 32:17, 35:11, 35:14, 35:15, 36:8, 44:20, 45:25, 55:8, 57:20, 59:12, 59:16, 59:17, 62:3, 62:4, 62:5, 63:3, 66:20, 77:16 YORK [1] - 1:1 York [7] - 1:5, 2:7, 2:11, 19:21, 33:4, 39:21 younger [1] - 12:10

Ζ

Zeitlin [4] - 1:21, 2:25, 29:12 **ZEITLIN** [2] - 1:23, 3:1 **zero** [2] - 41:6, 45:1